

OptaPlanner User Guide

The OptaPlanner Team

Table of Contents

1. OptaPlanner introduction . . . 1
   1.1. What is OptaPlanner? . . . 1
   1.2. What is a planning problem? . . . 2
      1.2.1. A planning problem is NP-complete or NP-hard . . . 4
      1.2.2. A planning problem has (hard and soft) constraints . . . 4
      1.2.3. A planning problem has a huge search space . . . 4
   1.3. Requirements . . . 5
   1.4. Governance . . . 6
      1.4.1. Status of OptaPlanner . . . 6
      1.4.2. Backwards compatibility . . . 6
      1.4.3. Community and support . . . 7
      1.4.4. Relationship with KIE . . . 7
   1.5. Download and run the examples . . . 7
      1.5.1. Get the release ZIP and run the examples . . . 7
      1.5.2. Run the examples in an IDE . . . 9
      1.5.3. Use OptaPlanner with Maven, Gradle, or ANT . . . 9
      1.5.4. Build OptaPlanner from source . . . 11
2. Quick start . . . 12
   2.1. Overview . . . 12
   2.2. Hello world Java quick start . . . 13
      2.2.1. What you will build . . . 13
      2.2.2. Solution source code . . . 14
      2.2.3. Prerequisites . . . 14
      2.2.4. The build file and the dependencies . . . 15
      2.2.5. Model the domain objects . . . 17
      2.2.6. Define the constraints and calculate the score . . . 22
      2.2.7. Gather the domain objects in a planning solution . . . 25
      2.2.8. Create the application . . . 27
      2.2.9. Run the application . . . 32
      2.2.10. Summary . . . 37
   2.3. Quarkus Java quick start . . . 37
      2.3.1. What you will build . . . 37
      2.3.2. Solution source code . . . 38
      2.3.3. Prerequisites . . . 38
      2.3.4. The build file and the dependencies . . . 38
      2.3.5. Model the domain objects . . . 42
      2.3.6. Define the constraints and calculate the score . . . 46
      2.3.7. Gather the domain objects in a planning solution . . . 49

2.3.8. Create the solver service . . . 51
2.3.9. Set the termination time . . . 52
2.3.10. Run the application . . . 53
2.3.11. Summary . . . 58
2.3.12. Further improvements: Database and UI integration . . . 58
2.4. Spring Boot Java quick start . . . 61
2.4.1. What you will build . . . 61
2.4.2. Solution source code . . . 62
2.4.3. Prerequisites . . . 63
2.4.4. The build file and the dependencies . . . 63
2.4.5. Model the domain objects . . . 65
2.4.6. Define the constraints and calculate the score . . . 70
2.4.7. Gather the domain objects in a planning solution . . . 72
2.4.8. Create the solver service . . . 75
2.4.9. Set the termination time . . . 76
2.4.10. Make the application executable . . . 76
2.4.11. Summary . . . 82
2.4.12. Further improvements: Database and UI integration . . . 82
3. Use cases and examples . . . 85
3.1. Examples overview . . . 85
3.2. N queens . . . 88
3.2.1. Problem description . . . 88
3.2.2. Problem size . . . 90
3.2.3. Domain model . . . 90
3.3. Cloud balancing . . . 92
3.3.1. Cloud balancing tutorial . . . 92
3.3.2. Using the domain model . . . 94
3.3.3. Run the cloud balancing Hello World . . . 99
3.3.4. Solver configuration . . . 100
3.3.5. Score configuration . . . 102
3.3.6. Beyond this tutorial . . . 109
3.4. Traveling salesman (TSP - traveling salesman problem) . . . 110
3.4.1. Problem description . . . 110
3.4.2. Problem size . . . 110
3.4.3. Problem difficulty . . . 110
3.5. Tennis club scheduling . . . 111
3.5.1. Problem description . . . 111
3.5.2. Problem size . . . 112
3.5.3. Domain model . . . 112
3.6. Meeting scheduling . . . 112
3.6.1. Problem description . . . 112

3.6.2. Problem size . . . 113
3.7. Course timetabling (ITC 2007 Track 3 - Curriculum Course Scheduling) . . . 113
3.7.1. Problem description . . . 113
3.7.2. Problem size . . . 114
3.7.3. Domain model . . . 114
3.8. Machine reassignment (Google ROADEF 2012) . . . 115
3.8.1. Problem description . . . 115
3.8.2. Value proposition . . . 116
3.8.3. Problem size . . . 117
3.8.4. Domain model . . . 118
3.9. Vehicle routing . . . 119
3.9.1. Problem description . . . 119
3.9.2. Value proposition . . . 120
3.9.3. Problem size . . . 120
3.9.4. Domain model . . . 124
3.10. Project job scheduling . . . 129
3.10.1. Problem description . . . 129
3.10.2. Problem size . . . 130
3.11. Hospital bed planning (PAS - Patient Admission Scheduling) . . . 131
3.11.1. Problem description . . . 131
3.11.2. Problem size . . . 133
3.11.3. Domain model . . . 133
3.12. Task assigning . . . 134
3.12.1. Problem description . . . 134
3.12.2. Value proposition . . . 135
3.12.3. Problem size . . . 135
3.12.4. Domain model . . . 135
3.13. Exam timetabling (ITC 2007 track 1 - Examination) . . . 136
3.13.1. Problem description . . . 136
3.13.2. Problem size . . . 138
3.13.3. Domain model . . . 138
3.14. Nurse rostering (INRC 2010) . . . 139
3.14.1. Problem description . . . 139
3.14.2. Value proposition . . . 141
3.14.3. Problem size . . . 141
3.14.4. Domain model . . . 144
3.15. Traveling tournament problem (TTP) . . . 144
3.15.1. Problem description . . . 144
3.15.2. Problem size . . . 145
3.16. Conference scheduling . . . 146
3.16.1. Problem description . . . 146

3.16.2. Value proposition . . . 151
3.16.3. Problem size . . . 151
3.16.4. Architecture . . . 151
3.16.5. Domain model . . . 152
3.16.6. Search space . . . 153
3.17. Flight crew scheduling . . . 155
3.17.1. Problem description . . . 155
3.17.2. Problem size . . . 156
4. OptaPlanner configuration . . . 157
4.1. Overview . . . 157
4.2. Solver configuration . . . 157
4.2.1. Solver configuration by XML . . . 157
4.2.2. Solver configuration by Java API . . . 159
4.2.3. Annotation alternatives . . . 160
4.2.4. Domain access . . . 160
4.2.5. Custom properties configuration . . . 160
4.3. Model a planning problem . . . 161
4.3.1. Is this class a problem fact or planning entity? . . . 161
4.3.2. Problem fact . . . 162
4.3.3. Planning entity . . . 163
4.3.4. Planning variable (genuine) . . . 166
4.3.5. Planning value and planning value range . . . 168
4.3.6. Planning list variable (VRP, Task assigning, ...) . . . 175
4.3.7. Chained planning variable (TSP, VRP, ...) . . . 177
4.3.8. Planning problem and planning solution . . . 179
4.4. Use the `Solver` . . . 188
4.4.1. The `Solver` interface . . . 188
4.4.2. Solving a problem . . . 189
4.4.3. Environment mode: are there bugs in my code? . . . 190
4.4.4. Logging level: what is the `Solver` doing? . . . 192
4.4.5. Monitoring the solver . . . 195
4.4.6. Random number generator . . . 197
4.5. SolverManager . . . 198
4.5.1. Solve batch problems . . . 199
4.5.2. Solve and listen to show progress to the end-user . . . 200
5. Score calculation . . . 202
5.1. Score terminology . . . 202
5.1.1. What is a score? . . . 202
5.1.2. Formalize the business constraints . . . 202
5.1.3. Score constraint signum (positive or negative) . . . 203
5.1.4. Score constraint weight . . . 204

5.1.5. Score constraint level (hard, soft, ...) 205
5.1.6. Pareto scoring (AKA multi-objective optimization scoring) 207
5.1.7. Combining score techniques 209
5.1.8. `Score` interface 209
5.1.9. Avoid floating point numbers in score calculation 210
5.2. Choose a score type 212
5.2.1. `SimpleScore` 212
5.2.2. `HardSoftScore` (Recommended) 212
5.2.3. `HardMediumSoftScore` 212
5.2.4. `BendableScore` 213
5.3. Calculate the `Score` 213
5.3.1. Score calculation types 213
5.3.2. Easy Java score calculation 214
5.3.3. Incremental Java score calculation 216
5.3.4. `InitializingScoreTrend` 221
5.3.5. Invalid score detection 222
5.4. Score calculation performance tricks 223
5.4.1. Overview 223
5.4.2. Score calculation speed 223
5.4.3. Incremental score calculation (with deltas) 223
5.4.4. Avoid calling remote services during score calculation 225
5.4.5. Pointless constraints 225
5.4.6. Built-in hard constraint 226
5.4.7. Other score calculation performance tricks 226
5.4.8. Score trap 226
5.4.9. `stepLimit` benchmark 228
5.4.10. Fairness score constraints 228
5.5. Constraint configuration: adjust constraint weights dynamically 230
5.5.1. Create a constraint configuration 231
5.5.2. Add a constraint weight for each constraint 232
5.6. Explaining the score: which constraints are broken? 234
5.6.1. Using score calculation outside the `Solver` 235
5.6.2. Break down the score by constraint justification 236
5.6.3. Break down the score by constraint 237
5.6.4. Indictment heat map: visualize the hot planning entities 237
5.7. Testing score constraints 238
6. Constraint streams score calculation 239
6.1. Introduction 239
6.2. Creating a constraint stream 242
6.3. Constraint stream cardinality 243
6.3.1. Achieving higher cardinalities 244

6.4. Building blocks . . . 244
6.4.1. ForEach . . . 245
6.4.2. Penalties and rewards . . . 245
6.4.3. Filtering . . . 247
6.4.4. Joining . . . 249
6.4.5. Grouping and collectors . . . 251
6.4.6. Conditional propagation . . . 257
6.4.7. Mapping tuples . . . 258
6.4.8. Flattening . . . 259
6.5. Testing a constraint stream . . . 260
6.5.1. Testing constraints in isolation . . . 260
6.5.2. Testing all constraints together . . . 262
6.5.3. Testing in Quarkus . . . 262
6.5.4. Testing in Spring Boot . . . 262
6.6. Variant implementation types . . . 263
7. Drools score calculation (Deprecated) . . . 264
7.1. Overview . . . 264
7.2. Drools score rules configuration . . . 264
7.2.1. A `scoreDrl` resource on the classpath . . . 264
7.2.2. A `scoreDrlFile` element . . . 265
7.3. Implementing a score rule . . . 266
7.4. Weighing score rules . . . 266
7.5. Testing Drools-based constraints . . . 269
8. Shadow variable . . . 271
8.1. Introduction . . . 271
8.2. Bi-directional variable (inverse relation shadow variable) . . . 272
8.3. Anchor shadow variable . . . 274
8.4. List variable shadow variables . . . 274
8.4.1. Inverse relation shadow variable . . . 274
8.4.2. Previous and next element shadow variable . . . 275
8.5. Custom `VariableListener` . . . 276
8.5.1. Multiple source variables . . . 277
8.5.2. Piggyback shadow variable . . . 278
8.5.3. Shadow variable cloning . . . 279
8.6. VariableListener triggering order . . . 279
9. Optimization algorithms . . . 281
9.1. Search space size in the real world . . . 281
9.2. Does OptaPlanner find the optimal solution? . . . 282
9.3. Architecture overview . . . 283
9.4. Optimization algorithms overview . . . 284
9.5. Which optimization algorithms should I use? . . . 286

9.6. Power tweaking or default parameter values . . . 287
9.7. Solver phase . . . 287
9.8. Scope overview . . . 289
9.9. Termination . . . 290
9.9.1. Time spent termination . . . 290
9.9.2. Unimproved time spent termination . . . 292
9.9.3. BestScoreTermination . . . 294
9.9.4. BestScoreFeasibleTermination . . . 294
9.9.5. StepCountTermination . . . 295
9.9.6. UnimprovedStepCountTermination . . . 295
9.9.7. ScoreCalculationCountTermination . . . 295
9.9.8. Combining multiple terminations . . . 295
9.9.9. Asynchronous termination from another thread . . . 296
9.10. SolverEventListener . . . 296
9.11. Custom solver phase . . . 297
9.12. No change solver phase . . . 299
9.13. Multithreaded solving . . . 299
9.13.1. @PlanningId . . . 300
9.13.2. Custom thread factory (WildFly, Android, GAE, ...) . . . 301
9.13.3. Multithreaded incremental solving . . . 301
10. Move and neighborhood selection . . . 304
10.1. Move and neighborhood introduction . . . 304
10.1.1. What is a Move? . . . 304
10.1.2. What is a MoveSelector? . . . 305
10.1.3. Subselecting of entities, values, and other moves . . . 305
10.2. Generic MoveSelectors . . . 306
10.2.1. Generic MoveSelectors overview . . . 307
10.2.2. ChangeMoveSelector . . . 307
10.2.3. SwapMoveSelector . . . 309
10.2.4. Pillar-based move selectors . . . 310
10.2.5. Move selectors for list variables . . . 314
10.2.6. Move selectors for chained variables . . . 316
10.3. Combining multiple MoveSelectors . . . 319
10.3.1. unionMoveSelector . . . 319
10.3.2. cartesianProductMoveSelector . . . 321
10.4. EntitySelector . . . 322
10.5. ValueSelector . . . 322
10.6. General Selector features . . . 323
10.6.1. CacheType: create moves ahead of time or just in time . . . 323
10.6.2. SelectionOrder: original, sorted, random, shuffled, or probabilistic . . . 324
10.6.3. Recommended combinations of CacheType and SelectionOrder . . . 325

10.6.4. Filtered selection . . . 328
10.6.5. Sorted selection . . . 331
10.6.6. Probabilistic selection . . . 334
10.6.7. Limited selection . . . 336
10.6.8. Mimic selection (record/replay) . . . 336
10.6.9. Nearby selection . . . 336
10.7. Custom moves . . . 340
10.7.1. Which move types might be missing in my implementation? . . . 340
10.7.2. Custom moves introduction . . . 341
10.7.3. The `Move` interface . . . 341
10.7.4. Generating custom moves . . . 345
11. Exhaustive search . . . 348
11.1. Overview . . . 348
11.2. Brute force . . . 348
11.2.1. Algorithm description . . . 348
11.2.2. Configuration . . . 349
11.3. Branch and bound . . . 349
11.3.1. Algorithm description . . . 349
11.3.2. Configuration . . . 350
11.4. Scalability of exhaustive search . . . 352
12. Construction heuristics . . . 355
12.1. Overview . . . 355
12.2. First fit . . . 355
12.2.1. Algorithm description . . . 355
12.2.2. Configuration . . . 356
12.3. First fit decreasing . . . 356
12.3.1. Algorithm description . . . 356
12.3.2. Configuration . . . 357
12.4. Weakest fit . . . 358
12.4.1. Algorithm description . . . 358
12.4.2. Configuration . . . 358
12.5. Weakest fit decreasing . . . 358
12.5.1. Algorithm description . . . 358
12.5.2. Configuration . . . 359
12.6. Strongest fit . . . 359
12.6.1. Algorithm description . . . 359
12.6.2. Configuration . . . 359
12.7. Strongest fit decreasing . . . 360
12.7.1. Algorithm description . . . 360
12.7.2. Configuration . . . 360
12.8. Allocate entity from queue . . . 361

12.8.1. Algorithm description . . . 361
12.8.2. Configuration . . . 361
12.8.3. Multiple entity classes . . . 362
12.8.4. Pick early type . . . 363
12.9. Allocate to value from queue . . . 364
12.9.1. Algorithm description . . . 364
12.9.2. Configuration . . . 364
12.10. Cheapest insertion . . . 365
12.10.1. Algorithm description . . . 365
12.10.2. Configuration . . . 366
12.11. Regret insertion . . . 367
12.11.1. Algorithm description . . . 367
12.11.2. Configuration . . . 367
12.12. Allocate from pool . . . 367
12.12.1. Algorithm description . . . 367
12.12.2. Configuration . . . 367
12.13. Scaling construction heuristics . . . 368
12.13.1. InitializingScoreTrend shortcuts . . . 368
12.13.2. Scaling multiple planning variables in construction heuristics . . . 368
12.13.3. Other scaling techniques in construction heuristics . . . 371
13. Local search . . . 372
13.1. Overview . . . 372
13.2. Local search concepts . . . 372
13.2.1. Step by step . . . 372
13.2.2. Decide the next step . . . 374
13.2.3. Acceptor . . . 376
13.2.4. Forager . . . 376
13.3. Hill climbing (simple local search) . . . 378
13.3.1. Algorithm description . . . 378
13.3.2. Stuck in local optima . . . 378
13.3.3. Configuration . . . 379
13.4. Tabu search . . . 380
13.4.1. Algorithm description . . . 380
13.4.2. Configuration . . . 380
13.5. Simulated annealing . . . 382
13.5.1. Algorithm description . . . 382
13.5.2. Configuration . . . 383
13.6. Late acceptance . . . 384
13.6.1. Algorithm description . . . 384
13.6.2. Configuration . . . 385
13.7. Great Deluge . . . 385

13.7.1. Algorithm description . . . 385
13.7.2. Configuration . . . 386
13.8. Step counting hill climbing . . . 387
13.8.1. Algorithm description . . . 387
13.8.2. Configuration . . . 387
13.9. Strategic oscillation . . . 387
13.9.1. Algorithm description . . . 387
13.9.2. Configuration . . . 387
13.10. Variable neighborhood descent . . . 388
13.10.1. Algorithm description . . . 388
13.10.2. Configuration . . . 388
13.11. Using a custom `Termination`, `MoveSelector`, `EntitySelector`, `ValueSelector`, or `Acceptor` . . . 389
14. Evolutionary algorithms . . . 391
14.1. Overview . . . 391
14.2. Evolutionary strategies . . . 391
14.3. Genetic algorithms . . . 391
15. Hyperheuristics . . . 392
15.1. Overview . . . 392
16. Partitioned search . . . 393
16.1. Algorithm description . . . 393
16.2. Configuration . . . 394
16.3. Partitioning a solution . . . 395
16.3.1. Custom `SolutionPartitioner` . . . 395
16.4. Runnable part thread limit . . . 397
17. Benchmarking and tweaking . . . 399
17.1. Find the best solver configuration . . . 399
17.2. Benchmark configuration . . . 399
17.2.1. Add a dependency on `optaplanner-benchmark` . . . 399
17.2.2. Run a simple benchmark . . . 400
17.2.3. Configure and run an advanced benchmark . . . 400
17.2.4. `SolutionFileIO`: input and output of solution files . . . 402
17.2.5. Warming up the HotSpot compiler . . . 405
17.2.6. Benchmark blueprint: a predefined configuration . . . 405
17.2.7. Write the output solution of benchmark runs . . . 407
17.2.8. Benchmark logging . . . 407
17.3. Benchmark report . . . 407
17.3.1. HTML report . . . 407
17.3.2. Ranking the solvers . . . 408
17.4. Summary statistics . . . 409
17.4.1. Best score summary (graph and table) . . . 409
17.4.2. Best score scalability summary (graph) . . . 410

17.4.3. Best score distribution summary (graph) . . . 410
17.4.4. Winning score difference summary (graph And table) . . . 411
17.4.5. Worst score difference percentage (ROI) summary (graph And table) . . . 411
17.4.6. Score calculation speed summary (graph And table) . . . 411
17.4.7. Time spent summary (graph And table) . . . 412
17.4.8. Time spent scalability summary (graph) . . . 412
17.4.9. Best score per time spent summary (graph) . . . 412
17.5. Statistic per dataset (graph and CSV) . . . 412
17.5.1. Enable a problem statistic . . . 412
17.5.2. Best score over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 413
17.5.3. Step score over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 415
17.5.4. Score calculation speed over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 416
17.5.5. Best solution mutation over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 417
17.5.6. Move count per step statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 418
17.5.7. Memory use statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 419
17.6. Statistic per single benchmark (graph and CSV) . . . 420
17.6.1. Enable a single statistic . . . 420
17.6.2. Constraint match total best score over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 421
17.6.3. Constraint match total step score over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 422
17.6.4. Picked move type best score diff over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 423
17.6.5. Picked move type step score diff over time statistic (graph and CSV) . . . 424
17.7. Advanced benchmarking . . . 425
17.7.1. Benchmarking performance tricks . . . 425
17.7.2. Statistical benchmarking . . . 426
17.7.3. Template-based benchmarking and matrix benchmarking . . . 427
17.7.4. Benchmark report aggregation . . . 429
18. Repeated planning . . . 432
18.1. Introduction to repeated planning . . . 432
18.2. Backup planning . . . 432
18.3. Overconstrained planning . . . 433
18.3.1. Overconstrained planning with nullable variables . . . 433
18.3.2. Overconstrained planning with virtual values . . . 434
18.4. Continuous planning (windowed planning) . . . 435
18.4.1. Pinned planning entities . . . 438
18.4.2. Nonvolatile replanning to minimize disruption (semi-movable planning entities) . . . 439
18.5. Real-time planning . . . 441
18.5.1. `ProblemChange` . . . 442
18.5.2. Daemon: `solve()` does not return . . . 446
18.6. Multi-stage planning . . . 447
19. Integration . . . 449
19.1. Overview . . . 449

19.2. Persistent storage . . . 449
19.2.1. Database: JPA and Hibernate . . . 449
19.2.2. XML or JSON: JAXB . . . 452
19.2.3. JSON: Jackson . . . 454
19.2.4. JSON: JSON-B . . . 455
19.3. Quarkus . . . 456
19.4. Spring Boot . . . 458
19.5. SOA and ESB . . . 459
19.5.1. Camel and Karaf . . . 459
19.6. Other environments . . . 459
19.6.1. Java platform module system (Jigsaw) . . . 460
19.6.2. OSGi . . . 460
19.6.3. Android . . . 460
19.7. Integration with human planners (politics) . . . 460
19.8. Sizing hardware and software . . . 462
20. Design patterns . . . 465
20.1. Design patterns introduction . . . 465
20.2. Domain modeling guidelines . . . 465
20.3. Assigning time to planning entities . . . 468
20.3.1. Timeslot pattern: assign to a fixed-length timeslot . . . 471
20.3.2. TimeGrain pattern: assign to a starting TimeGrain . . . 471
20.3.3. Chained through time pattern: assign in a chain that determines starting time . . . 472
20.3.4. Time bucket pattern: assign to a capacitated bucket per time period . . . 476
20.4. Cloud architecture patterns . . . 476
21. Development . . . 479
21.1. Methodology overview . . . 479
21.2. Development guidelines . . . 480
21.2.1. Fail fast . . . 480
21.2.2. Exception messages . . . 480
21.2.3. Generics . . . 481
21.2.4. Lifecycle . . . 481
22. Release Notes . . . 482

Chapter 1. OptaPlanner introduction

1.1. What is OptaPlanner?

Every organization faces planning problems: providing products or services with a limited set of *constrained* resources (employees, assets, time and money). OptaPlanner optimizes such planning to do more business with less resources. This is known as *Constraint Satisfaction Programming* (which is part of the *Operations Research* discipline).

OptaPlanner is a lightweight, embeddable constraint satisfaction engine which optimizes planning problems. It solves use cases such as:

- **Employee shift rostering**: timetabling nurses, repairmen, …
- **Agenda scheduling**: scheduling meetings, appointments, maintenance jobs, advertisements, …
- **Educational timetabling**: scheduling lessons, courses, exams, conference presentations, …
- **Vehicle routing**: planning vehicle routes (trucks, trains, boats, airplanes, …) for moving freight and/or passengers through multiple destinations using known mapping tools …
- **Bin packing**: filling containers, trucks, ships, and storage warehouses with items, but also packing information across computer resources, as in cloud computing …
- **Job shop scheduling**: planning car assembly lines, machine queue planning, workforce task planning, …
- **Cutting stock**: minimizing waste while cutting paper, steel, carpet, …
- **Sport scheduling**: planning games and training schedules for football leagues, baseball leagues, …
- **Financial optimization**: investment portfolio optimization, risk spreading, …



1.2. What is a planning problem?



A planning problem has an optimal goal, based on limited resources and under specific constraints. Optimal goals can be any number of things, such as:

- Maximized profits - the optimal goal results in the highest possible profit.
- Minimized ecological footprint - the optimal goal has the least amount of environmental impact.
- Maximized satisfaction for employees or customers - the optimal goal prioritizes the needs of employees or customers.

The ability to achieve these goals relies on the number of resources available, such as:

- The number of people.
- Amount of time.
- Budget.
- Physical assets, for example, machinery, vehicles, computers, buildings, etc.

Specific constraints related to these resources must also be taken into account, such as the number of hours a person works, their ability to use certain machines, or compatibility between pieces of equipment.

OptaPlanner helps Java™ programmers solve constraint satisfaction problems efficiently. Under the hood, it combines optimization heuristics and metaheuristics with very efficient score calculation.

1.2.1. A planning problem is NP-complete or NP-hard

All the use cases above are *probably* NP-complete/NP-hard, which means in layman's terms:

- It's easy to verify a given solution to a problem in reasonable time.
- There is no silver bullet to find the optimal solution of a problem in reasonable time (*).



(*) At least, none of the smartest computer scientists in the world have found such a silver bullet yet. But if they find one for 1 NP-complete problem, it will work for every NP-complete problem.

In fact, there's a $ 1,000,000 reward for anyone that proves if such a silver bullet actually exists or not.

The implication of this is pretty dire: solving your problem is probably harder than you anticipated, because the two common techniques won't suffice:

- A Brute Force algorithm (even a smarter variant) will take too long.
- A quick algorithm, for example in bin packing, *putting in the largest items first*, will return a solution that is far from optimal.

By using advanced optimization algorithms, **OptaPlanner does find a near-optimal solution in reasonable time for such planning problems.**

1.2.2. A planning problem has (hard and soft) constraints

Usually, a planning problem has at least two levels of constraints:

- A *(negative) hard constraint* must not be broken. For example: *1 teacher cannot teach 2 different lessons at the same time*.
- A *(negative) soft constraint* should not be broken if it can be avoided. For example: *Teacher A does not like to teach on Friday afternoon*.

Some problems have positive constraints too:

- A *positive soft constraint (or reward)* should be fulfilled if possible. For example: *Teacher B likes to teach on Monday morning*.

Some basic problems (such as N queens) only have hard constraints. Some problems have three or more levels of constraints, for example hard, medium and soft constraints.

These constraints define the *score calculation* (AKA *fitness function*) of a planning problem. Each solution of a planning problem can be graded with a score. **With OptaPlanner, score constraints are written in an Object Oriented language, such as Java™ code**. Such code is easy, flexible and scalable.

1.2.3. A planning problem has a huge search space

A planning problem has a number of *solutions*. There are several categories of solutions:

- A *possible solution* is any solution, whether or not it breaks any number of constraints. Planning problems tend to have an incredibly large number of possible solutions. Many of those solutions are worthless.
- A *feasible solution* is a solution that does not break any (negative) hard constraints. The number of feasible solutions tends to be relative to the number of possible solutions. Sometimes there are no feasible solutions. Every feasible solution is a possible solution.
- An *optimal solution* is a solution with the highest score. Planning problems tend to have 1 or a few optimal solutions. There is always at least 1 optimal solution, even in the case that there are no feasible solutions and the optimal solution isn't feasible.
- The *best solution found* is the solution with the highest score found by an implementation in a given amount of time. The best solution found is likely to be feasible and, given enough time, it's an optimal solution.

Counterintuitively, the number of possible solutions is huge (if calculated correctly), even with a small dataset. As you can see in the examples, most instances have a lot more possible solutions than the minimal number of atoms in the known universe (10^80). Because there is no silver bullet to find the optimal solution, any implementation is forced to evaluate at least a subset of all those possible solutions.

OptaPlanner supports several optimization algorithms to efficiently wade through that incredibly large number of possible solutions. Depending on the use case, some optimization algorithms perform better than others, but it's impossible to tell in advance. **With OptaPlanner, it is easy to switch the optimization algorithm**, by changing the solver configuration in a few lines of XML or code.

1.3. Requirements

OptaPlanner is *open source* software, released under the Apache License 2.0. This license is very liberal and allows reuse for commercial purposes. Read the layman's explanation.

OptaPlanner is 100% pure Java™ and runs on Java 11 or higher. It integrates very easily with other Java™ technologies. OptaPlanner is available in the Maven Central Repository.

OptaPlanner works on any Java Virtual Machine and is compatible with the major JVM languages and all major platforms.



1.4. Governance

1.4.1. Status of OptaPlanner

OptaPlanner is stable, reliable and scalable. It has been heavily tested with unit, integration, and stress tests, and is used in production throughout the world. One example handles over 50 000 variables with 5000 values each, multiple constraint types and billions of possible constraint matches.

See Release notes for an overview of recent activity in the project.

1.4.2. Backwards compatibility

OptaPlanner separates its API and implementation:

- **Public API**: All classes in the package namespace **org.optaplanner.core.api**, **org.optaplanner.benchmark.api**, **org.optaplanner.test.api** and **org.optaplanner.persistence...api** are 100% **backwards compatible** in future releases (especially minor and hotfix releases). In rare circumstances, if the major version number changes, a few specific classes might have a few backwards incompatible changes, but those will be clearly documented in the upgrade recipe.
- **XML configuration**: The XML solver configuration is backwards compatible for all elements, except for elements that require the use of non-public API classes. The XML solver configuration

is defined by the classes in the package namespace **org.optaplanner.core.config** and **org.optaplanner.benchmark.config**.

- **Implementation classes**: All other classes are *not* backwards compatible. They will change in future major or minor releases (but probably not in hotfix releases). The upgrade recipe describes every such relevant change and on how to quickly deal with it when upgrading to a newer version.



This documentation covers some `impl` classes too. Those documented `impl` classes are reliable and safe to use (unless explicitly marked as experimental in this documentation), but we're just not entirely comfortable yet to write their signatures in stone.

1.4.3. Community and support

For news and articles, check our blog, twitter (including Geoffrey's twitter) and facebook.
If you're happy with OptaPlanner, make us happy by posting a tweet or blog article about it.

Public questions are welcome on here. Bugs and feature requests are welcome in our issue tracker. Pull requests are very welcome on GitHub and get priority treatment! By open sourcing your improvements, you'll benefit from our peer review and from our improvements made on top of your improvements.

Red Hat sponsors OptaPlanner development by employing the core team. For enterprise support and consulting, take a look at these services.

1.4.4. Relationship with KIE

OptaPlanner is part of the KIE group of projects. It releases regularly (typically every 3 weeks) together.

See the architecture overview to learn more about the optional integration with Drools.

1.5. Download and run the examples

1.5.1. Get the release ZIP and run the examples

To try it now:

1. Download a release zip of OptaPlanner from the OptaPlanner website and unzip it.
2. Open the directory *examples* and run the script.

   Linux or Mac:

   ```
   $ cd examples
   $ ./runExamples.sh
   ```

   Windows:

```
$ cd examples
$ runExamples.bat
```



The Examples GUI application will open. Pick an example to try it out:





OptaPlanner itself has no GUI dependencies. It runs just as well on a server or a mobile JVM as it does on the desktop.

1.5.2. Run the examples in an IDE

To run the examples in IntelliJ IDEA, VSCode or Eclipse:

1. Open the file *examples/sources/pom.xml* as a new project, the maven integration will take care of the rest.
2. Run the examples from the project.

1.5.3. Use OptaPlanner with Maven, Gradle, or ANT

The OptaPlanner jars are available in the central maven repository (and the snapshots in the JBoss maven repository).

If you use Maven, add a dependency to `optaplanner-core` in your `pom.xml`:

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
  <artifactId>optaplanner-core</artifactId>
  <version>...</version>
</dependency>
```

Or better yet, import the `optaplanner-bom` in `dependencyManagement` to avoid duplicating version numbers when adding other optaplanner dependencies later on:

```
<project>
  ...
  <dependencyManagement>
    <dependencies>
      <dependency>
        <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
        <artifactId>optaplanner-bom</artifactId>
        <type>pom</type>
        <version>...</version>
        <scope>import</scope>
      </dependency>
    </dependencies>
  </dependencyManagement>
  <dependencies>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-core</artifactId>
    </dependency>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-persistence-jpa</artifactId>
    </dependency>
    ...
  </dependencies>
</project>
```

If you use Gradle, add a dependency to `optaplanner-core` in your `build.gradle`:

```
dependencies {
  implementation 'org.optaplanner:optaplanner-core:...'
}
```

If you're still using ANT, copy all the jars from the download zip's `binaries` directory in your classpath.



The download zip's `binaries` directory contains far more jars then `optaplanner-core` actually uses. It also contains the jars used by other modules, such as `optaplanner-benchmark`.

Check the maven repository `pom.xml` files to determine the minimal dependency set of `optaplanner-core` etc.

1.5.4. Build OptaPlanner from source

Prerequisites

- Set up Git.
- Authenticate on GitHub using either HTTPS or SSH.
  - See GitHub for more information about setting up and authenticating Git.
- Set up Maven.

Build and run the examples from source.

1. Clone `optaplanner` from GitHub (or alternatively, download the zipball):

```
$ git clone https://github.com/kiegroup/optaplanner.git
...
```

2. Build it with Maven:

```
$ cd optaplanner
$ mvn clean install -DskipTests
...
```



The first time, Maven might take a long time, because it needs to download jars.

3. Run the examples:

```
$ cd optaplanner-examples
$ mvn exec:java
...
```

4. Edit the sources in your favorite IDE.

Chapter 2. Quick start

2.1. Overview

Each *quick start* gets you up and running with OptaPlanner quickly. Pick the quick start that best aligns with your requirements:

- **Hello World Java**
  - Build a simple Java application that uses OptaPlanner to optimize a school timetable for students and teachers.
- **Quarkus Java** (recommended)
  - Build a REST application that uses OptaPlanner to optimize a school timetable for students and teachers.
  - Quarkus is an extremely fast platform in the Java ecosystem. It is ideal for rapid incremental development, as well as deployment into the cloud. It also supports native compilation. It also offers increased performance for OptaPlanner, due to build time optimizations.
- **Spring Boot Java**
  - Build a REST application that uses OptaPlanner to optimize a school timetable for students and teachers.
  - Spring Boot is another platform in the Java ecosystem.

All three quick starts use OptaPlanner to optimize a school timetable for student and teachers:



For other use cases, take a look at the optaplanner-quickstarts repository and the use cases chapter.

2.2. Hello world Java quick start

This guide walks you through the process of creating a simple Java application with OptaPlanner's constraint solving Artificial Intelligence (AI).

2.2.1. What you will build

You will build a command-line application that optimizes a school timetable for students and teachers:

```
...
INFO  Solving ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/9soft), ...
INFO
INFO  |            | Room A     | Room B     | Room C     |
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
INFO  | MON 08:30  | English    | Math       |            |
INFO  |            | I. Jones   | A. Turing  |            |
INFO  |            | 9th grade  | 10th grade |            |
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
INFO  | MON 09:30  | History    | Physics    |            |
INFO  |            | I. Jones   | M. Curie   |            |
```

```
INFO  |            | 9th grade  | 10th grade |            |
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
INFO  | MON 10:30  | History    | Physics    |            |
INFO  |            | I. Jones   | M. Curie   |            |
INFO  |            | 10th grade | 9th grade  |            |
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
...
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
```

Your application will assign `Lesson` instances to `Timeslot` and `Room` instances automatically by using AI to adhere to hard and soft scheduling *constraints*, for example:

- A room can have at most one lesson at the same time.
- A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
- A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
- A teacher prefers to teach all lessons in the same room.
- A teacher prefers to teach sequential lessons and dislikes gaps between lessons.
- A student dislikes sequential lessons on the same subject.

Mathematically speaking, school timetabling is an *NP-hard* problem. This means it is difficult to scale. Simply brute force iterating through all possible combinations takes millions of years for a non-trivial dataset, even on a supercomputer. Fortunately, AI constraint solvers such as OptaPlanner have advanced algorithms that deliver a near-optimal solution in a reasonable amount of time.

2.2.2. Solution source code

Follow the instructions in the next sections to create the application step by step (recommended).

Alternatively, review the completed example:

1. Complete one of the following tasks:
   a. Clone the Git repository:

      ```
      $ git clone https://github.com/kiegroup/optaplanner-quickstarts
      ```

   b. Download an archive.
2. Find the solution in the `hello-world` directory.
3. Follow the instructions in the README file to run the application.

2.2.3. Prerequisites

To complete this guide, you need:

- JDK 11+ with `JAVA_HOME` configured appropriately

- Apache Maven 3.8.1+ or Gradle 4+
- An IDE, such as IntelliJ IDEA, VSCode or Eclipse

2.2.4. The build file and the dependencies

Create a Maven or Gradle build file and add these dependencies:

- `optaplanner-core` (compile scope) to solve the school timetable problem.
- `optaplanner-test` (test scope) to JUnit test the school timetabling constraints.
- A logging implementation, such as `logback-classic` (runtime scope), to see what OptaPlanner is doing.

If you choose Maven, your `pom.xml` file has the following content:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<project xmlns="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
         xsi:schemaLocation="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0
https://maven.apache.org/xsd/maven-4.0.0.xsd">
  <modelVersion>4.0.0</modelVersion>

  <groupId>org.acme</groupId>
  <artifactId>optaplanner-hello-world-school-timetabling-quickstart</artifactId>
  <version>1.0-SNAPSHOT</version>

  <properties>
    <maven.compiler.release>11</maven.compiler.release>
    <project.build.sourceEncoding>UTF-8</project.build.sourceEncoding>
  </properties>

  <dependencyManagement>
    <dependencies>
      <dependency>
        <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
        <artifactId>optaplanner-bom</artifactId>
        <version>8.35.0.Final</version>
        <type>pom</type>
        <scope>import</scope>
      </dependency>
      <dependency>
        <groupId>ch.qos.logback</groupId>
        <artifactId>logback-classic</artifactId>
        <version>1.2.3</version>
      </dependency>
    </dependencies>
  </dependencyManagement>

  <dependencies>
    <dependency>
```

```
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-core</artifactId>
    </dependency>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>ch.qos.logback</groupId>
      <artifactId>logback-classic</artifactId>
      <scope>runtime</scope>
    </dependency>

    <!-- Testing -->
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-test</artifactId>
      <scope>test</scope>
    </dependency>
  </dependencies>

  <build>
    <plugins>
      <plugin>
        <groupId>org.codehaus.mojo</groupId>
        <artifactId>exec-maven-plugin</artifactId>
        <version>3.0.0</version>
        <configuration>
          <mainClass>org.acme.schooltimetabling.TimeTableApp</mainClass>
        </configuration>
      </plugin>
    </plugins>
  </build>
</project>
```

On the other hand, in Gradle, your `build.gradle` file has this content:

```
plugins {
    id "java"
    id "application"
}

def optaplannerVersion = "8.35.0.Final"
def logbackVersion = "1.2.9"

group = "org.acme"
version = "1.0-SNAPSHOT"

repositories {
    mavenCentral()
}

dependencies {
    implementation platform("org.optaplanner:optaplanner-bom:${optaplannerVersion}")
```

```
    implementation "org.optaplanner:optaplanner-core"
    testImplementation "org.optaplanner:optaplanner-test"

    runtimeOnly "ch.qos.logback:logback-classic:${logbackVersion}"
}

java {
    sourceCompatibility = JavaVersion.VERSION_11
    targetCompatibility = JavaVersion.VERSION_11
}

compileJava {
    options.encoding = "UTF-8"
    options.compilerArgs << "-parameters"
}

compileTestJava {
    options.encoding = "UTF-8"
}

application {
    mainClass = "org.acme.schooltimetabling.TimeTableApp"
}

test {
    // Log the test execution results.
    testLogging {
        events "passed", "skipped", "failed"
    }
}
```

2.2.5. Model the domain objects

Your goal is to assign each lesson to a time slot and a room. You will create these classes:



2.2.5.1. Timeslot

The `Timeslot` class represents a time interval when lessons are taught, for example, `Monday 10:30 - 11:30` or `Tuesday 13:30 - 14:30`. For simplicity's sake, all time slots have the same duration and there are no time slots during lunch or other breaks.

A time slot has no date, because a high school schedule just repeats every week. So there is no need for continuous planning.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Timeslot.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;

public class Timeslot {

    private DayOfWeek dayOfWeek;
    private LocalTime startTime;
    private LocalTime endTime;

    public Timeslot() {
    }

    public Timeslot(DayOfWeek dayOfWeek, LocalTime startTime, LocalTime endTime) {
        this.dayOfWeek = dayOfWeek;
        this.startTime = startTime;
        this.endTime = endTime;
    }
```

```
    public DayOfWeek getDayOfWeek() {
        return dayOfWeek;
    }

    public LocalTime getStartTime() {
        return startTime;
    }

    public LocalTime getEndTime() {
        return endTime;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return dayOfWeek + " " + startTime;
    }

}
```

Because no `Timeslot` instances change during solving, a `Timeslot` is called a *problem fact*. Such classes do not require any OptaPlanner specific annotations.

Notice the `toString()` method keeps the output short, so it is easier to read OptaPlanner's `DEBUG` or `TRACE` log, as shown later.

2.2.5.2. Room

The `Room` class represents a location where lessons are taught, for example, `Room A` or `Room B`. For simplicity's sake, all rooms are without capacity limits and they can accommodate all lessons.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Room.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

public class Room {

    private String name;

    public Room() {
    }

    public Room(String name) {
        this.name = name;
    }

    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }

    @Override
```

```
    public String toString() {
        return name;
    }

}
```

`Room` instances do not change during solving, so `Room` is also a *problem fact*.

2.2.5.3. Lesson

During a lesson, represented by the `Lesson` class, a teacher teaches a subject to a group of students, for example, `Math by A.Turing for 9th grade` or `Chemistry by M.Curie for 10th grade`. If a subject is taught multiple times per week by the same teacher to the same student group, there are multiple `Lesson` instances that are only distinguishable by `id`. For example, the 9th grade has six math lessons a week.

During solving, OptaPlanner changes the `timeslot` and `room` fields of the `Lesson` class, to assign each lesson to a time slot and a room. Because OptaPlanner changes these fields, `Lesson` is a *planning entity*:



Most of the fields in the previous diagram contain input data, except for the orange fields: A lesson's `timeslot` and `room` fields are unassigned (`null`) in the input data and assigned (not `null`) in the output data. OptaPlanner changes these fields during solving. Such fields are called planning variables. In order for OptaPlanner to recognize them, both the `timeslot` and `room` fields require an `@PlanningVariable` annotation. Their containing class, `Lesson`, requires an `@PlanningEntity` annotation.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Lesson.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;
```

```
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.entity.PlanningEntity;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.lookup.PlanningId;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.variable.PlanningVariable;

@PlanningEntity
public class Lesson {

    @PlanningId
    private Long id;

    private String subject;
    private String teacher;
    private String studentGroup;

    @PlanningVariable
    private Timeslot timeslot;
    @PlanningVariable
    private Room room;

    public Lesson() {
    }

    public Lesson(Long id, String subject, String teacher, String studentGroup) {
        this.id = id;
        this.subject = subject;
        this.teacher = teacher;
        this.studentGroup = studentGroup;
    }

    public Long getId() {
        return id;
    }

    public String getSubject() {
        return subject;
    }

    public String getTeacher() {
        return teacher;
    }

    public String getStudentGroup() {
        return studentGroup;
    }

    public Timeslot getTimeslot() {
        return timeslot;
    }

    public void setTimeslot(Timeslot timeslot) {
```

```
        this.timeslot = timeslot;
    }

    public Room getRoom() {
        return room;
    }

    public void setRoom(Room room) {
        this.room = room;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return subject + "(" + id + ")";
    }

}
```

The `Lesson` class has an `@PlanningEntity` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that this class changes during solving because it contains one or more planning variables.

The `timeslot` field has an `@PlanningVariable` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that it can change its value. In order to find potential `Timeslot` instances to assign to this field, OptaPlanner uses the variable type to connect to a value range provider that provides a `List<Timeslot>` to pick from.

The `room` field also has an `@PlanningVariable` annotation, for the same reasons.



Determining the `@PlanningVariable` fields for an arbitrary constraint solving use case is often challenging the first time. Read the domain modeling guidelines to avoid common pitfalls.

2.2.6. Define the constraints and calculate the score

A *score* represents the quality of a specific solution. The higher the better. OptaPlanner looks for the best solution, which is the solution with the highest score found in the available time. It might be the *optimal* solution.

Because this use case has hard and soft constraints, use the `HardSoftScore` class to represent the score:

- Hard constraints must not be broken. For example: *A room can have at most one lesson at the same time.*
- Soft constraints should not be broken. For example: *A teacher prefers to teach in a single room.*

Hard constraints are weighted against other hard constraints. Soft constraints are weighted too, against other soft constraints. **Hard constraints always outweigh soft constraints**, regardless of their respective weights.

To calculate the score, you could implement an `EasyScoreCalculator` class:

```
public class TimeTableEasyScoreCalculator implements EasyScoreCalculator<TimeTable,
HardSoftScore> {

    @Override
    public HardSoftScore calculateScore(TimeTable timeTable) {
        List<Lesson> lessonList = timeTable.getLessonList();
        int hardScore = 0;
        for (Lesson a : lessonList) {
            for (Lesson b : lessonList) {
                if (a.getTimeslot() != null && a.getTimeslot().equals(b.getTimeslot())
                        && a.getId() < b.getId()) {
                    // A room can accommodate at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getRoom() != null && a.getRoom().equals(b.getRoom())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                    // A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getTeacher().equals(b.getTeacher())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                    // A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getStudentGroup().equals(b.getStudentGroup())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        int softScore = 0;
        // Soft constraints are only implemented in the optaplanner-quickstarts code
        return HardSoftScore.of(hardScore, softScore);
    }

}
```

Unfortunately **that does not scale well**, because it is non-incremental: every time a lesson is assigned to a different time slot or room, all lessons are re-evaluated to calculate the new score.

Instead, create a `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/solver/TimeTableConstraintProvider.java` class to perform incremental score calculation. It uses OptaPlanner's ConstraintStream API which is inspired by Java Streams and SQL:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Constraint;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintFactory;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Joiners;
```

```
public class TimeTableConstraintProvider implements ConstraintProvider {

    @Override
    public Constraint[] defineConstraints(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return new Constraint[] {
                // Hard constraints
                roomConflict(constraintFactory),
                teacherConflict(constraintFactory),
                studentGroupConflict(constraintFactory),
                // Soft constraints are only implemented in the optaplanner-
quickstarts code
        };
    }

    private Constraint roomConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A room can accommodate at most one lesson at the same time.

        // Select a lesson ...
        return constraintFactory
                .forEach(Lesson.class)
                // ... and pair it with another lesson ...
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        // ... in the same timeslot ...
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        // ... in the same room ...
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getRoom),
                        // ... and the pair is unique (different id, no reverse pairs)
...
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                // ... then penalize each pair with a hard weight.
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Room conflict");
    }

    private Constraint teacherConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Lesson.class)
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTeacher),
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Teacher conflict");
    }

    private Constraint studentGroupConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Lesson.class)
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
```

```
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getStudentGroup),
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Student group conflict");
    }

}
```

The `ConstraintProvider` scales an order of magnitude better than the `EasyScoreCalculator`: *O*(n) instead of *O*(n²).

2.2.7. Gather the domain objects in a planning solution

A `TimeTable` wraps all `Timeslot`, `Room`, and `Lesson` instances of a single dataset. Furthermore, because it contains all lessons, each with a specific planning variable state, it is a *planning solution* and it has a score:

- If lessons are still unassigned, then it is an *uninitialized* solution, for example, a solution with the score `-4init/0hard/0soft`.
- If it breaks hard constraints, then it is an *infeasible* solution, for example, a solution with the score `-2hard/-3soft`.
- If it adheres to all hard constraints, then it is a *feasible* solution, for example, a solution with the score `0hard/-7soft`.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/TimeTable.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import java.util.List;

import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningEntityCollectionProperty;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningSolution;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.ProblemFactCollectionProperty;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.valuerange.ValueRangeProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;

@PlanningSolution
public class TimeTable {

    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<Timeslot> timeslotList;
    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<Room> roomList;
    @PlanningEntityCollectionProperty
    private List<Lesson> lessonList;
```

```
    @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

    public TimeTable() {
    }

    public TimeTable(List<Timeslot> timeslotList, List<Room> roomList, List<Lesson>
lessonList) {
        this.timeslotList = timeslotList;
        this.roomList = roomList;
        this.lessonList = lessonList;
    }

    public List<Timeslot> getTimeslotList() {
        return timeslotList;
    }

    public List<Room> getRoomList() {
        return roomList;
    }

    public List<Lesson> getLessonList() {
        return lessonList;
    }

    public HardSoftScore getScore() {
        return score;
    }

}
```

The `TimeTable` class has an `@PlanningSolution` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that this class contains all of the input and output data.

Specifically, this class is the input of the problem:

- A `timeslotList` field with all time slots
  - This is a list of problem facts, because they do not change during solving.
- A `roomList` field with all rooms
  - This is a list of problem facts, because they do not change during solving.
- A `lessonList` field with all lessons
  - This is a list of planning entities, because they change during solving.
  - Of each `Lesson`:
    - The values of the `timeslot` and `room` fields are typically still `null`, so unassigned. They are planning variables.
    - The other fields, such as `subject`, `teacher` and `studentGroup`, are filled in. These fields are problem properties.

However, this class is also the output of the solution:

- A `lessonList` field for which each `Lesson` instance has non-null `timeslot` and `room` fields after solving
- A `score` field that represents the quality of the output solution, for example, `0hard/-5soft`

2.2.7.1. The value range providers

The `timeslotList` field is a value range provider. It holds the `Timeslot` instances which OptaPlanner can pick from to assign to the `timeslot` field of `Lesson` instances. The `timeslotList` field has an `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation to connect the `@PlanningVariable` with the `@ValueRangeProvider`, by matching the type of the planning variable with the type returned by the value range provider.

Following the same logic, the `roomList` field also has an `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation.

2.2.7.2. The problem fact and planning entity properties

Furthermore, OptaPlanner needs to know which `Lesson` instances it can change as well as how to retrieve the `Timeslot` and `Room` instances used for score calculation by your `TimeTableConstraintProvider`.

The `timeslotList` and `roomList` fields have an `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty` annotation, so your `TimeTableConstraintProvider` can select *from* those instances.

The `lessonList` has an `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty` annotation, so OptaPlanner can change them during solving and your `TimeTableConstraintProvider` can select *from* those too.

2.2.8. Create the application

Now you are ready to put everything together and create a Java application. The `main()` method performs the following tasks:

1. Creates the `SolverFactory` to build a `Solver` per dataset.
2. Loads a dataset.
3. Solves it with `Solver.solve()`.
4. Visualizes the solution for that dataset.

Typically, an application has a single `SolverFactory` to build a new `Solver` instance for each problem dataset to solve. A `SolverFactory` is thread-safe, but a `Solver` is not. In this case, there is only one dataset, so only one `Solver` instance.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/TimeTableApp.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.Duration;
import java.time.LocalTime;
import java.util.ArrayList;
```

```
import java.util.Collections;
import java.util.List;
import java.util.Map;
import java.util.stream.Collectors;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver.TimeTableConstraintProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.Solver;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverFactory;
import org.optaplanner.core.config.solver.SolverConfig;
import org.slf4j.Logger;
import org.slf4j.LoggerFactory;

public class TimeTableApp {

    private static final Logger LOGGER = LoggerFactory.getLogger(TimeTableApp.class);

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        SolverFactory<TimeTable> solverFactory = SolverFactory.create(new
SolverConfig()
                .withSolutionClass(TimeTable.class)
                .withEntityClasses(Lesson.class)
                .withConstraintProviderClass(TimeTableConstraintProvider.class)
                // The solver runs only for 5 seconds on this small dataset.
                // It's recommended to run for at least 5 minutes ("5m") otherwise.
                .withTerminationSpentLimit(Duration.ofSeconds(5)));

        // Load the problem
        TimeTable problem = generateDemoData();

        // Solve the problem
        Solver<TimeTable> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
        TimeTable solution = solver.solve(problem);

        // Visualize the solution
        printTimetable(solution);
    }

    public static TimeTable generateDemoData() {
        List<Timeslot> timeslotList = new ArrayList<>(10);
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(8, 30),
LocalTime.of(9, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(9, 30),
LocalTime.of(10, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(10, 30),
LocalTime.of(11, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(13, 30),
LocalTime.of(14, 30)));
```

```
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(14, 30),
LocalTime.of(15, 30)));

        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY, LocalTime.of(8, 30),
LocalTime.of(9, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY, LocalTime.of(9, 30),
LocalTime.of(10, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY, LocalTime.of(10, 30),
LocalTime.of(11, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY, LocalTime.of(13, 30),
LocalTime.of(14, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY, LocalTime.of(14, 30),
LocalTime.of(15, 30)));

        List<Room> roomList = new ArrayList<>(3);
        roomList.add(new Room("Room A"));
        roomList.add(new Room("Room B"));
        roomList.add(new Room("Room C"));

        List<Lesson> lessonList = new ArrayList<>();
        long id = 0;
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Math", "A. Turing", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Math", "A. Turing", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Physics", "M. Curie", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Chemistry", "M. Curie", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Biology", "C. Darwin", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "History", "I. Jones", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "English", "I. Jones", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "English", "I. Jones", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Spanish", "P. Cruz", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Spanish", "P. Cruz", "9th grade"));

        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Math", "A. Turing", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Math", "A. Turing", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Math", "A. Turing", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Physics", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Chemistry", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "French", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Geography", "C. Darwin", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "History", "I. Jones", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "English", "P. Cruz", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(id++, "Spanish", "P. Cruz", "10th grade"));

        return new TimeTable(timeslotList, roomList, lessonList);
    }

    private static void printTimetable(TimeTable timeTable) {
        LOGGER.info("");
        List<Room> roomList = timeTable.getRoomList();
        List<Lesson> lessonList = timeTable.getLessonList();
        Map<Timeslot, Map<Room, List<Lesson>>> lessonMap = lessonList.stream()
```

```
                .filter(lesson -> lesson.getTimeslot() != null && lesson.getRoom() !=
null)
                .collect(Collectors.groupingBy(Lesson::getTimeslot, Collectors
.groupingBy(Lesson::getRoom)));
        LOGGER.info("|            | " + roomList.stream()
                .map(room -> String.format("%-10s", room.getName())).collect
(Collectors.joining(" | ")) + " |");
        LOGGER.info("|" + "------------|".repeat(roomList.size() + 1));
        for (Timeslot timeslot : timeTable.getTimeslotList()) {
            List<List<Lesson>> cellList = roomList.stream()
                    .map(room -> {
                        Map<Room, List<Lesson>> byRoomMap = lessonMap.get(timeslot);
                        if (byRoomMap == null) {
                            return Collections.<Lesson>emptyList();
                        }
                        List<Lesson> cellLessonList = byRoomMap.get(room);
                        if (cellLessonList == null) {
                            return Collections.<Lesson>emptyList();
                        }
                        return cellLessonList;
                    })
                    .collect(Collectors.toList());

            LOGGER.info("| " + String.format("%-10s",
                    timeslot.getDayOfWeek().toString().substring(0, 3) + " " +
timeslot.getStartTime()) + " | "
                    + cellList.stream().map(cellLessonList -> String.format("%-10s",
                            cellLessonList.stream().map(Lesson::getSubject).collect
(Collectors.joining(", "))))
                            .collect(Collectors.joining(" | "))
                    + " |");
            LOGGER.info("|            | "
                    + cellList.stream().map(cellLessonList -> String.format("%-10s",
                            cellLessonList.stream().map(Lesson::getTeacher).collect
(Collectors.joining(", "))))
                            .collect(Collectors.joining(" | "))
                    + " |");
            LOGGER.info("|            | "
                    + cellList.stream().map(cellLessonList -> String.format("%-10s",
                            cellLessonList.stream().map(Lesson::getStudentGroup)
.collect(Collectors.joining(", "))))
                            .collect(Collectors.joining(" | "))
                    + " |");
            LOGGER.info("|" + "------------|".repeat(roomList.size() + 1));
        }
        List<Lesson> unassignedLessons = lessonList.stream()
                .filter(lesson -> lesson.getTimeslot() == null || lesson.getRoom() ==
null)
                .collect(Collectors.toList());
        if (!unassignedLessons.isEmpty()) {
            LOGGER.info("");
```

```
            LOGGER.info("Unassigned lessons");
            for (Lesson lesson : unassignedLessons) {
                LOGGER.info("  " + lesson.getSubject() + " - " + lesson.getTeacher() +
" - " + lesson.getStudentGroup());
            }
        }
    }

}
```

The `main()` method first creates the `SolverFactory`:

```
SolverFactory<TimeTable> solverFactory = SolverFactory.create(new SolverConfig()
        .withSolutionClass(TimeTable.class)
        .withEntityClasses(Lesson.class)
        .withConstraintProviderClass(TimeTableConstraintProvider.class)
        // The solver runs only for 5 seconds on this small dataset.
        // It's recommended to run for at least 5 minutes ("5m") otherwise.
        .withTerminationSpentLimit(Duration.ofSeconds(5)));
```

This registers the `@PlanningSolution` class, the `@PlanningEntity` classes, and the `ConstraintProvider` class, all of which you created earlier.

Without a termination setting or a `terminationEarly()` event, the solver runs forever. To avoid that, the solver limits the solving time to five seconds.

After five seconds, the `main()` method loads the problem, solves it, and prints the solution:

```
        // Load the problem
        TimeTable problem = generateDemoData();

        // Solve the problem
        Solver<TimeTable> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
        TimeTable solution = solver.solve(problem);

        // Visualize the solution
        printTimetable(solution);
```

The `solve()` method doesn't return instantly. It runs for five seconds before returning the best solution.

OptaPlanner returns *the best solution* found in the available termination time. Due to the nature of NP-hard problems, the best solution might not be optimal, especially for larger datasets. Increase the termination time to potentially find a better solution.

The `generateDemoData()` method generates the school timetable problem to solve.

The `printTimetable()` method pretty prints the timetable to the console, so it's easy to determine

visually whether or not it's a good schedule.

2.2.8.1. Configure logging

To see any output in the console, logging must be configured properly.

Create the `src/main/resource/logback.xml` file:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<configuration>

  <appender name="consoleAppender" class="ch.qos.logback.core.ConsoleAppender">
    <encoder>
      <pattern>%d{HH:mm:ss.SSS} [%-12.12t] %-5p %m%n</pattern>
    </encoder>
  </appender>

  <logger name="org.optaplanner" level="info"/>

  <root level="info">
    <appender-ref ref="consoleAppender" />
  </root>

</configuration>
```

2.2.9. Run the application

2.2.9.1. Run the application in IDE

Run that `TimeTableApp` class as the main class of a normal Java application:

```
...
INFO  |            | Room A     | Room B     | Room C     |
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
INFO  | MON 08:30  | English    | Math       |            |
INFO  |            | I. Jones   | A. Turing  |            |
INFO  |            | 9th grade  | 10th grade |            |
INFO  |------------|------------|------------|------------|
INFO  | MON 09:30  | History    | Physics    |            |
INFO  |            | I. Jones   | M. Curie   |            |
INFO  |            | 9th grade  | 10th grade |            |
...
```

Verify the console output. Does it conform to all hard constraints? What happens if you comment out the `roomConflict` constraint in `TimeTableConstraintProvider`?

The `info` log shows what OptaPlanner did in those five seconds:

```
... Solving started: time spent (33), best score (-8init/0hard/0soft), environment
mode (REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
... Construction Heuristic phase (0) ended: time spent (73), best score (0hard/0soft),
score calculation speed (459/sec), step total (4).
... Local Search phase (1) ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/0soft), score
calculation speed (28949/sec), step total (28398).
... Solving ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/0soft), score calculation
speed (28524/sec), phase total (2), environment mode (REPRODUCIBLE).
```

2.2.9.2. Test the application

A good application includes test coverage.

2.2.9.2.1. Test the constraints

To test each constraint in isolation, use a `ConstraintVerifier` in unit tests. This tests each constraint's corner cases in isolation from the other tests, which lowers maintenance when adding a new constraint with proper test coverage.

Create the `src/test/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/solver/TimeTableConstraintProviderTest.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import org.optaplanner.test.api.score.stream.ConstraintVerifier;

class TimeTableConstraintProviderTest {

    private static final Room ROOM1 = new Room("Room1");
    private static final Timeslot TIMESLOT1 = new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY,
LocalTime.NOON);
    private static final Timeslot TIMESLOT2 = new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY,
LocalTime.NOON);

    ConstraintVerifier<TimeTableConstraintProvider, TimeTable> constraintVerifier =
ConstraintVerifier.build(
            new TimeTableConstraintProvider(), TimeTable.class, Lesson.class);

    @Test
    void roomConflict() {
        Lesson firstLesson = new Lesson(1, "Subject1", "Teacher1", "Group1",
```

```
TIMESLOT1, ROOM1);
        Lesson conflictingLesson = new Lesson(2, "Subject2", "Teacher2", "Group2",
TIMESLOT1, ROOM1);
        Lesson nonConflictingLesson = new Lesson(3, "Subject3", "Teacher3", "Group3",
TIMESLOT2, ROOM1);
        constraintVerifier.verifyThat(TimeTableConstraintProvider::roomConflict)
                .given(firstLesson, conflictingLesson, nonConflictingLesson)
                .penalizesBy(1);
    }

}
```

This test verifies that the constraint `TimeTableConstraintProvider::roomConflict` penalizes with a match weight of `1` when given three lessons in the same room, where two lessons have the same timeslot. Therefore, a constraint weight of `10hard` would reduce the score by `-10hard`.

Notice how `ConstraintVerifier` ignores the constraint weight during testing - even if those constraint weights are hard coded in the `ConstraintProvider` - because constraints weights change regularly before going into production. This way, constraint weight tweaking does not break the unit tests.

For more, see Testing Constraint Streams.

2.2.9.3. Logging

When adding constraints in your `ConstraintProvider`, keep an eye on the *score calculation speed* in the `info` log, after solving for the same amount of time, to assess the performance impact:

```
... Solving ended: ..., score calculation speed (29455/sec), ...
```

To understand how OptaPlanner is solving your problem internally, change the logging in the `logback.xml` file:

```
  <logger name="org.optaplanner" level="debug"/>
```

Use `debug` logging to show every *step*:

```
... Solving started: time spent (67), best score (-20init/0hard/0soft), environment
mode (REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
...     CH step (0), time spent (128), score (-18init/0hard/0soft), selected move
count (15), picked move ([Math(101) {null -> Room A}, Math(101) {null -> MONDAY
08:30}]).
...     CH step (1), time spent (145), score (-16init/0hard/0soft), selected move
count (15), picked move ([Physics(102) {null -> Room A}, Physics(102) {null -> MONDAY
09:30}]).
...
```

Use `trace` logging to show every *step* and every *move* per step.

2.2.9.4. Make a standalone application

In order to run the application outside an IDE easily, you will need to make some changes to the configuration of your build tool.

2.2.9.4.1. Executable JAR in Maven

In Maven, add the following to your `pom.xml`:

```
  ...
  <build>
    <plugins>
      <plugin>
        <groupId>org.apache.maven.plugins</groupId>
        <artifactId>maven-assembly-plugin</artifactId>
        <version>${version.assembly.plugin}</version>
        <configuration>
          <finalName>hello-world-run</finalName>
          <appendAssemblyId>false</appendAssemblyId>
          <descriptors>
            <descriptor>src/assembly/jar-with-dependencies-and-
services.xml</descriptor>
          </descriptors>
          <archive>
            <manifestEntries>
              <Main-Class>org.acme.schooltimetabling.TimeTableApp</Main-Class>
              <Multi-Release>true</Multi-Release>
            </manifestEntries>
          </archive>
        </configuration>
        <executions>
          <execution>
            <id>make-assembly</id>
            <phase>package</phase>
            <goals>
              <goal>single</goal>
            </goals>
          </execution>
        </executions>
      </plugin>
      ...
    </plugins>
    ...
  </build>
  ...
```

Also, create a new file in `src/assembly` directory called `jar-with-dependencies-and-services.xml` with the following contents:

```
  <assembly xmlns="http://maven.apache.org/ASSEMBLY/2.1.0"
            xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
            xsi:schemaLocation="http://maven.apache.org/ASSEMBLY/2.1.0
http://maven.apache.org/xsd/assembly-2.1.0.xsd">
    <id>jar-with-dependencies-and-services</id>
    <formats>
      <format>jar</format>
    </formats>
    <containerDescriptorHandlers>
      <containerDescriptorHandler>
        <handlerName>metaInf-services</handlerName>
      </containerDescriptorHandler>
    </containerDescriptorHandlers>
    <includeBaseDirectory>false</includeBaseDirectory>
    <dependencySets>
      <dependencySet>
        <outputDirectory>/</outputDirectory>
        <useProjectArtifact>true</useProjectArtifact>
        <unpack>true</unpack>
        <scope>runtime</scope>
      </dependencySet>
    </dependencySets>
  </assembly>
```

This enables the Maven Assembly Plugin and tells it to do the following:

- Take all dependencies of your project and put their classes and resources into a new JAR.
  - If any of the dependencies use Java SPI, it properly bundles all the service descriptors.
  - If any of the dependencies are multi-release JARs, it takes that into account.
- Set that JAR's main class to be `org.acme.schooltimetabling.TimeTableApp`.
- Make that JAR available as `hello-world-run.jar` in your project's build directory, most likely `target/`.

This executable JAR can be run like any other JAR:

```
$ mvn clean install
...
$ java -jar target/hello-world-run.jar
```

2.2.9.4.2. Executable application in Gradle

In Gradle, add the following to your `build.gradle`:

```
application {
    mainClass = "org.acme.schooltimetabling.TimeTableApp"
```

```
}
```

After building the project, you can find an archive with a runnable application inside the `build/distributions/` directory.

2.2.10. Summary

Congratulations! You have just developed a Java application with OptaPlanner!

If you ran into any issues, take a look at the quickstart source code.

Read the next guide to build a pretty web application for school timetabling with a REST service and database integration, by leveraging Quarkus.

2.3. Quarkus Java quick start

This guide walks you through the process of creating a Quarkus application with OptaPlanner's constraint solving Artificial Intelligence (AI).

2.3.1. What you will build

You will build a REST application that optimizes a school timetable for students and teachers:



Your service will assign `Lesson` instances to `Timeslot` and `Room` instances automatically by using AI to adhere to hard and soft scheduling *constraints*, such as the following examples:

- A room can have at most one lesson at the same time.
- A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
- A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
- A teacher prefers to teach all lessons in the same room.
- A teacher prefers to teach sequential lessons and dislikes gaps between lessons.
- A student dislikes sequential lessons on the same subject.

Mathematically speaking, school timetabling is an *NP-hard* problem. This means it is difficult to scale. Simply brute force iterating through all possible combinations takes millions of years for a non-trivial dataset, even on a supercomputer. Luckily, AI constraint solvers such as OptaPlanner have advanced algorithms that deliver a near-optimal solution in a reasonable amount of time.

2.3.2. Solution source code

Follow the instructions in the next sections to create the application step by step (recommended).

Alternatively, you can also skip right to the completed example:

1. Clone the Git repository:

   ```
   $ git clone https://github.com/kiegroup/optaplanner-quickstarts
   ```

   or download an archive.

2. Find the solution in the `use-cases` directory and run it (see its README file).

2.3.3. Prerequisites

To complete this guide, you need:

- JDK 11+ with `JAVA_HOME` configured appropriately
- Apache Maven 3.8.1+ or Gradle 4+
- An IDE, such as IntelliJ IDEA, VSCode or Eclipse

2.3.4. The build file and the dependencies

Use code.quarkus.io to generate an application with the following extensions, for Maven or Gradle:

- RESTEasy JAX-RS (`quarkus-resteasy`)
- RESTEasy Jackson (`quarkus-resteasy-jackson`)
- OptaPlanner (`optaplanner-quarkus`)
- OptaPlanner Jackson (`optaplanner-quarkus-jackson`)

Alternatively, generate it from the command line with Maven:

```
$ mvn io.quarkus:quarkus-maven-plugin:2.16.1.Final:create \
    -DprojectGroupId=org.acme \
    -DprojectArtifactId=optaplanner-quickstart \
    -Dextensions="resteasy,resteasy-jackson,optaplanner-quarkus,optaplanner-quarkus
-jackson" \
    -DnoExamples
$ cd optaplanner-quickstart
```

If you choose Maven, your `pom.xml` file has the following content:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<project xmlns="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
         xsi:schemaLocation="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0
https://maven.apache.org/xsd/maven-4.0.0.xsd">
  <modelVersion>4.0.0</modelVersion>

  <groupId>org.acme</groupId>
  <artifactId>optaplanner-quarkus-school-timetabling-quickstart</artifactId>
  <version>1.0-SNAPSHOT</version>

  <properties>
    <maven.compiler.release>11</maven.compiler.release>
    <project.build.sourceEncoding>UTF-8</project.build.sourceEncoding>

    <version.io.quarkus>2.16.1.Final</version.io.quarkus>
    <version.org.optaplanner>8.35.0.Final</version.org.optaplanner>
  </properties>

  <dependencyManagement>
    <dependencies>
      <dependency>
        <groupId>io.quarkus</groupId>
        <artifactId>quarkus-bom</artifactId>
        <version>${version.io.quarkus}</version>
        <type>pom</type>
        <scope>import</scope>
      </dependency>
      <dependency>
        <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
        <artifactId>optaplanner-bom</artifactId>
        <version>${version.org.optaplanner}</version>
        <type>pom</type>
        <scope>import</scope>
      </dependency>
    </dependencies>
  </dependencyManagement>
  <dependencies>
    <dependency>
```

```
      <groupId>io.quarkus</groupId>
      <artifactId>quarkus-resteasy</artifactId>
    </dependency>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>io.quarkus</groupId>
      <artifactId>quarkus-resteasy-jackson</artifactId>
    </dependency>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-quarkus</artifactId>
    </dependency>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-quarkus-jackson</artifactId>
    </dependency>

    <dependency>
      <groupId>io.quarkus</groupId>
      <artifactId>quarkus-junit5</artifactId>
      <scope>test</scope>
    </dependency>
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-test</artifactId>
      <scope>test</scope>
    </dependency>
  </dependencies>

  <build>
    <plugins>
      <plugin>
        <groupId>io.quarkus</groupId>
        <artifactId>quarkus-maven-plugin</artifactId>
        <version>${version.io.quarkus}</version>
        <executions>
          <execution>
            <goals>
              <goal>build</goal>
            </goals>
          </execution>
        </executions>
      </plugin>
      <plugin>
        <artifactId>maven-surefire-plugin</artifactId>
        <configuration>
          <systemPropertyVariables>
            <java.util.logging.manager>
org.jboss.logmanager.LogManager</java.util.logging.manager>
          </systemPropertyVariables>
        </configuration>
      </plugin>
```

```
    </plugins>
  </build>
</project>
```

On the other hand, in Gradle, your `build.gradle` file has this content:

```
plugins {
    id "java"
    id "io.quarkus" version "2.16.1.Final"
}

def quarkusVersion = "2.16.1.Final"
def optaplannerVersion = "8.35.0.Final"

group = "org.acme"
version = "1.0-SNAPSHOT"

repositories {
    mavenCentral()
}

dependencies {
    implementation platform("io.quarkus:quarkus-bom:${quarkusVersion}")
    implementation "io.quarkus:quarkus-resteasy"
    implementation "io.quarkus:quarkus-resteasy-jackson"
    testImplementation "io.quarkus:quarkus-junit5"

    implementation platform("org.optaplanner:optaplanner-bom:${optaplannerVersion}")
    implementation "org.optaplanner:optaplanner-quarkus"
    implementation "org.optaplanner:optaplanner-quarkus-jackson"
    testImplementation "org.optaplanner:optaplanner-test"
}

java {
    sourceCompatibility = JavaVersion.VERSION_11
    targetCompatibility = JavaVersion.VERSION_11
}

compileJava {
    options.encoding = "UTF-8"
    options.compilerArgs << "-parameters"
}

compileTestJava {
    options.encoding = "UTF-8"
}

test {
    systemProperty "java.util.logging.manager", "org.jboss.logmanager.LogManager"
```

```
}
```

2.3.5. Model the domain objects

Your goal is to assign each lesson to a time slot and a room. You will create these classes:



2.3.5.1. Timeslot

The `Timeslot` class represents a time interval when lessons are taught, for example, `Monday 10:30 - 11:30` or `Tuesday 13:30 - 14:30`. For simplicity's sake, all time slots have the same duration and there are no time slots during lunch or other breaks.

A time slot has no date, because a high school schedule just repeats every week. So there is no need for continuous planning.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Timeslot.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;

public class Timeslot {

    private DayOfWeek dayOfWeek;
    private LocalTime startTime;
    private LocalTime endTime;

    public Timeslot() {
    }
```

```
    public Timeslot(DayOfWeek dayOfWeek, LocalTime startTime, LocalTime endTime) {
        this.dayOfWeek = dayOfWeek;
        this.startTime = startTime;
        this.endTime = endTime;
    }

    public DayOfWeek getDayOfWeek() {
        return dayOfWeek;
    }

    public LocalTime getStartTime() {
        return startTime;
    }

    public LocalTime getEndTime() {
        return endTime;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return dayOfWeek + " " + startTime;
    }

}
```

Because no `Timeslot` instances change during solving, a `Timeslot` is called a *problem fact*. Such classes do not require any OptaPlanner specific annotations.

Notice the `toString()` method keeps the output short, so it is easier to read OptaPlanner's `DEBUG` or `TRACE` log, as shown later.

2.3.5.2. Room

The `Room` class represents a location where lessons are taught, for example, `Room A` or `Room B`. For simplicity's sake, all rooms are without capacity limits and they can accommodate all lessons.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Room.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

public class Room {

    private String name;

    public Room() {
    }

    public Room(String name) {
        this.name = name;
```

```
    }

    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return name;
    }

}
```

`Room` instances do not change during solving, so `Room` is also a *problem fact*.

2.3.5.3. Lesson

During a lesson, represented by the `Lesson` class, a teacher teaches a subject to a group of students, for example, `Math by A.Turing for 9th grade` or `Chemistry by M.Curie for 10th grade`. If a subject is taught multiple times per week by the same teacher to the same student group, there are multiple `Lesson` instances that are only distinguishable by `id`. For example, the 9th grade has six math lessons a week.

During solving, OptaPlanner changes the `timeslot` and `room` fields of the `Lesson` class, to assign each lesson to a time slot and a room. Because OptaPlanner changes these fields, `Lesson` is a *planning entity*:



Most of the fields in the previous diagram contain input data, except for the orange fields: A lesson's `timeslot` and `room` fields are unassigned (`null`) in the input data and assigned (not `null`) in the output data. OptaPlanner changes these fields during solving. Such fields are called planning

variables. In order for OptaPlanner to recognize them, both the `timeslot` and `room` fields require an `@PlanningVariable` annotation. Their containing class, `Lesson`, requires an `@PlanningEntity` annotation.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Lesson.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.entity.PlanningEntity;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.lookup.PlanningId;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.variable.PlanningVariable;

@PlanningEntity
public class Lesson {

    @PlanningId
    private Long id;

    private String subject;
    private String teacher;
    private String studentGroup;

    @PlanningVariable
    private Timeslot timeslot;
    @PlanningVariable
    private Room room;

    public Lesson() {
    }

    public Lesson(Long id, String subject, String teacher, String studentGroup) {
        this.id = id;
        this.subject = subject;
        this.teacher = teacher;
        this.studentGroup = studentGroup;
    }

    public Long getId() {
        return id;
    }

    public String getSubject() {
        return subject;
    }

    public String getTeacher() {
        return teacher;
    }

    public String getStudentGroup() {
```

```
        return studentGroup;
    }

    public Timeslot getTimeslot() {
        return timeslot;
    }

    public void setTimeslot(Timeslot timeslot) {
        this.timeslot = timeslot;
    }

    public Room getRoom() {
        return room;
    }

    public void setRoom(Room room) {
        this.room = room;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return subject + "(" + id + ")";
    }

}
```

The `Lesson` class has an `@PlanningEntity` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that this class changes during solving because it contains one or more planning variables.

The `timeslot` field has an `@PlanningVariable` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that it can change its value. In order to find potential `Timeslot` instances to assign to this field, OptaPlanner uses the variable type to connect to a value range provider that provides a `List<Timeslot>` to pick from.

The `room` field also has an `@PlanningVariable` annotation, for the same reasons.



Determining the `@PlanningVariable` fields for an arbitrary constraint solving use case is often challenging the first time. Read the domain modeling guidelines to avoid common pitfalls.

2.3.6. Define the constraints and calculate the score

A *score* represents the quality of a specific solution. The higher the better. OptaPlanner looks for the best solution, which is the solution with the highest score found in the available time. It might be the *optimal* solution.

Because this use case has hard and soft constraints, use the `HardSoftScore` class to represent the score:

- Hard constraints must not be broken. For example: *A room can have at most one lesson at the same time.*

- Soft constraints should not be broken. For example: *A teacher prefers to teach in a single room.*

Hard constraints are weighted against other hard constraints. Soft constraints are weighted too, against other soft constraints. **Hard constraints always outweigh soft constraints**, regardless of their respective weights.

To calculate the score, you could implement an `EasyScoreCalculator` class:

```
public class TimeTableEasyScoreCalculator implements EasyScoreCalculator<TimeTable,
HardSoftScore> {

    @Override
    public HardSoftScore calculateScore(TimeTable timeTable) {
        List<Lesson> lessonList = timeTable.getLessonList();
        int hardScore = 0;
        for (Lesson a : lessonList) {
            for (Lesson b : lessonList) {
                if (a.getTimeslot() != null && a.getTimeslot().equals(b.getTimeslot())
                        && a.getId() < b.getId()) {
                    // A room can accommodate at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getRoom() != null && a.getRoom().equals(b.getRoom())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                    // A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getTeacher().equals(b.getTeacher())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                    // A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getStudentGroup().equals(b.getStudentGroup())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        int softScore = 0;
        // Soft constraints are only implemented in the optaplanner-quickstarts code
        return HardSoftScore.of(hardScore, softScore);
    }

}
```

Unfortunately **that does not scale well**, because it is non-incremental: every time a lesson is assigned to a different time slot or room, all lessons are re-evaluated to calculate the new score.

Instead, create a `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/solver/TimeTableConstraintProvider.java` class to perform incremental score calculation. It uses OptaPlanner's ConstraintStream API which is inspired by Java Streams and SQL:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Constraint;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintFactory;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Joiners;

public class TimeTableConstraintProvider implements ConstraintProvider {

    @Override
    public Constraint[] defineConstraints(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return new Constraint[] {
                // Hard constraints
                roomConflict(constraintFactory),
                teacherConflict(constraintFactory),
                studentGroupConflict(constraintFactory),
                // Soft constraints are only implemented in the optaplanner-
quickstarts code
        };
    }

    private Constraint roomConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A room can accommodate at most one lesson at the same time.

        // Select a lesson ...
        return constraintFactory
                .forEach(Lesson.class)
                // ... and pair it with another lesson ...
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        // ... in the same timeslot ...
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        // ... in the same room ...
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getRoom),
                        // ... and the pair is unique (different id, no reverse pairs)
...
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                // ... then penalize each pair with a hard weight.
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Room conflict");
    }

    private Constraint teacherConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Lesson.class)
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTeacher),
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
```

```
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Teacher conflict");
    }

    private Constraint studentGroupConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Lesson.class)
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getStudentGroup),
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Student group conflict");
    }

}
```

The `ConstraintProvider` scales an order of magnitude better than the `EasyScoreCalculator`: *O*(n) instead of *O*(n²).

2.3.7. Gather the domain objects in a planning solution

A `TimeTable` wraps all `Timeslot`, `Room`, and `Lesson` instances of a single dataset. Furthermore, because it contains all lessons, each with a specific planning variable state, it is a *planning solution* and it has a score:

- If lessons are still unassigned, then it is an *uninitialized* solution, for example, a solution with the score `-4init/0hard/0soft`.
- If it breaks hard constraints, then it is an *infeasible* solution, for example, a solution with the score `-2hard/-3soft`.
- If it adheres to all hard constraints, then it is a *feasible* solution, for example, a solution with the score `0hard/-7soft`.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/TimeTable.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import java.util.List;

import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningEntityCollectionProperty;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningSolution;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.ProblemFactCollectionProperty;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.valuerange.ValueRangeProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;

@PlanningSolution
public class TimeTable {
```

```
    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<Timeslot> timeslotList;
    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<Room> roomList;
    @PlanningEntityCollectionProperty
    private List<Lesson> lessonList;

    @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

    public TimeTable() {
    }

    public TimeTable(List<Timeslot> timeslotList, List<Room> roomList, List<Lesson>
lessonList) {
        this.timeslotList = timeslotList;
        this.roomList = roomList;
        this.lessonList = lessonList;
    }

    public List<Timeslot> getTimeslotList() {
        return timeslotList;
    }

    public List<Room> getRoomList() {
        return roomList;
    }

    public List<Lesson> getLessonList() {
        return lessonList;
    }

    public HardSoftScore getScore() {
        return score;
    }

}
```

The `TimeTable` class has an `@PlanningSolution` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that this class contains all of the input and output data.

Specifically, this class is the input of the problem:

- A `timeslotList` field with all time slots
  - This is a list of problem facts, because they do not change during solving.
- A `roomList` field with all rooms
  - This is a list of problem facts, because they do not change during solving.

- A `lessonList` field with all lessons
  - This is a list of planning entities, because they change during solving.
  - Of each `Lesson`:
    - The values of the `timeslot` and `room` fields are typically still `null`, so unassigned. They are planning variables.
    - The other fields, such as `subject`, `teacher` and `studentGroup`, are filled in. These fields are problem properties.

However, this class is also the output of the solution:

- A `lessonList` field for which each `Lesson` instance has non-null `timeslot` and `room` fields after solving
- A `score` field that represents the quality of the output solution, for example, `0hard/-5soft`

2.3.7.1. The value range providers

The `timeslotList` field is a value range provider. It holds the `Timeslot` instances which OptaPlanner can pick from to assign to the `timeslot` field of `Lesson` instances. The `timeslotList` field has an `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation to connect the `@PlanningVariable` with the `@ValueRangeProvider`, by matching the type of the planning variable with the type returned by the value range provider.

Following the same logic, the `roomList` field also has an `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation.

2.3.7.2. The problem fact and planning entity properties

Furthermore, OptaPlanner needs to know which `Lesson` instances it can change as well as how to retrieve the `Timeslot` and `Room` instances used for score calculation by your `TimeTableConstraintProvider`.

The `timeslotList` and `roomList` fields have an `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty` annotation, so your `TimeTableConstraintProvider` can select *from* those instances.

The `lessonList` has an `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty` annotation, so OptaPlanner can change them during solving and your `TimeTableConstraintProvider` can select *from* those too.

2.3.8. Create the solver service

Now you are ready to put everything together and create a REST service. But solving planning problems on REST threads causes HTTP timeout issues. Therefore, the Quarkus extension injects a `SolverManager` instance, which runs solvers in a separate thread pool and can solve multiple datasets in parallel.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/rest/TimeTableResource.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import java.util.UUID;
import java.util.concurrent.ExecutionException;
```

```
import javax.inject.Inject;
import javax.ws.rs.POST;
import javax.ws.rs.Path;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverJob;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverManager;

@Path("/timeTable")
public class TimeTableResource {

    @Inject
    SolverManager<TimeTable, UUID> solverManager;

    @POST
    @Path("/solve")
    public TimeTable solve(TimeTable problem) {
        UUID problemId = UUID.randomUUID();
        // Submit the problem to start solving
        SolverJob<TimeTable, UUID> solverJob = solverManager.solve(problemId, problem
);
        TimeTable solution;
        try {
            // Wait until the solving ends
            solution = solverJob.getFinalBestSolution();
        } catch (InterruptedException | ExecutionException e) {
            throw new IllegalStateException("Solving failed.", e);
        }
        return solution;
    }

}
```

For simplicity's sake, this initial implementation waits for the solver to finish, which can still cause an HTTP timeout. The *complete* implementation avoids HTTP timeouts much more elegantly.

2.3.9. Set the termination time

Without a termination setting or a `terminationEarly()` event, the solver runs forever. To avoid that, limit the solving time to five seconds. That is short enough to avoid the HTTP timeout.

Create the `src/main/resources/application.properties` file:

```
# The solver runs only for 5 seconds to avoid a HTTP timeout in this simple
implementation.
# It's recommended to run for at least 5 minutes ("5m") otherwise.
quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit=5s
```

OptaPlanner returns *the best solution* found in the available termination time. Due to the nature of

NP-hard problems, the best solution might not be optimal, especially for larger datasets. Increase the termination time to potentially find a better solution.

2.3.10. Run the application

First start the application:

```
$ mvn compile quarkus:dev
```

2.3.10.1. Try the application

Now that the application is running, you can test the REST service. You can use any REST client you wish. The following example uses the Linux command `curl` to send a POST request:

```
$ curl -i -X POST http://localhost:8080/timeTable/solve -H "Content-
Type:application/json" -d
'{"timeslotList":[{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"08:30:00","endTime":"09:30:00"},{
"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"09:30:00","endTime":"10:30:00"}],"roomList":[{"name"
:"Room A"},{"name":"Room B"}],"lessonList":[{"id":1,"subject":"Math","teacher":"A.
Turing","studentGroup":"9th grade"},{"id":2,"subject":"Chemistry","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"9th grade"},{"id":3,"subject":"French","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"10th grade"},{"id":4,"subject":"History","teacher":"I.
Jones","studentGroup":"10th grade"}]}'
```

After about five seconds, according to the termination spent time defined in your `application.properties`, the service returns an output similar to the following example:

```
HTTP/1.1 200
Content-Type: application/json
...

{"timeslotList":...,"roomList":...,"lessonList":[{"id":1,"subject":"Math","teacher":"A
. Turing","studentGroup":"9th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"08:30:00","endTime":"09:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room A"}},{"id":2,"subject":"Chemistry","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"9th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"09:30:00","endTime":"10:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room A"}},{"id":3,"subject":"French","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"10th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"08:30:00","endTime":"09:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room B"}},{"id":4,"subject":"History","teacher":"I.
Jones","studentGroup":"10th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"09:30:00","endTime":"10:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room B"}}],"score":"0hard/0soft"}
```

Notice that your application assigned all four lessons to one of the two time slots and one of the two rooms. Also notice that it conforms to all hard constraints. For example, M. Curie's two lessons are

in different time slots.

On the server side, the `info` log shows what OptaPlanner did in those five seconds:

```
... Solving started: time spent (33), best score (-8init/0hard/0soft), environment
mode (REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
... Construction Heuristic phase (0) ended: time spent (73), best score (0hard/0soft),
score calculation speed (459/sec), step total (4).
... Local Search phase (1) ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/0soft), score
calculation speed (28949/sec), step total (28398).
... Solving ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/0soft), score calculation
speed (28524/sec), phase total (2), environment mode (REPRODUCIBLE).
```

2.3.10.2. Test the application

A good application includes test coverage.

2.3.10.2.1. Test the constraints

To test each constraint in isolation, use a `ConstraintVerifier` in unit tests. It tests each constraint's corner cases in isolation from the other tests, which lowers maintenance when adding a new constraint with proper test coverage.

Add a `optaplanner-test` dependency in your `pom.xml`:

```
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-test</artifactId>
      <scope>test</scope>
    </dependency>
```

Create the `src/test/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/solver/TimeTableConstraintProviderTest.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;

import javax.inject.Inject;

import io.quarkus.test.junit.QuarkusTest;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import org.optaplanner.test.api.score.stream.ConstraintVerifier;
```

```
@QuarkusTest
class TimeTableConstraintProviderTest {

    private static final Room ROOM = new Room("Room1");
    private static final Timeslot TIMESLOT1 = new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY,
LocalTime.of(9,0), LocalTime.NOON);
    private static final Timeslot TIMESLOT2 = new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY,
LocalTime.of(9,0), LocalTime.NOON);

    @Inject
    ConstraintVerifier<TimeTableConstraintProvider, TimeTable> constraintVerifier;

    @Test
    void roomConflict() {
        Lesson firstLesson = new Lesson(1, "Subject1", "Teacher1", "Group1");
        Lesson conflictingLesson = new Lesson(2, "Subject2", "Teacher2", "Group2");
        Lesson nonConflictingLesson = new Lesson(3, "Subject3", "Teacher3", "Group3");

        firstLesson.setRoom(ROOM);
        firstLesson.setTimeslot(TIMESLOT1);

        conflictingLesson.setRoom(ROOM);
        conflictingLesson.setTimeslot(TIMESLOT1);

        nonConflictingLesson.setRoom(ROOM);
        nonConflictingLesson.setTimeslot(TIMESLOT2);

        constraintVerifier.verifyThat(TimeTableConstraintProvider::roomConflict)
                .given(firstLesson, conflictingLesson, nonConflictingLesson)
                .penalizesBy(1);
    }

}
```

This test verifies that the constraint `TimeTableConstraintProvider::roomConflict`, when given three lessons in the same room, where two lessons have the same timeslot, it penalizes with a match weight of `1`. So with a constraint weight of `10hard` it would reduce the score by `-10hard`.

Notice how `ConstraintVerifier` ignores the constraint weight during testing - even if those constraint weights are hard coded in the `ConstraintProvider` - because constraints weights change regularly before going into production. This way, constraint weight tweaking does not break the unit tests.

2.3.10.2.2. Test the solver

In a JUnit test, generate a test dataset and send it to the `TimeTableResource` to solve.

Create the `src/test/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/rest/TimeTableResourceTest.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;

import javax.inject.Inject;

import io.quarkus.test.junit.QuarkusTest;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest.TimeTableResource;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Timeout;

import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertFalse;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertNotNull;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertTrue;

@QuarkusTest
public class TimeTableResourceTest {

    @Inject
    TimeTableResource timeTableResource;

    @Test
    @Timeout(600_000)
    public void solve() {
        TimeTable problem = generateProblem();
        TimeTable solution = timeTableResource.solve(problem);
        assertFalse(solution.getLessonList().isEmpty());
        for (Lesson lesson : solution.getLessonList()) {
            assertNotNull(lesson.getTimeslot());
            assertNotNull(lesson.getRoom());
        }
        assertTrue(solution.getScore().isFeasible());
    }

    private TimeTable generateProblem() {
        List<Timeslot> timeslotList = new ArrayList<>();
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(8, 30),
LocalTime.of(9, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(9, 30),
LocalTime.of(10, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(10, 30),
LocalTime.of(11, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(13, 30),
```

```
LocalTime.of(14, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(14, 30),
LocalTime.of(15, 30)));

        List<Room> roomList = new ArrayList<>();
        roomList.add(new Room("Room A"));
        roomList.add(new Room("Room B"));
        roomList.add(new Room("Room C"));

        List<Lesson> lessonList = new ArrayList<>();
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(101L, "Math", "B. May", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(102L, "Physics", "M. Curie", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(103L, "Geography", "M. Polo", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(104L, "English", "I. Jones", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(105L, "Spanish", "P. Cruz", "9th grade"));

        lessonList.add(new Lesson(201L, "Math", "B. May", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(202L, "Chemistry", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(203L, "History", "I. Jones", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(204L, "English", "P. Cruz", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(205L, "French", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        return new TimeTable(timeslotList, roomList, lessonList);
    }

}
```

This test verifies that after solving, all lessons are assigned to a time slot and a room. It also verifies that it found a feasible solution (no hard constraints broken).

Add test properties to the `src/main/resources/application.properties` file:

```
quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit=5s

# Effectively disable spent-time termination in favor of the best-score-limit
%test.quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit=1h
%test.quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.best-score-limit=0hard/*soft
```

Normally, the solver finds a feasible solution in less than 200 milliseconds. Notice how the `application.properties` overwrites the solver termination during tests to terminate as soon as a feasible solution (`0hard/*soft`) is found. This avoids hard coding a solver time, because the unit test might run on arbitrary hardware. This approach ensures that the test runs long enough to find a feasible solution, even on slow machines. But it does not run a millisecond longer than it strictly must, even on fast machines.

2.3.10.3. Logging

When adding constraints in your `ConstraintProvider`, keep an eye on the *score calculation speed* in the `info` log, after solving for the same amount of time, to assess the performance impact:

```
... Solving ended: ..., score calculation speed (29455/sec), ...
```

To understand how OptaPlanner is solving your problem internally, change the logging in the `application.properties` file or with a `-D` system property:

```
quarkus.log.category."org.optaplanner".level=debug
```

Use `debug` logging to show every *step*:

```
... Solving started: time spent (67), best score (-20init/0hard/0soft), environment
mode (REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
...     CH step (0), time spent (128), score (-18init/0hard/0soft), selected move
count (15), picked move ([Math(101) {null -> Room A}, Math(101) {null -> MONDAY
08:30}]).
...     CH step (1), time spent (145), score (-16init/0hard/0soft), selected move
count (15), picked move ([Physics(102) {null -> Room A}, Physics(102) {null -> MONDAY
09:30}]).
...
```

Use `trace` logging to show every *step* and every *move* per step.

2.3.11. Summary

Congratulations! You have just developed a Quarkus application with OptaPlanner!

2.3.12. Further improvements: Database and UI integration

Now try adding database and UI integration:

1. Store `Timeslot`, `Room`, and `Lesson` in the database with Hibernate and Panache.
2. Expose them through REST.
3. Adjust the `TimeTableResource` to read and write a `TimeTable` instance in a single transaction and use those accordingly:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import javax.inject.Inject;
import javax.transaction.Transactional;
import javax.ws.rs.GET;
import javax.ws.rs.POST;
import javax.ws.rs.Path;

import io.quarkus.panache.common.Sort;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
```

```
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolutionManager;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverManager;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverStatus;

@Path("/timeTable")
public class TimeTableResource {

    public static final Long SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID = 1L;

    @Inject
    SolverManager<TimeTable, Long> solverManager;
    @Inject
    SolutionManager<TimeTable, HardSoftScore> solutionManager;

    // To try, open http://localhost:8080/timeTable
    @GET
    public TimeTable getTimeTable() {
        // Get the solver status before loading the solution
        // to avoid the race condition that the solver terminates between them
        SolverStatus solverStatus = getSolverStatus();
        TimeTable solution = findById(SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID);
        solutionManager.update(solution); // Sets the score
        solution.setSolverStatus(solverStatus);
        return solution;
    }

    @POST
    @Path("/solve")
    public void solve() {
        solverManager.solveAndListen(SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID,
                this::findById,
                this::save);
    }

    public SolverStatus getSolverStatus() {
        return solverManager.getSolverStatus(SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID);
    }

    @POST
    @Path("/stopSolving")
    public void stopSolving() {
        solverManager.terminateEarly(SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID);
    }

    @Transactional
    protected TimeTable findById(Long id) {
        if (!SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID.equals(id)) {
            throw new IllegalStateException("There is no timeTable with id (" + id
```

```
+ ").");
        }
        // Occurs in a single transaction, so each initialized lesson references
the same timeslot/room instance
        // that is contained by the timeTable's timeslotList/roomList.
        return new TimeTable(
                Timeslot.listAll(Sort.by("dayOfWeek").and("startTime").and("
endTime").and("id")),
                Room.listAll(Sort.by("name").and("id")),
                Lesson.listAll(Sort.by("subject").and("teacher").and("studentGroup
").and("id")));
    }

    @Transactional
    protected void save(TimeTable timeTable) {
        for (Lesson lesson : timeTable.getLessonList()) {
            // TODO this is awfully naive: optimistic locking causes issues if
called by the SolverManager
            Lesson attachedLesson = Lesson.findById(lesson.getId());
            attachedLesson.setTimeslot(lesson.getTimeslot());
            attachedLesson.setRoom(lesson.getRoom());
        }
    }

}
```

For simplicity's sake, this code handles only one `TimeTable` instance, but it is straightforward to enable multi-tenancy and handle multiple `TimeTable` instances of different high schools in parallel.

The `getTimeTable()` method returns the latest timetable from the database. It uses the `SolutionManager` (which is automatically injected) to calculate the score of that timetable, so the UI can show the score.

The `solve()` method starts a job to solve the current timetable and store the time slot and room assignments in the database. It uses the `SolverManager.solveAndListen()` method to listen to intermediate best solutions and update the database accordingly. This enables the UI to show progress while the backend is still solving.

4. Adjust the `TimeTableResourceTest` instance accordingly, now that the `solve()` method returns immediately. Poll for the latest solution until the solver finishes solving:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import javax.inject.Inject;

import io.quarkus.test.junit.QuarkusTest;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
```

```
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Timeout;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverStatus;

import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertFalse;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertNotNull;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertTrue;

@QuarkusTest
public class TimeTableResourceTest {

    @Inject
    TimeTableResource timeTableResource;

    @Test
    @Timeout(600_000)
    public void solveDemoDataUntilFeasible() throws InterruptedException {
        timeTableResource.solve();
        TimeTable timeTable = timeTableResource.getTimeTable();
        while (timeTable.getSolverStatus() != SolverStatus.NOT_SOLVING) {
            // Quick polling (not a Test Thread Sleep anti-pattern)
            // Test is still fast on fast machines and doesn't randomly fail on
slow machines.
            Thread.sleep(20L);
            timeTable = timeTableResource.getTimeTable();
        }
        assertFalse(timeTable.getLessonList().isEmpty());
        for (Lesson lesson : timeTable.getLessonList()) {
            assertNotNull(lesson.getTimeslot());
            assertNotNull(lesson.getRoom());
        }
        assertTrue(timeTable.getScore().isFeasible());
    }

}
```

5. Build an attractive web UI on top of these REST methods to visualize the timetable.

Take a look at the quickstart source code to see how this all turns out.

2.4. Spring Boot Java quick start

This guide walks you through the process of creating a Spring Boot application with OptaPlanner's constraint solving Artificial Intelligence (AI).

2.4.1. What you will build

You will build a REST application that optimizes a school timetable for students and teachers:



Your service will assign `Lesson` instances to `Timeslot` and `Room` instances automatically by using AI to adhere to hard and soft scheduling *constraints*, such as the following examples:

- A room can have at most one lesson at the same time.
- A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
- A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
- A teacher prefers to teach all lessons in the same room.
- A teacher prefers to teach sequential lessons and dislikes gaps between lessons.
- A student dislikes sequential lessons on the same subject.

Mathematically speaking, school timetabling is an *NP-hard* problem. This means it is difficult to scale. Simply brute force iterating through all possible combinations takes millions of years for a non-trivial data set, even on a supercomputer. Luckily, AI constraint solvers such as OptaPlanner have advanced algorithms that deliver a near-optimal solution in a reasonable amount of time.

2.4.2. Solution source code

Follow the instructions in the next sections to create the application step by step (recommended).

Alternatively, you can also skip right to the completed example:

1. Clone the Git repository:

```
$ git clone https://github.com/kiegroup/optaplanner-quickstarts
```

or download an archive.

2. Find the solution in the `technology` directory and run it (see its README file).

2.4.3. Prerequisites

To complete this guide, you need:

- JDK 11+ with `JAVA_HOME` configured appropriately
- Apache Maven 3.8.1+ or Gradle 4+
- An IDE, such as IntelliJ IDEA, VSCode or Eclipse

2.4.4. The build file and the dependencies

Create a Spring Boot application with the following dependencies:

- Spring Web (`spring-boot-starter-web`)
- OptaPlanner (`optaplanner-spring-boot-starter`)

If you choose Maven, your `pom.xml` file has the following content:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<project xmlns="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0
http://maven.apache.org/xsd/maven-4.0.0.xsd">
    <modelVersion>4.0.0</modelVersion>
    <parent>
        <groupId>org.springframework.boot</groupId>
        <artifactId>spring-boot-starter-parent</artifactId>
        <version>2.7.9</version>
    </parent>

    <groupId>org.acme</groupId>
    <artifactId>optaplanner-spring-boot-school-timetabling-quickstart</artifactId>
    <version>1.0-SNAPSHOT</version>

    <properties>
        <java.version>11</java.version>
        <version.org.optaplanner>8.35.0.Final</version.org.optaplanner>
    </properties>

    <dependencyManagement>
        <dependencies>
            <dependency>
                <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
                <artifactId>optaplanner-bom</artifactId>
                <version>${version.org.optaplanner}</version>
                <type>pom</type>
```

```
                <scope>import</scope>
            </dependency>
        </dependencies>
    </dependencyManagement>
    <dependencies>
        <dependency>
            <groupId>org.springframework.boot</groupId>
            <artifactId>spring-boot-starter-web</artifactId>
        </dependency>
        <dependency>
            <groupId>org.springframework.boot</groupId>
            <artifactId>spring-boot-starter-data-rest</artifactId>
        </dependency>
        <dependency>
            <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
            <artifactId>optaplanner-spring-boot-starter</artifactId>
        </dependency>

        <dependency>
            <groupId>org.springframework.boot</groupId>
            <artifactId>spring-boot-starter-test</artifactId>
            <scope>test</scope>
        </dependency>
        <dependency>
            <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
            <artifactId>optaplanner-test</artifactId>
            <scope>test</scope>
        </dependency>
    </dependencies>

    <build>
        <plugins>
            <plugin>
                <groupId>org.springframework.boot</groupId>
                <artifactId>spring-boot-maven-plugin</artifactId>
            </plugin>
        </plugins>
    </build>
</project>
```

On the other hand, in Gradle, your `build.gradle` file has this content:

```
plugins {
    id "org.springframework.boot" version "2.7.9"
    id "io.spring.dependency-management" version "1.0.11.RELEASE"
    id "java"
}

def optaplannerVersion = "8.35.0.Final"
```

```
group = "org.acme"
version = "1.0-SNAPSHOT"
sourceCompatibility = "11"

repositories {
    mavenCentral()
}

dependencies {
    implementation "org.springframework.boot:spring-boot-starter-web"
    implementation "org.springframework.boot:spring-boot-starter-data-rest"
    testImplementation("org.springframework.boot:spring-boot-starter-test")

    implementation platform("org.optaplanner:optaplanner-bom:${optaplannerVersion}")
    implementation "org.optaplanner:optaplanner-spring-boot-starter"
    testImplementation("org.optaplanner:optaplanner-test")
}

test {
    useJUnitPlatform()
}
```

2.4.5. Model the domain objects

Your goal is to assign each lesson to a time slot and a room. You will create these classes:



2.4.5.1. Timeslot

The `Timeslot` class represents a time interval when lessons are taught, for example, `Monday 10:30 - 11:30` or `Tuesday 13:30 - 14:30`. For simplicity's sake, all time slots have the same duration and

there are no time slots during lunch or other breaks.

A time slot has no date, because a high school schedule just repeats every week. So there is no need for continuous planning.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Timeslot.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;

public class Timeslot {

    private DayOfWeek dayOfWeek;
    private LocalTime startTime;
    private LocalTime endTime;

    public Timeslot() {
    }

    public Timeslot(DayOfWeek dayOfWeek, LocalTime startTime, LocalTime endTime) {
        this.dayOfWeek = dayOfWeek;
        this.startTime = startTime;
        this.endTime = endTime;
    }

    public DayOfWeek getDayOfWeek() {
        return dayOfWeek;
    }

    public LocalTime getStartTime() {
        return startTime;
    }

    public LocalTime getEndTime() {
        return endTime;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return dayOfWeek + " " + startTime;
    }

}
```

Because no `Timeslot` instances change during solving, a `Timeslot` is called a *problem fact*. Such classes do not require any OptaPlanner specific annotations.

Notice the `toString()` method keeps the output short, so it is easier to read OptaPlanner's `DEBUG` or

`TRACE` log, as shown later.

2.4.5.2. Room

The `Room` class represents a location where lessons are taught, for example, `Room A` or `Room B`. For simplicity's sake, all rooms are without capacity limits and they can accommodate all lessons.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Room.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

public class Room {

    private String name;

    public Room() {
    }

    public Room(String name) {
        this.name = name;
    }

    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return name;
    }

}
```

`Room` instances do not change during solving, so `Room` is also a *problem fact*.

2.4.5.3. Lesson

During a lesson, represented by the `Lesson` class, a teacher teaches a subject to a group of students, for example, `Math by A.Turing for 9th grade` or `Chemistry by M.Curie for 10th grade`. If a subject is taught multiple times per week by the same teacher to the same student group, there are multiple `Lesson` instances that are only distinguishable by `id`. For example, the 9th grade has six math lessons a week.

During solving, OptaPlanner changes the `timeslot` and `room` fields of the `Lesson` class, to assign each lesson to a time slot and a room. Because OptaPlanner changes these fields, `Lesson` is a *planning entity*:



Most of the fields in the previous diagram contain input data, except for the orange fields: A lesson's `timeslot` and `room` fields are unassigned (`null`) in the input data and assigned (not `null`) in the output data. OptaPlanner changes these fields during solving. Such fields are called planning variables. In order for OptaPlanner to recognize them, both the `timeslot` and `room` fields require an `@PlanningVariable` annotation. Their containing class, `Lesson`, requires an `@PlanningEntity` annotation.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/Lesson.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.entity.PlanningEntity;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.lookup.PlanningId;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.variable.PlanningVariable;

@PlanningEntity
public class Lesson {

    @PlanningId
    private Long id;

    private String subject;
    private String teacher;
    private String studentGroup;

    @PlanningVariable
    private Timeslot timeslot;
    @PlanningVariable
    private Room room;
```

```
    public Lesson() {
    }

    public Lesson(Long id, String subject, String teacher, String studentGroup) {
        this.id = id;
        this.subject = subject;
        this.teacher = teacher;
        this.studentGroup = studentGroup;
    }

    public Long getId() {
        return id;
    }

    public String getSubject() {
        return subject;
    }

    public String getTeacher() {
        return teacher;
    }

    public String getStudentGroup() {
        return studentGroup;
    }

    public Timeslot getTimeslot() {
        return timeslot;
    }

    public void setTimeslot(Timeslot timeslot) {
        this.timeslot = timeslot;
    }

    public Room getRoom() {
        return room;
    }

    public void setRoom(Room room) {
        this.room = room;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return subject + "(" + id + ")";
    }

}
```

The `Lesson` class has an `@PlanningEntity` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that this class changes

during solving because it contains one or more planning variables.

The `timeslot` field has an `@PlanningVariable` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that it can change its value. In order to find potential `Timeslot` instances to assign to this field, OptaPlanner uses the variable type to connect to a value range provider that provides a `List<Timeslot>` to pick from.

The `room` field also has an `@PlanningVariable` annotation, for the same reasons.



Determining the `@PlanningVariable` fields for an arbitrary constraint solving use case is often challenging the first time. Read the domain modeling guidelines to avoid common pitfalls.

2.4.6. Define the constraints and calculate the score

A *score* represents the quality of a specific solution. The higher the better. OptaPlanner looks for the best solution, which is the solution with the highest score found in the available time. It might be the *optimal* solution.

Because this use case has hard and soft constraints, use the `HardSoftScore` class to represent the score:

- Hard constraints must not be broken. For example: *A room can have at most one lesson at the same time.*
- Soft constraints should not be broken. For example: *A teacher prefers to teach in a single room.*

Hard constraints are weighted against other hard constraints. Soft constraints are weighted too, against other soft constraints. **Hard constraints always outweigh soft constraints**, regardless of their respective weights.

To calculate the score, you could implement an `EasyScoreCalculator` class:

```
public class TimeTableEasyScoreCalculator implements EasyScoreCalculator<TimeTable,
HardSoftScore> {

    @Override
    public HardSoftScore calculateScore(TimeTable timeTable) {
        List<Lesson> lessonList = timeTable.getLessonList();
        int hardScore = 0;
        for (Lesson a : lessonList) {
            for (Lesson b : lessonList) {
                if (a.getTimeslot() != null && a.getTimeslot().equals(b.getTimeslot())
                        && a.getId() < b.getId()) {
                    // A room can accommodate at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getRoom() != null && a.getRoom().equals(b.getRoom())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                    // A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getTeacher().equals(b.getTeacher())) {
                        hardScore--;
```

```
                    }
                    // A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
                    if (a.getStudentGroup().equals(b.getStudentGroup())) {
                        hardScore--;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        int softScore = 0;
        // Soft constraints are only implemented in the optaplanner-quickstarts code
        return HardSoftScore.of(hardScore, softScore);
    }

}
```

Unfortunately **that does not scale well**, because it is non-incremental: every time a lesson is assigned to a different time slot or room, all lessons are re-evaluated to calculate the new score.

Instead, create a `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/solver/TimeTableConstraintProvider.java` class to perform incremental score calculation. It uses OptaPlanner's ConstraintStream API which is inspired by Java Streams and SQL:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Constraint;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintFactory;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Joiners;

public class TimeTableConstraintProvider implements ConstraintProvider {

    @Override
    public Constraint[] defineConstraints(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return new Constraint[] {
                // Hard constraints
                roomConflict(constraintFactory),
                teacherConflict(constraintFactory),
                studentGroupConflict(constraintFactory),
                // Soft constraints are only implemented in the optaplanner-
quickstarts code
        };
    }

    private Constraint roomConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A room can accommodate at most one lesson at the same time.

        // Select a lesson ...
```

```
        return constraintFactory
                .forEach(Lesson.class)
                // ... and pair it with another lesson ...
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        // ... in the same timeslot ...
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        // ... in the same room ...
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getRoom),
                        // ... and the pair is unique (different id, no reverse pairs)
...
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                // ... then penalize each pair with a hard weight.
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Room conflict");
    }

    private Constraint teacherConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A teacher can teach at most one lesson at the same time.
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Lesson.class)
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTeacher),
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Teacher conflict");
    }

    private Constraint studentGroupConflict(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        // A student can attend at most one lesson at the same time.
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Lesson.class)
                .join(Lesson.class,
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getTimeslot),
                        Joiners.equal(Lesson::getStudentGroup),
                        Joiners.lessThan(Lesson::getId))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
                .asConstraint("Student group conflict");
    }

}
```

The `ConstraintProvider` scales an order of magnitude better than the `EasyScoreCalculator`: *O*(n) instead of *O*(n²).

2.4.7. Gather the domain objects in a planning solution

A `TimeTable` wraps all `Timeslot`, `Room`, and `Lesson` instances of a single dataset. Furthermore, because it contains all lessons, each with a specific planning variable state, it is a *planning solution* and it has a score:

- If lessons are still unassigned, then it is an *uninitialized* solution, for example, a solution with

the score `-4init/0hard/0soft`.

- If it breaks hard constraints, then it is an *infeasible* solution, for example, a solution with the score `-2hard/-3soft`.
- If it adheres to all hard constraints, then it is a *feasible* solution, for example, a solution with the score `0hard/-7soft`.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/domain/TimeTable.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain;

import java.util.List;

import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningEntityCollectionProperty;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningScore;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.PlanningSolution;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.solution.ProblemFactCollectionProperty;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.domain.valuerange.ValueRangeProvider;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.score.buildin.hardsoft.HardSoftScore;

@PlanningSolution
public class TimeTable {

    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<Timeslot> timeslotList;
    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<Room> roomList;
    @PlanningEntityCollectionProperty
    private List<Lesson> lessonList;

    @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

    public TimeTable() {
    }

    public TimeTable(List<Timeslot> timeslotList, List<Room> roomList, List<Lesson>
lessonList) {
        this.timeslotList = timeslotList;
        this.roomList = roomList;
        this.lessonList = lessonList;
    }

    public List<Timeslot> getTimeslotList() {
        return timeslotList;
    }

    public List<Room> getRoomList() {
```

```
        return roomList;
    }

    public List<Lesson> getLessonList() {
        return lessonList;
    }

    public HardSoftScore getScore() {
        return score;
    }

}
```

The `TimeTable` class has an `@PlanningSolution` annotation, so OptaPlanner knows that this class contains all of the input and output data.

Specifically, this class is the input of the problem:

- A `timeslotList` field with all time slots
  - This is a list of problem facts, because they do not change during solving.
- A `roomList` field with all rooms
  - This is a list of problem facts, because they do not change during solving.
- A `lessonList` field with all lessons
  - This is a list of planning entities, because they change during solving.
  - Of each `Lesson`:
    - The values of the `timeslot` and `room` fields are typically still `null`, so unassigned. They are planning variables.
    - The other fields, such as `subject`, `teacher` and `studentGroup`, are filled in. These fields are problem properties.

However, this class is also the output of the solution:

- A `lessonList` field for which each `Lesson` instance has non-null `timeslot` and `room` fields after solving
- A `score` field that represents the quality of the output solution, for example, `0hard/-5soft`

2.4.7.1. The value range providers

The `timeslotList` field is a value range provider. It holds the `Timeslot` instances which OptaPlanner can pick from to assign to the `timeslot` field of `Lesson` instances. The `timeslotList` field has an `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation to connect the `@PlanningVariable` with the `@ValueRangeProvider`, by matching the type of the planning variable with the type returned by the value range provider.

Following the same logic, the `roomList` field also has an `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation.

2.4.7.2. The problem fact and planning entity properties

Furthermore, OptaPlanner needs to know which `Lesson` instances it can change as well as how to retrieve the `Timeslot` and `Room` instances used for score calculation by your `TimeTableConstraintProvider`.

The `timeslotList` and `roomList` fields have an `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty` annotation, so your `TimeTableConstraintProvider` can select *from* those instances.

The `lessonList` has an `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty` annotation, so OptaPlanner can change them during solving and your `TimeTableConstraintProvider` can select *from* those too.

2.4.8. Create the solver service

Now you are ready to put everything together and create a REST service. But solving planning problems on REST threads causes HTTP timeout issues. Therefore, the Spring Boot starter injects a `SolverManager` instance, which runs solvers in a separate thread pool and can solve multiple datasets in parallel.

Create the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/rest/TimeTableController.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import java.util.UUID;
import java.util.concurrent.ExecutionException;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverJob;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverManager;
import org.springframework.beans.factory.annotation.Autowired;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.PostMapping;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.RequestBody;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.RequestMapping;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.RestController;

@RestController
@RequestMapping("/timeTable")
public class TimeTableController {

    @Autowired
    private SolverManager<TimeTable, UUID> solverManager;

    @PostMapping("/solve")
    public TimeTable solve(@RequestBody TimeTable problem) {
        UUID problemId = UUID.randomUUID();
        // Submit the problem to start solving
        SolverJob<TimeTable, UUID> solverJob = solverManager.solve(problemId, problem
);
        TimeTable solution;
        try {
```

```
            // Wait until the solving ends
            solution = solverJob.getFinalBestSolution();
        } catch (InterruptedException | ExecutionException e) {
            throw new IllegalStateException("Solving failed.", e);
        }
        return solution;
    }

}
```

For simplicity's sake, this initial implementation waits for the solver to finish, which can still cause an HTTP timeout. The *complete* implementation avoids HTTP timeouts much more elegantly.

2.4.9. Set the termination time

Without a termination setting or a `terminationEarly()` event, the solver runs forever. To avoid that, limit the solving time to five seconds. That is short enough to avoid the HTTP timeout.

Create the `src/main/resources/application.properties` file:

```
# The solver runs only for 5 seconds to avoid a HTTP timeout in this simple
implementation.
# It's recommended to run for at least 5 minutes ("5m") otherwise.
optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit=5s
```

OptaPlanner returns *the best solution* found in the available termination time. Due to the nature of NP-hard problems, the best solution might not be optimal, especially for larger datasets. Increase the termination time to potentially find a better solution.

2.4.10. Make the application executable

Package everything into a single executable JAR file driven by a standard Java `main()` method:

Replace the `DemoApplication.java` class created by Spring Initializr with the `src/main/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/TimeTableSpringBootApp.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling;

import org.springframework.boot.SpringApplication;
import org.springframework.boot.autoconfigure.SpringBootApplication;

@SpringBootApplication
public class TimeTableSpringBootApp {

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        SpringApplication.run(TimeTableSpringBootApp.class, args);
    }
```

```
}
```

Run that `TimeTableSpringBootApp` class as the main class of a normal Java application.

2.4.10.1. Try the application

Now that the application is running, you can test the REST service. You can use any REST client you wish. The following example uses the Linux command `curl` to send a POST request:

```
$ curl -i -X POST http://localhost:8080/timeTable/solve -H "Content-
Type:application/json" -d
'{"timeslotList":[{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"08:30:00","endTime":"09:30:00"},{
"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"09:30:00","endTime":"10:30:00"}],"roomList":[{"name"
:"Room A"},{"name":"Room B"}],"lessonList":[{"id":1,"subject":"Math","teacher":"A.
Turing","studentGroup":"9th grade"},{"id":2,"subject":"Chemistry","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"9th grade"},{"id":3,"subject":"French","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"10th grade"},{"id":4,"subject":"History","teacher":"I.
Jones","studentGroup":"10th grade"}]}'
```

After about five seconds, according to the termination spent time defined in your `application.properties`, the service returns an output similar to the following example:

```
HTTP/1.1 200
Content-Type: application/json
...

{"timeslotList":...,"roomList":...,"lessonList":[{"id":1,"subject":"Math","teacher":"A
. Turing","studentGroup":"9th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"08:30:00","endTime":"09:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room A"}},{"id":2,"subject":"Chemistry","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"9th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"09:30:00","endTime":"10:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room A"}},{"id":3,"subject":"French","teacher":"M.
Curie","studentGroup":"10th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"08:30:00","endTime":"09:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room B"}},{"id":4,"subject":"History","teacher":"I.
Jones","studentGroup":"10th
grade","timeslot":{"dayOfWeek":"MONDAY","startTime":"09:30:00","endTime":"10:30:00"},"
room":{"name":"Room B"}}],"score":"0hard/0soft"}
```

Notice that your application assigned all four lessons to one of the two time slots and one of the two rooms. Also notice that it conforms to all hard constraints. For example, M. Curie's two lessons are in different time slots.

On the server side, the `info` log shows what OptaPlanner did in those five seconds:

```
... Solving started: time spent (33), best score (-8init/0hard/0soft), environment
```

```
mode (REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
... Construction Heuristic phase (0) ended: time spent (73), best score (0hard/0soft),
score calculation speed (459/sec), step total (4).
... Local Search phase (1) ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/0soft), score
calculation speed (28949/sec), step total (28398).
... Solving ended: time spent (5000), best score (0hard/0soft), score calculation
speed (28524/sec), phase total (2), environment mode (REPRODUCIBLE).
```

2.4.10.2. Test the application

A good application includes test coverage.

2.4.10.2.1. Test the constraints

To test each constraint in isolation, use a `ConstraintVerifier` in unit tests. It tests each constraint's corner cases in isolation from the other tests, which lowers maintenance when adding a new constraint with proper test coverage.

Add a `optaplanner-test` dependency in your `pom.xml`:

```
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-test</artifactId>
      <scope>test</scope>
    </dependency>
```

Create the `src/test/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/solver/TimeTableConstraintProviderTest.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;
import javax.inject.Inject;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import org.optaplanner.test.api.score.stream.ConstraintVerifier;

import org.springframework.beans.factory.annotation.Autowired;
import org.springframework.boot.test.context.SpringBootTest;

@SpringBootTest
class TimeTableConstraintProviderTest {

    private static final Room ROOM = new Room("Room1");
    private static final Timeslot TIMESLOT1 = new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY,
```

```
LocalTime.of(9,0), LocalTime.NOON);
    private static final Timeslot TIMESLOT2 = new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.TUESDAY,
LocalTime.of(9,0), LocalTime.NOON);

    @Autowired
    ConstraintVerifier<TimeTableConstraintProvider, TimeTable> constraintVerifier;

    @Test
    void roomConflict() {
        Lesson firstLesson = new Lesson(1, "Subject1", "Teacher1", "Group1");
        Lesson conflictingLesson = new Lesson(2, "Subject2", "Teacher2", "Group2");
        Lesson nonConflictingLesson = new Lesson(3, "Subject3", "Teacher3", "Group3");

        firstLesson.setRoom(ROOM);
        firstLesson.setTimeslot(TIMESLOT1);

        conflictingLesson.setRoom(ROOM);
        conflictingLesson.setTimeslot(TIMESLOT1);

        nonConflictingLesson.setRoom(ROOM);
        nonConflictingLesson.setTimeslot(TIMESLOT2);

        constraintVerifier.verifyThat(TimeTableConstraintProvider::roomConflict)
                .given(firstLesson, conflictingLesson, nonConflictingLesson)
                .penalizesBy(1);
    }
}
```

This test verifies that the constraint `TimeTableConstraintProvider::roomConflict`, when given three lessons in the same room, where two lessons have the same timeslot, it penalizes with a match weight of `1`. So with a constraint weight of `10hard` it would reduce the score by `-10hard`.

Notice how `ConstraintVerifier` ignores the constraint weight during testing - even if those constraint weights are hard coded in the `ConstraintProvider` - because constraints weights change regularly before going into production. This way, constraint weight tweaking does not break the unit tests.

2.4.10.2.2. Test the solver

In a JUnit test, generate a test dataset and send it to the `TimeTableController` to solve.

Create the `src/test/java/org/acme/schooltimetabling/rest/TimeTableControllerTest.java` class:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import java.time.DayOfWeek;
import java.time.LocalTime;
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;
```

```
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Room;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Timeslot;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Timeout;
import org.springframework.beans.factory.annotation.Autowired;
import org.springframework.boot.test.context.SpringBootTest;

import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertFalse;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertNotNull;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertTrue;

@SpringBootTest(properties = {
        // Effectively disable spent-time termination in favor of the best-score-limit
        "optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit=1h",
        "optaplanner.solver.termination.best-score-limit=0hard/*soft"})
public class TimeTableControllerTest {

    @Autowired
    private TimeTableController timeTableController;

    @Test
    @Timeout(600_000)
    public void solve() {
        TimeTable problem = generateProblem();
        TimeTable solution = timeTableController.solve(problem);
        assertFalse(solution.getLessonList().isEmpty());
        for (Lesson lesson : solution.getLessonList()) {
            assertNotNull(lesson.getTimeslot());
            assertNotNull(lesson.getRoom());
        }
        assertTrue(solution.getScore().isFeasible());
    }

    private TimeTable generateProblem() {
        List<Timeslot> timeslotList = new ArrayList<>();
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(8, 30),
LocalTime.of(9, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(9, 30),
LocalTime.of(10, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(10, 30),
LocalTime.of(11, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(13, 30),
LocalTime.of(14, 30)));
        timeslotList.add(new Timeslot(DayOfWeek.MONDAY, LocalTime.of(14, 30),
LocalTime.of(15, 30)));

        List<Room> roomList = new ArrayList<>();
        roomList.add(new Room("Room A"));
        roomList.add(new Room("Room B"));
```

```
        roomList.add(new Room("Room C"));

        List<Lesson> lessonList = new ArrayList<>();
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(101L, "Math", "B. May", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(102L, "Physics", "M. Curie", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(103L, "Geography", "M. Polo", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(104L, "English", "I. Jones", "9th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(105L, "Spanish", "P. Cruz", "9th grade"));

        lessonList.add(new Lesson(201L, "Math", "B. May", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(202L, "Chemistry", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(203L, "History", "I. Jones", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(204L, "English", "P. Cruz", "10th grade"));
        lessonList.add(new Lesson(205L, "French", "M. Curie", "10th grade"));
        return new TimeTable(timeslotList, roomList, lessonList);
    }

}
```

This test verifies that after solving, all lessons are assigned to a time slot and a room. It also verifies that it found a feasible solution (no hard constraints broken).

Normally, the solver finds a feasible solution in less than 200 milliseconds. Notice how the `@SpringBootTest` annotation's `properties` property overwrites the solver termination during tests to terminate as soon as a feasible solution (`0hard/*soft`) is found. This avoids hard coding a solver time, because the unit test might run on arbitrary hardware. This approach ensures that the test runs long enough to find a feasible solution, even on slow machines. But it does not run a millisecond longer than it strictly must, even on fast machines.

2.4.10.3. Logging

When adding constraints in your `ConstraintProvider`, keep an eye on the *score calculation speed* in the `info` log, after solving for the same amount of time, to assess the performance impact:

```
... Solving ended: ..., score calculation speed (29455/sec), ...
```

To understand how OptaPlanner is solving your problem internally, change the logging in the `application.properties` file or with a `-D` system property:

```
logging.level.org.optaplanner=debug
```

Use `debug` logging to show every *step*:

```
... Solving started: time spent (67), best score (-20init/0hard/0soft), environment
mode (REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
...     CH step (0), time spent (128), score (-18init/0hard/0soft), selected move
count (15), picked move ([Math(101) {null -> Room A}, Math(101) {null -> MONDAY
```

```
08:30}]).
...     CH step (1), time spent (145), score (-16init/0hard/0soft), selected move
count (15), picked move ([Physics(102) {null -> Room A}, Physics(102) {null -> MONDAY
09:30}]).
...
```

Use `trace` logging to show every *step* and every *move* per step.

2.4.11. Summary

Congratulations! You have just developed a Spring application with OptaPlanner!

2.4.12. Further improvements: Database and UI integration

Now try adding database and UI integration:

1. Create JPA repositories for `Timeslot`, `Room`, and `Lesson`.
2. Expose them through REST.
3. Build a `TimeTableRepository` facade to read and write a `TimeTable` instance in a single transaction.
4. Adjust the `TimeTableController` accordingly:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.persistence.TimeTableRepository;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolutionManager;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverManager;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverStatus;
import org.springframework.beans.factory.annotation.Autowired;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.GetMapping;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.PostMapping;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.RequestMapping;
import org.springframework.web.bind.annotation.RestController;

@RestController
@RequestMapping("/timeTable")
public class TimeTableController {

    @Autowired
    private TimeTableRepository timeTableRepository;
    @Autowired
    private SolverManager<TimeTable, Long> solverManager;
    @Autowired
    private SolutionManager<TimeTable, HardSoftScore> solutionManager;

    // To try, GET http://localhost:8080/timeTable
    @GetMapping()
```

```
    public TimeTable getTimeTable() {
        // Get the solver status before loading the solution
        // to avoid the race condition that the solver terminates between them
        SolverStatus solverStatus = getSolverStatus();
        TimeTable solution = timeTableRepository.findById(TimeTableRepository
.SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID);
        solutionManager.update(solution); // Sets the score
        solution.setSolverStatus(solverStatus);
        return solution;
    }

    @PostMapping("/solve")
    public void solve() {
        solverManager.solveAndListen(TimeTableRepository.SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID,
                timeTableRepository::findById,
                timeTableRepository::save);
    }

    public SolverStatus getSolverStatus() {
        return solverManager.getSolverStatus(TimeTableRepository
.SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID);
    }

    @PostMapping("/stopSolving")
    public void stopSolving() {
        solverManager.terminateEarly(TimeTableRepository.SINGLETON_TIME_TABLE_ID);
    }

}
```

For simplicity's sake, this code handles only one `TimeTable` instance, but it is straightforward to enable multi-tenancy and handle multiple `TimeTable` instances of different high schools in parallel.

The `getTimeTable()` method returns the latest timetable from the database. It uses the `SolutionManager` (which is automatically injected) to calculate the score of that timetable, so the UI can show the score.

The `solve()` method starts a job to solve the current timetable and store the time slot and room assignments in the database. It uses the `SolverManager.solveAndListen()` method to listen to intermediate best solutions and update the database accordingly. This enables the UI to show progress while the backend is still solving.

5. Adjust the `TimeTableControllerTest` instance accordingly, now that the `solve()` method returns immediately. Poll for the latest solution until the solver finishes solving:

```
package org.acme.schooltimetabling.rest;

import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.Lesson;
import org.acme.schooltimetabling.domain.TimeTable;
```

```
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Timeout;
import org.optaplanner.core.api.solver.SolverStatus;
import org.springframework.beans.factory.annotation.Autowired;
import org.springframework.boot.test.context.SpringBootTest;

import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertFalse;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertNotNull;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.assertTrue;

@SpringBootTest(properties = {
        "optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit=1h", // Effectively disable
this termination in favor of the best-score-limit
        "optaplanner.solver.termination.best-score-limit=0hard/*soft"})
public class TimeTableControllerTest {

    @Autowired
    private TimeTableController timeTableController;

    @Test
    @Timeout(600_000)
    public void solveDemoDataUntilFeasible() throws InterruptedException {
        timeTableController.solve();
        TimeTable timeTable = timeTableController.getTimeTable();
        while (timeTable.getSolverStatus() != SolverStatus.NOT_SOLVING) {
            // Quick polling (not a Test Thread Sleep anti-pattern)
            // Test is still fast on fast machines and doesn't randomly fail on
slow machines.
            Thread.sleep(20L);
            timeTable = timeTableController.getTimeTable();
        }
        assertFalse(timeTable.getLessonList().isEmpty());
        for (Lesson lesson : timeTable.getLessonList()) {
            assertNotNull(lesson.getTimeslot());
            assertNotNull(lesson.getRoom());
        }
        assertTrue(timeTable.getScore().isFeasible());
    }

}
```

6. Build an attractive web UI on top of these REST methods to visualize the timetable.

Take a look at the quickstart source code to see how this all turns out.

Chapter 3. Use cases and examples

3.1. Examples overview

OptaPlanner has several examples. In this manual we explain mainly using the *n* queens example and cloud balancing example. So it is advisable to read at least those sections.

Some of the examples solve problems that are presented in academic contests. The `Contest` column in the following table lists the contests. It also identifies an example as being either *realistic* or *unrealistic* for the purpose of a contest. A *realistic contest* is *an official, independent contest*:

- that clearly defines a real-world use case.
- with real-world constraints.
- with multiple, real-world datasets.
- that expects reproducible results within a specific time limit on specific hardware.
- that has had serious participation from the academic and/or enterprise Operations Research community.

Realistic contests provide an objective comparison of OptaPlanner with competitive software and academic research.

The source code of all these examples is available in the distribution zip under *examples/sources* and also in git under *optaplanner/optaplanner-examples*.

Table 1. Examples overview

Example	Domain	Size	Contest	Special features used
N queens	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable	• Entity ⇐ `256` • Value ⇐ `256` • Search space ⇐ `10^616`	• Pointless (cheatable)	None
Cloud balancing	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable	• Entity ⇐ `2400` • Value ⇐ `800` • Search space ⇐ `10^6967`	• No • Defined by us	• Real-time planning
Traveling salesman	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 chained variable	• Entity ⇐ `980` • Value ⇐ `980` • Search space ⇐ `10^2504`	• Unrealistic • TSP web	• Real-time planning

Example	Domain	Size	Contest	Special features used
Tennis club scheduling	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable	• Entity ⇐ 72 • Value ⇐ 7 • Search space ⇐ 10^60	• No • Defined by us	• Fairness score constraints • Pinned entities
Meeting scheduling	• 1 entity class ◦ 2 variables	• Entity ⇐ 10 • Value ⇐ 320 and ⇐ 5 • Search space ⇐ 10^320	• No • Defined by us	• TimeGrain pattern
Course timetabling	• 1 entity class ◦ 2 variables	• Entity ⇐ 434 • Value ⇐ 25 and ⇐ 20 • Search space ⇐ 10^1171	• Realistic • ITC 2007 track 3	• Pinned entities
Machine reassignment	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable	• Entity ⇐ 50000 • Value ⇐ 5000 • Search space ⇐ 10^184948	• Nearly realistic • ROADEF 2012	• Real-time planning

Vehicle routing	• 1 entity class
	  ◦ 1 list variable
	• 1 shadow entity class
	  ◦ 3 automatic shadow variable
		• Entity ⇐ 55
		• Value ⇐ 2750
		• Search space ⇐ 10^8380
			• Unrealistic
			• VRP web
				• Shadow variable
				• Real-time planning
				• Nearby selection
				• Real road distances

Vehicle routing with time windows	• All of Vehicle routing • 1 shadow variable	• Entity ⇐ 55 • Value ⇐ 2750 • Search space ⇐ 10^8380	• Unrealistic • VRP web	• All of Vehicle routing • Custom VariableListener

Example	Domain	Size	Contest	Special features used
Project job scheduling	• 1 entity class ◦ 2 variables ◦ 1 shadow variable	• Entity ⇐ 640 • Value ⇐ ? and ⇐ ? • Search space ⇐ ?	• Nearly realistic • MISTA 2013	• Bendable score • Custom VariableListener • ValueRangeFactory
Hospital bed planning	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 nullable variable	• Entity ⇐ 2750 • Value ⇐ 471 • Search space ⇐ 10^6851	• Unrealistic • Kaho PAS	• Overconstrained planning
Task assigning	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 list variable • 1 shadow entity class ◦ 1 automatic shadow variable ◦ 1 shadow variable	• Entity ⇐ 20 • Value ⇐ 500 • Search space ⇐ 10^1168	• No • Defined by us	• Bendable score • Chained through time pattern • Custom VariableListener • Continuous planning • Real-time planning
Exam timetabling	• 2 entity classes (same hierarchy) ◦ 2 variables	• Entity ⇐ 1096 • Value ⇐ 80 and ⇐ 49 • Search space ⇐ 10^3374	• Realistic • ITC 2007 track 1	• Custom VariableListener
Nurse rostering	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable	• Entity ⇐ 752 • Value ⇐ 50 • Search space ⇐ 10^1277	• Realistic • INRC 2010	• Continuous planning • Real-time planning
Traveling tournament	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable	• Entity ⇐ 1560 • Value ⇐ 78 • Search space ⇐ 10^2301	• Unrealistic • TTP	• Custom MoveListFactory

Example	Domain	Size	Contest	Special features used
Conference scheduling	• 1 entity class ◦ 2 variables	• Entity ⇐ 216 • Value ⇐ 18 and ⇐ 20 • Search space ⇐ 10^552	• No • Defined by us	
Flight crew scheduling	• 1 entity class ◦ 1 variable • 1 shadow entity class ◦ 1 automatic shadow variable	• Entity ⇐ 4375 • Value ⇐ 750 • Search space ⇐ 10^12578	• No • Defined by us	

3.2. N queens

3.2.1. Problem description

Place *n* queens on a *n* sized chessboard so that no two queens can attack each other. The most common *n* queens puzzle is the eight queens puzzle, with *n* = *8*:



Constraints:

- Use a chessboard of *n* columns and *n* rows.
- Place *n* queens on the chessboard.
- No two queens can attack each other. A queen can attack any other queen on the same horizontal, vertical or diagonal line.

This documentation heavily uses the four queens puzzle as the primary example.

A proposed solution could be:



Figure 1. A Wrong Solution for the Four Queens Puzzle

The above solution is wrong because queens `A1` and `B0` can attack each other (so can queens `B0` and `D0`). Removing queen `B0` would respect the "no two queens can attack each other" constraint, but would break the "place *n* queens" constraint.

Below is a correct solution:



Figure 2. A Correct Solution for the Four Queens Puzzle

All the constraints have been met, so the solution is correct.

Note that most *n* queens puzzles have multiple correct solutions. We will focus on finding a single correct solution for a given *n*, not on finding the number of possible correct solutions for a given *n*.

3.2.2. Problem size

```
4queens   has   4 queens with a search space of    256.
8queens   has   8 queens with a search space of   10^7.
16queens  has  16 queens with a search space of  10^19.
32queens  has  32 queens with a search space of  10^48.
64queens  has  64 queens with a search space of 10^115.
256queens has 256 queens with a search space of 10^616.
```

The implementation of the *n* queens example has not been optimized because it functions as a beginner example. Nevertheless, it can easily handle 64 queens. With a few changes it has been shown to easily handle 5000 queens and more.

3.2.3. Domain model

This example uses the domain model to solve the four queens problem.

1. **Creating a Domain Model** A good domain model will make it easier to understand and solve your planning problem.

   This is the domain model for the *n* queens example:

   ```
   public class Column {

       private int index;

       // ... getters and setters
   }
   ```

   ```
   public class Row {
   ```

```
    private int index;

    // ... getters and setters
}
```

```
public class Queen {

    private Column column;
    private Row row;

    public int getAscendingDiagonalIndex() {...}
    public int getDescendingDiagonalIndex() {...}

    // ... getters and setters
}
```

2. **Calculating the Search Space.**

   A `Queen` instance has a `Column` (for example: 0 is column A, 1 is column B, ...) and a `Row` (its row, for example: 0 is row 0, 1 is row 1, ...).

   The ascending diagonal line and the descending diagonal line can be calculated based on the column and the row.

   The column and row indexes start from the upper left corner of the chessboard.

```
public class NQueens {

    private int n;
    private List<Column> columnList;
    private List<Row> rowList;

    private List<Queen> queenList;

    private SimpleScore score;

    // ... getters and setters
}
```

3. **Finding the Solution**

   A single `NQueens` instance contains a list of all `Queen` instances. It is the solution implementation which is supplied to, solved by, and retrieved from the `Solver`.

Notice that in the four queens example, NQueens's `getN()` method will always return four.

Table 2. A Solution for Four Queens Shown in the Domain Model

A solution	Queen	columnIndex	rowIndex	ascendingDiagonalIndex (columnIndex + rowIndex)	descendingDiagonalIndex (columnIndex - rowIndex)
	A1	0	1	**1 (**)**	-1
	B0	1	**0 (*)**	**1 (**)**	1
	C2	2	2	4	0
	D0	3	**0 (*)**	3	3

When two queens share the same column, row or diagonal line, such as (*) and (**), they can attack each other.

3.3. Cloud balancing

3.3.1. Cloud balancing tutorial

3.3.1.1. Problem description

Suppose your company owns a number of cloud computers and needs to run a number of processes on those computers. Assign each process to a computer.

The following hard constraints must be fulfilled:

- Every computer must be able to handle the minimum hardware requirements of the sum of its processes:
  - **CPU capacity**: The CPU power of a computer must be at least the sum of the CPU power required by the processes assigned to that computer.
  - **Memory capacity**: The RAM memory of a computer must be at least the sum of the RAM memory required by the processes assigned to that computer.
  - **Network capacity**: The network bandwidth of a computer must be at least the sum of the network bandwidth required by the processes assigned to that computer.

The following soft constraints should be optimized:

- Each computer that has one or more processes assigned, incurs a maintenance cost (which is fixed per computer).
  - **Cost**: Minimize the total maintenance cost.

This problem is a form of *bin packing*. The following is a simplified example, in which we assign four processes to two computers with two constraints (CPU and RAM) with a simple algorithm:



The simple algorithm used here is the *First Fit Decreasing* algorithm, which assigns the bigger processes first and assigns the smaller processes to the remaining space. As you can see, it is not optimal, as it does not leave enough room to assign the yellow process `D`.

OptaPlanner does find the more optimal solution by using additional, smarter algorithms. It also scales: both in data (more processes, more computers) and constraints (more hardware requirements, other constraints). So let's see how OptaPlanner can be used in this scenario.

Here's an executive summary of this example and an advanced implementation with more constraints:



3.3.1.2. Problem size

Table 3. Cloud Balancing Problem Size

Problem Size	Computers	Processes	Search Space
2computers-6processes	2	6	64
3computers-9processes	3	9	10^4
4computers-12processes	4	12	10^7
100computers-300processes	100	300	10^600
200computers-600processes	200	600	10^1380
400computers-1200processes	400	1200	10^3122
800computers-2400processes	800	2400	10^6967

3.3.2. Using the domain model

3.3.2.1. Domain model design

Using a domain model helps determine which classes are planning entities and which of their properties are planning variables. It also helps to simplify constraints, improve performance, and increase flexibility for future needs.

To create a domain model, define all the objects that represent the input data for the problem. In this simple example, the objects are processes and computers.

A separate object in the domain model must represent a full data set of problem, which contains the input data as well as a solution. In this example, this object holds a list of computers and a list of processes. Each process is assigned to a computer; the distribution of processes between computers is the solution.

1. Draw a class diagram of your domain model.
2. Normalize it to remove duplicate data.
3. Write down some sample instances for each class.
    - `Computer`: represents a computer with certain hardware and maintenance costs.

      In this example, the sample instances for the `Computer` class are: `cpuPower`, `memory`, `networkBandwidth`, `cost`.

    - `Process`: represents a process with a demand. Needs to be assigned to a `Computer` by OptaPlanner.

      Sample instances for `Process` are: `requiredCpuPower`, `requiredMemory`, and `requiredNetworkBandwidth`.

    - `CloudBalance`: represents a problem. Contains every `Computer` and `Process` for a certain data set.

      For an object representing the full data set and solution, a sample instance holding the *score* must be present. OptaPlanner can calculate and compare the scores for different solutions; the solution with the highest score is the optimal solution. Therefore, the sample instance for `CloudBalance` is `score`.

4. Determine which relationships (or fields) change during planning.
    - *Planning entity*: The class (or classes) that OptaPlanner can change during solving. In this example, it is the class `Process`, because OptaPlanner can assign processes to computers.
    - *Problem fact*: A class representing input data that OptaPlanner cannot change.
    - *Planning variable*: The property (or properties) of a planning entity class that changes during solving. In this example, it is the property `computer` on the class `Process`.
    - *Planning solution*: The class that represents a solution to the problem. This class must represent the full data set and contain all planning entities. In this example that is the class `CloudBalance`.

In the UML class diagram below, the OptaPlanner concepts are already annotated:



3.3.2.2. Domain model implementation

3.3.2.2.1. The `Computer` class

The `Computer` class is a POJO (Plain Old Java Object). Usually, you will have more of this kind of classes with input data.

Example 1. CloudComputer.java

```
public class CloudComputer ... {

    private int cpuPower;
    private int memory;
    private int networkBandwidth;
    private int cost;

    ... // getters
}
```

3.3.2.2.2. The `Process` class

The `Process` class is particularly important. It is the class that is modified during solving.

We need to tell OptaPlanner that it can change the property `computer`. To do this: . Annotate the class with `@PlanningEntity`. . Annotate the getter `getComputer()` with `@PlanningVariable`.

Of course, the property `computer` needs a setter too, so OptaPlanner can change it during solving.

Example 2. CloudProcess.java

```
@PlanningEntity(...)
public class CloudProcess ... {

    private int requiredCpuPower;
    private int requiredMemory;
    private int requiredNetworkBandwidth;

    private CloudComputer computer;

    ... // getters

    @PlanningVariable
    public CloudComputer getComputer() {
        return computer;
    }

    public void setComputer(CloudComputer computer) {
        computer = computer;
    }

    // ************************************************************************
    // Complex methods
    // ************************************************************************

    ...

}
```

- OptaPlanner needs to know which values it can choose from to assign to the property `computer`. Those values are retrieved from the method `CloudBalance.getComputerList()` on the planning solution, which returns a list of all computers in the current data set.
- The `@PlanningVariable` automatically matches with the `@ValueRangeProvider` on `CloudBalance.getComputerList()`.



Instead of getter annotations, it is also possible to use field annotations.

3.3.2.2.3. The `CloudBalance` class

The `CloudBalance` class has a *@PlanningSolution* annotation.

- It holds a list of all computers and a list of all processes.

- It represents both the planning problem and (if it is initialized) the planning solution.
- To save a solution, OptaPlanner initializes a new instance of the class.
    1. The `processList` property holds a list of processes. OptaPlanner can change the processes, allocating them to different computers. Therefore, a process is a planning entity and the list of processes is a collection of planning entities. We annotate the getter `getProcessList()` with `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty`.
    2. The `computerList` property holds a list of computers. OptaPlanner cannot change the computers. Therefore, a computer is a problem fact. Especially for Constraint Streams, the property `computerList` needs to be annotated with a `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty` so that OptaPlanner can retrieve the list of computers (problem facts) and make it available to the rule engine.
    3. The `CloudBalance` class also has a `@PlanningScore` annotated property `score`, which is the `Score` of that solution in its current state. OptaPlanner automatically updates it when it calculates a `Score` for a solution instance. Therefore, this property needs a setter.

Example 3. CloudBalance.java

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance ... {

    private List<CloudComputer> computerList;

    private List<CloudProcess> processList;

    private HardSoftScore score;

    @ValueRangeProvider
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    public List<CloudComputer> getComputerList() {
        return computerList;
    }

    @PlanningEntityCollectionProperty
    public List<CloudProcess> getProcessList() {
        return processList;
    }

    @PlanningScore
    public HardSoftScore getScore() {
        return score;
    }

    public void setScore(HardSoftScore score) {
        this.score = score;
    }

    ...
```

```
}
```

3.3.3. Run the cloud balancing Hello World

1. Download and configure the examples in your preferred IDE.
2. Create a run configuration with the following main class: `org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.app.CloudBalancingHelloWorld`

   By default, the Cloud Balancing Hello World is configured to run for 120 seconds.

It executes the following code:

Example 4. CloudBalancingHelloWorld.java

```
public class CloudBalancingHelloWorld {

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // Build the Solver
        SolverFactory<CloudBalance> solverFactory = SolverFactory
.createFromXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/solver/cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml");
        Solver<CloudBalance> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();

        // Load a problem with 400 computers and 1200 processes
        CloudBalance unsolvedCloudBalance = new CloudBalancingGenerator()
.createCloudBalance(400, 1200);

        // Solve the problem
        CloudBalance solvedCloudBalance = solver.solve(unsolvedCloudBalance);

        // Display the result
        System.out.println("\nSolved cloudBalance with 400 computers and 1200
processes:\n"
                + toDisplayString(solvedCloudBalance));
    }

    ...
}
```

The code example does the following:

1. Build the `Solver` based on a solver configuration which can come from an XML file as classpath resource:

   ```
   SolverFactory<CloudBalance> solverFactory = SolverFactory
   ```

```
.createFromXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/solver/cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml");
        Solver<CloudBalance> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
```

Or to avoid XML, build it through the programmatic API instead:

```
        SolverFactory<CloudBalance> solverFactory = SolverFactory.create(new
SolverConfig()
                .withSolutionClass(CloudBalance.class)
                .withEntityClasses(CloudProcess.class)
                .withEasyScoreCalculatorClass(CloudBalancingEasyScoreCalculator
.class)
                .withTerminationSpentLimit(Duration.ofMinutes(2)));
        Solver<CloudBalance> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
```

The solver configuration is explained in the next section.

2. Load the problem.

   `CloudBalancingGenerator` generates a random problem: replace this with a class that loads a real problem, for example from a database.

```
        CloudBalance unsolvedCloudBalance = new CloudBalancingGenerator()
.createCloudBalance(400, 1200);
```

3. Solve the problem.

```
        CloudBalance solvedCloudBalance = solver.solve(unsolvedCloudBalance);
```

4. Display the result.

```
        System.out.println("\nSolved cloudBalance with 400 computers and 1200
processes:\n"
                + toDisplayString(solvedCloudBalance));
```

3.3.4. Solver configuration

The solver configuration file determines how the solving process works; it is considered a part of the code. The file is named `cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml`.

Example 5. cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
```

```
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <!-- Domain model configuration -->
  <solutionClass>
org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.domain.CloudBalance</solutionClass>
  <entityClass>
org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.domain.CloudProcess</entityClass>

  <!-- Score configuration -->
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<easyScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.score.C
loudBalancingEasyScoreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
    <!--
<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.score.CloudBalanc
ingConstraintProvider</constraintProviderClass>-->
  </scoreDirectorFactory>

  <!-- Optimization algorithms configuration -->
  <termination>
    <secondsSpentLimit>30</secondsSpentLimit>
  </termination>
</solver>
```

This solver configuration consists of three parts:

1. **Domain model configuration**: *What can OptaPlanner change?*

   We need to make OptaPlanner aware of our domain classes, annotated with `@PlanningEntity` and `@PlanningSolution` annotations:

   ```
     <solutionClass>
   org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.domain.CloudBalance</solutionClass>
     <entityClass>
   org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.domain.CloudProcess</entityClass>
   ```

2. **Score configuration**: *How should OptaPlanner optimize the planning variables? What is our goal?*

   Since we have hard and soft constraints, we use a `HardSoftScore`. But we need to tell OptaPlanner how to calculate the score, depending on our business requirements. Further down, we will look into two alternatives to calculate the score, such as using an easy Java implementation, or Constraint Streams.

   ```
     <scoreDirectorFactory>

   <easyScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.score.Cl
   ```

```
oudBalancingEasyScoreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
    <!--
<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.score.CloudBalanci
ngConstraintProvider</constraintProviderClass>-->
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

3. **Optimization algorithms configuration**: *How should OptaPlanner optimize it?*

   In this case, we use the default optimization algorithms (because no explicit optimization algorithms are configured) for 30 seconds:

   ```
   <termination>
     <secondsSpentLimit>30</secondsSpentLimit>
   </termination>
   ```

   OptaPlanner should get a good result in seconds (and even in less than 15 milliseconds with real-time planning), but the more time it has, the better the results. Advanced use cases might use different termination criteria than a hard time limit.

   The default algorithms already easily surpass human planners and most in-house implementations. Use the Benchmarker to power tweak to get even better results.

3.3.5. Score configuration

OptaPlanner searches for the solution with the highest `Score`. This example uses a `HardSoftScore`, which means OptaPlanner looks for the solution with no hard constraints broken (fulfill hardware requirements) and as little as possible soft constraints broken (minimize maintenance cost).



Of course, OptaPlanner needs to be told about these domain-specific score constraints. There are several ways to implement such a score function:

- Easy Java
- Constraint Streams
- Incremental Java

3.3.5.1. Easy Java score configuration

One way to define a score function is to implement the interface `EasyScoreCalculator` in plain Java.

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<easyScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.score.Cloud
BalancingEasyScoreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

Just implement the `calculateScore(Solution)` method to return a `HardSoftScore` instance.

Example 6. CloudBalancingEasyScoreCalculator.java

```
public class CloudBalancingEasyScoreCalculator
```

```
    implements EasyScoreCalculator<CloudBalance, HardSoftScore> {

    /**
     * A very simple implementation. The double loop can easily be removed by
using Maps as shown in
     * {@link
CloudBalancingMapBasedEasyScoreCalculator#calculateScore(CloudBalance)}.
     */
    @Override
    public HardSoftScore calculateScore(CloudBalance cloudBalance) {
        int hardScore = 0;
        int softScore = 0;
        for (CloudComputer computer : cloudBalance.getComputerList()) {
            int cpuPowerUsage = 0;
            int memoryUsage = 0;
            int networkBandwidthUsage = 0;
            boolean used = false;

            // Calculate usage
            for (CloudProcess process : cloudBalance.getProcessList()) {
                if (computer.equals(process.getComputer())) {
                    cpuPowerUsage += process.getRequiredCpuPower();
                    memoryUsage += process.getRequiredMemory();
                    networkBandwidthUsage += process.getRequiredNetworkBandwidth(
);

                    used = true;
                }
            }

            // Hard constraints
            int cpuPowerAvailable = computer.getCpuPower() - cpuPowerUsage;
            if (cpuPowerAvailable < 0) {
                hardScore += cpuPowerAvailable;
            }
            int memoryAvailable = computer.getMemory() - memoryUsage;
            if (memoryAvailable < 0) {
                hardScore += memoryAvailable;
            }
            int networkBandwidthAvailable = computer.getNetworkBandwidth() -
networkBandwidthUsage;
            if (networkBandwidthAvailable < 0) {
                hardScore += networkBandwidthAvailable;
            }

            // Soft constraints
            if (used) {
                softScore -= computer.getCost();
            }
        }
        return HardSoftScore.of(hardScore, softScore);
    }
```

```
}
```

Even if we optimize the code above to use `Map`s to iterate through the `processList` only once, *it is still slow* because it does not do incremental score calculation. To fix that, either use constraint streams, incremental Java score calculation or Drools score calculation.

3.3.5.2. Constraint streams score configuration

Constraint Streams use incremental calculation. To use it, implement the interface `ConstraintProvider` in Java.

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.score.CloudBalancingC
onstraintProvider</constraintProviderClass>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

We want to make sure that all computers have enough CPU, RAM and network bandwidth to support all their processes, so we make these hard constraints. If those constraints are met, we want to minimize the maintenance cost, so we add that as a soft constraint.

Example 7. CloudBalancingConstraintProvider.java

```
public class CloudBalancingConstraintProvider implements ConstraintProvider {

    @Override
    public Constraint[] defineConstraints(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return new Constraint[] {
                requiredCpuPowerTotal(constraintFactory),
                requiredMemoryTotal(constraintFactory),
                requiredNetworkBandwidthTotal(constraintFactory),
                computerCost(constraintFactory)
        };
    }

    Constraint requiredCpuPowerTotal(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, sum(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredCpuPower))
                .filter((computer, requiredCpuPower) -> requiredCpuPower >
computer.getCpuPower())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, requiredCpuPower) -> requiredCpuPower -
computer.getCpuPower())
                .asConstraint("requiredCpuPowerTotal");
    }
```

```
    Constraint requiredMemoryTotal(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, sum(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredMemory))
                .filter((computer, requiredMemory) -> requiredMemory > computer
.getMemory())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, requiredMemory) -> requiredMemory - computer
.getMemory())
                .asConstraint("requiredMemoryTotal");
    }

    Constraint requiredNetworkBandwidthTotal(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory)
{
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, sum(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredNetworkBandwidth))
                .filter((computer, requiredNetworkBandwidth) ->
requiredNetworkBandwidth > computer.getNetworkBandwidth())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, requiredNetworkBandwidth) ->
requiredNetworkBandwidth - computer.getNetworkBandwidth())
                .asConstraint("requiredNetworkBandwidthTotal");
    }

    Constraint computerCost(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudComputer.class)
                .ifExists(CloudProcess.class, equal(Function.identity(),
CloudProcess::getComputer))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT,
                        CloudComputer::getCost)
                .asConstraint("computerCost");
    }

}
```

3.3.5.3. Incremental Java score configuration

Another way to define a score function is to implement the interface `IncrementalScoreCalculator` in plain Java.

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<easyScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.score.Cloud
BalancingIncrementalScoreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

Example 8. CloudBalancingIncrementalScoreCalculator.java

```
public class CloudBalancingIncrementalScoreCalculator
        implements IncrementalScoreCalculator<CloudBalance, HardSoftScore> {

    private Map<CloudComputer, Integer> cpuPowerUsageMap;
    private Map<CloudComputer, Integer> memoryUsageMap;
    private Map<CloudComputer, Integer> networkBandwidthUsageMap;
    private Map<CloudComputer, Integer> processCountMap;

    private int hardScore;
    private int softScore;

    @Override
    public void resetWorkingSolution(CloudBalance cloudBalance) {
        int computerListSize = cloudBalance.getComputerList().size();
        cpuPowerUsageMap = new HashMap<>(computerListSize);
        memoryUsageMap = new HashMap<>(computerListSize);
        networkBandwidthUsageMap = new HashMap<>(computerListSize);
        processCountMap = new HashMap<>(computerListSize);
        for (CloudComputer computer : cloudBalance.getComputerList()) {
            cpuPowerUsageMap.put(computer, 0);
            memoryUsageMap.put(computer, 0);
            networkBandwidthUsageMap.put(computer, 0);
            processCountMap.put(computer, 0);
        }
        hardScore = 0;
        softScore = 0;
        for (CloudProcess process : cloudBalance.getProcessList()) {
            insert(process);
        }
    }

    @Override
    public void beforeVariableChanged(Object entity, String variableName) {
        retract((CloudProcess) entity);
    }

    @Override
    public void afterVariableChanged(Object entity, String variableName) {
        insert((CloudProcess) entity);
    }

    @Override
    public void beforeEntityRemoved(Object entity) {
        retract((CloudProcess) entity);
    }

    ...
```

```
    private void insert(CloudProcess process) {
        CloudComputer computer = process.getComputer();
        if (computer != null) {
            int cpuPower = computer.getCpuPower();
            int oldCpuPowerUsage = cpuPowerUsageMap.get(computer);
            int oldCpuPowerAvailable = cpuPower - oldCpuPowerUsage;
            int newCpuPowerUsage = oldCpuPowerUsage + process.getRequiredCpuPower
();
            int newCpuPowerAvailable = cpuPower - newCpuPowerUsage;
            hardScore += Math.min(newCpuPowerAvailable, 0) - Math.min
(oldCpuPowerAvailable, 0);
            cpuPowerUsageMap.put(computer, newCpuPowerUsage);

            int memory = computer.getMemory();
            int oldMemoryUsage = memoryUsageMap.get(computer);
            int oldMemoryAvailable = memory - oldMemoryUsage;
            int newMemoryUsage = oldMemoryUsage + process.getRequiredMemory();
            int newMemoryAvailable = memory - newMemoryUsage;
            hardScore += Math.min(newMemoryAvailable, 0) - Math.min
(oldMemoryAvailable, 0);
            memoryUsageMap.put(computer, newMemoryUsage);

            int networkBandwidth = computer.getNetworkBandwidth();
            int oldNetworkBandwidthUsage = networkBandwidthUsageMap.get(computer);
            int oldNetworkBandwidthAvailable = networkBandwidth -
oldNetworkBandwidthUsage;
            int newNetworkBandwidthUsage = oldNetworkBandwidthUsage + process
.getRequiredNetworkBandwidth();
            int newNetworkBandwidthAvailable = networkBandwidth -
newNetworkBandwidthUsage;
            hardScore += Math.min(newNetworkBandwidthAvailable, 0) - Math.min
(oldNetworkBandwidthAvailable, 0);
            networkBandwidthUsageMap.put(computer, newNetworkBandwidthUsage);

            int oldProcessCount = processCountMap.get(computer);
            if (oldProcessCount == 0) {
                softScore -= computer.getCost();
            }
            int newProcessCount = oldProcessCount + 1;
            processCountMap.put(computer, newProcessCount);
        }
    }

    private void retract(CloudProcess process) {
        CloudComputer computer = process.getComputer();
        if (computer != null) {
            int cpuPower = computer.getCpuPower();
            int oldCpuPowerUsage = cpuPowerUsageMap.get(computer);
            int oldCpuPowerAvailable = cpuPower - oldCpuPowerUsage;
            int newCpuPowerUsage = oldCpuPowerUsage - process.getRequiredCpuPower
();
```

```
            int newCpuPowerAvailable = cpuPower - newCpuPowerUsage;
            hardScore += Math.min(newCpuPowerAvailable, 0) - Math.min
(oldCpuPowerAvailable, 0);
            cpuPowerUsageMap.put(computer, newCpuPowerUsage);

            int memory = computer.getMemory();
            int oldMemoryUsage = memoryUsageMap.get(computer);
            int oldMemoryAvailable = memory - oldMemoryUsage;
            int newMemoryUsage = oldMemoryUsage - process.getRequiredMemory();
            int newMemoryAvailable = memory - newMemoryUsage;
            hardScore += Math.min(newMemoryAvailable, 0) - Math.min
(oldMemoryAvailable, 0);
            memoryUsageMap.put(computer, newMemoryUsage);

            int networkBandwidth = computer.getNetworkBandwidth();
            int oldNetworkBandwidthUsage = networkBandwidthUsageMap.get(computer);
            int oldNetworkBandwidthAvailable = networkBandwidth -
oldNetworkBandwidthUsage;
            int newNetworkBandwidthUsage = oldNetworkBandwidthUsage - process
.getRequiredNetworkBandwidth();
            int newNetworkBandwidthAvailable = networkBandwidth -
newNetworkBandwidthUsage;
            hardScore += Math.min(newNetworkBandwidthAvailable, 0) - Math.min
(oldNetworkBandwidthAvailable, 0);
            networkBandwidthUsageMap.put(computer, newNetworkBandwidthUsage);

            int oldProcessCount = processCountMap.get(computer);
            int newProcessCount = oldProcessCount - 1;
            if (newProcessCount == 0) {
                softScore += computer.getCost();
            }
            processCountMap.put(computer, newProcessCount);
        }
    }

    @Override
    public HardSoftScore calculateScore() {
        return HardSoftScore.of(hardScore, softScore);
    }
}
```

This score calculation is the fastest we can possibly make it. It reacts to every planning variable change, making the smallest possible adjustment to the score.

3.3.6. Beyond this tutorial

Now that this simple example works, you can try going further. For example, you can enrich the domain model and add extra constraints such as these:

- Each `Process` belongs to a `Service`. A computer might crash, so processes running the same service must be assigned to different computers.
- Each `Computer` is located in a `Building`. A building might burn down, so processes of the same services should (or must) be assigned to computers in different buildings.

3.4. Traveling salesman (TSP - traveling salesman problem)

3.4.1. Problem description

Given a list of cities, find the shortest tour for a salesman that visits each city exactly once.

The problem is defined by Wikipedia. It is one of the most intensively studied problems in computational mathematics. Yet, in the real world, it is often only part of a planning problem, along with other constraints, such as employee shift rostering constraints.

3.4.2. Problem size

```
dj38     has  38 cities with a search space of   10^43.
europe40 has  40 cities with a search space of   10^46.
st70     has  70 cities with a search space of   10^98.
pcb442   has 442 cities with a search space of  10^976.
lu980    has 980 cities with a search space of 10^2504.
```

3.4.3. Problem difficulty

Despite TSP's simple definition, the problem is surprisingly hard to solve. Because it is an NP-hard problem (like most planning problems), the optimal solution for a specific problem dataset can change a lot when that problem dataset is slightly altered:



3.5. Tennis club scheduling

3.5.1. Problem description

Every week the tennis club has four teams playing round robin against each other. Assign those four spots to the teams fairly.

Hard constraints:

- Conflict: A team can only play once per day.
- Unavailability: Some teams are unavailable on some dates.

Medium constraints:

- Fair assignment: All teams should play an (almost) equal number of times.

Soft constraints:

- Evenly confrontation: Each team should play against every other team an equal number of times.

3.5.2. Problem size

```
munich-7teams has 7 teams, 18 days, 12 unavailabilityPenalties and 72 teamAssignments
with a search space of 10^60.
```

3.5.3. Domain model



3.6. Meeting scheduling

3.6.1. Problem description

Assign each meeting to a starting time and a room. Meetings have different durations.

Hard constraints:

- Room conflict: two meetings must not use the same room at the same time.
- Required attendance: A person cannot have two required meetings at the same time.
- Required room capacity: A meeting must not be in a room that doesn't fit all of the meeting's attendees.
- Start and end on same day: A meeting shouldn't be scheduled over multiple days.

Medium constraints:

- Preferred attendance: A person cannot have two preferred meetings at the same time, nor a preferred and a required meeting at the same time.

Soft constraints:

- Sooner rather than later: Schedule all meetings as soon as possible.
- A break between meetings: Any two meetings should have at least one time grain break between them.
- Overlapping meetings: To minimize the number of meetings in parallel so people don't have to choose one meeting over the other.
- Assign larger rooms first: If a larger room is available any meeting should be assigned to that room in order to accommodate as many people as possible even if they haven't signed up to that meeting.
- Room stability: If a person has two consecutive meetings with two or less time grains break between them they better be in the same room.

3.6.2. Problem size

```
50meetings-160timegrains-5rooms  has  50 meetings, 160 timeGrains and 5 rooms with a
search space of 10^145.
100meetings-320timegrains-5rooms has 100 meetings, 320 timeGrains and 5 rooms with a
search space of 10^320.
200meetings-640timegrains-5rooms has 200 meetings, 640 timeGrains and 5 rooms with a
search space of 10^701.
400meetings-1280timegrains-5rooms has 400 meetings, 1280 timeGrains and 5 rooms with a
search space of 10^1522.
800meetings-2560timegrains-5rooms has 800 meetings, 2560 timeGrains and 5 rooms with a
search space of 10^3285.
```

3.7. Course timetabling (ITC 2007 Track 3 - Curriculum Course Scheduling)

3.7.1. Problem description

Schedule each lecture into a timeslot and into a room.

Hard constraints:

- Teacher conflict: A teacher must not have two lectures in the same period.
- Curriculum conflict: A curriculum must not have two lectures in the same period.
- Room occupancy: two lectures must not be in the same room in the same period.
- Unavailable period (specified per dataset): A specific lecture must not be assigned to a specific period.

Soft constraints:

- Room capacity: A room's capacity should not be less than the number of students in its lecture.
- Minimum working days: Lectures of the same course should be spread out into a minimum number of days.
- Curriculum compactness: Lectures belonging to the same curriculum should be adjacent to each other (so in consecutive periods).
- Room stability: Lectures of the same course should be assigned to the same room.

The problem is defined by the International Timetabling Competition 2007 track 3.

3.7.2. Problem size

```
comp01 has 24 teachers,  14 curricula,  30 courses, 160 lectures, 30 periods,  6 rooms
and   53 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^360.
comp02 has 71 teachers,  70 curricula,  82 courses, 283 lectures, 25 periods, 16 rooms
and  513 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^736.
comp03 has 61 teachers,  68 curricula,  72 courses, 251 lectures, 25 periods, 16 rooms
and  382 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^653.
comp04 has 70 teachers,  57 curricula,  79 courses, 286 lectures, 25 periods, 18 rooms
and  396 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^758.
comp05 has 47 teachers, 139 curricula,  54 courses, 152 lectures, 36 periods,  9 rooms
and  771 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^381.
comp06 has 87 teachers,  70 curricula, 108 courses, 361 lectures, 25 periods, 18 rooms
and  632 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^957.
comp07 has 99 teachers,  77 curricula, 131 courses, 434 lectures, 25 periods, 20 rooms
and  667 unavailable period constraints with a search space of 10^1171.
comp08 has 76 teachers,  61 curricula,  86 courses, 324 lectures, 25 periods, 18 rooms
and  478 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^859.
comp09 has 68 teachers,  75 curricula,  76 courses, 279 lectures, 25 periods, 18 rooms
and  405 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^740.
comp10 has 88 teachers,  67 curricula, 115 courses, 370 lectures, 25 periods, 18 rooms
and  694 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^981.
comp11 has 24 teachers,  13 curricula,  30 courses, 162 lectures, 45 periods,  5 rooms
and   94 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^381.
comp12 has 74 teachers, 150 curricula,  88 courses, 218 lectures, 36 periods, 11 rooms
and 1368 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^566.
comp13 has 77 teachers,  66 curricula,  82 courses, 308 lectures, 25 periods, 19 rooms
and  468 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^824.
comp14 has 68 teachers,  60 curricula,  85 courses, 275 lectures, 25 periods, 17 rooms
and  486 unavailable period constraints with a search space of  10^722.
```

3.7.3. Domain model



3.8. Machine reassignment (Google ROADEF 2012)

3.8.1. Problem description

Assign each process to a machine. All processes already have an original (unoptimized) assignment. Each process requires an amount of each resource (such as CPU, RAM, ...). This is a more complex version of the Cloud Balancing example.

Hard constraints:

- Maximum capacity: The maximum capacity for each resource for each machine must not be exceeded.
- Conflict: Processes of the same service must run on distinct machines.
- Spread: Processes of the same service must be spread out across locations.
- Dependency: The processes of a service depending on another service must run in the neighborhood of a process of the other service.
- Transient usage: Some resources are transient and count towards the maximum capacity of both the original machine as the newly assigned machine.

Soft constraints:

- Load: The safety capacity for each resource for each machine should not be exceeded.

- Balance: Leave room for future assignments by balancing the available resources on each machine.
- Process move cost: A process has a move cost.
- Service move cost: A service has a move cost.
- Machine move cost: Moving a process from machine A to machine B has another A-B specific move cost.

The problem is defined by the Google ROADEF/EURO Challenge 2012.



3.8.2. Value proposition



3.8.3. Problem size

```
model_a1_1 has  2 resources,  1 neighborhoods,   4 locations,    4 machines,    79
services,   100 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of     10^60.
model_a1_2 has  4 resources,  2 neighborhoods,   4 locations,  100 machines,   980
services,  1000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^2000.
model_a1_3 has  3 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  25 locations,  100 machines,   216
services,  1000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^2000.
model_a1_4 has  3 resources, 50 neighborhoods,  50 locations,   50 machines,   142
services,  1000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^1698.
model_a1_5 has  4 resources,  2 neighborhoods,   4 locations,   12 machines,   981
services,  1000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^1079.
model_a2_1 has  3 resources,  1 neighborhoods,   1 locations,  100 machines,  1000
services,  1000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^2000.
model_a2_2 has 12 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  25 locations,  100 machines,   170
services,  1000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^2000.
model_a2_3 has 12 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  25 locations,  100 machines,   129
services,  1000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^2000.
model_a2_4 has 12 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  25 locations,   50 machines,   180
services,  1000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^1698.
model_a2_5 has 12 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  25 locations,   50 machines,   153
services,  1000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of   10^1698.
model_b_1  has 12 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  10 locations,  100 machines,  2512
services,  5000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of  10^10000.
```

```
model_b_2  has 12 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  10 locations,  100 machines,  2462
services,  5000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of  10^10000.
model_b_3  has  6 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  10 locations,  100 machines, 15025
services, 20000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of  10^40000.
model_b_4  has  6 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  50 locations,  500 machines,  1732
services, 20000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of  10^53979.
model_b_5  has  6 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  10 locations,  100 machines, 35082
services, 40000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of  10^80000.
model_b_6  has  6 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  50 locations,  200 machines, 14680
services, 40000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of  10^92041.
model_b_7  has  6 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  50 locations, 4000 machines, 15050
services, 40000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of 10^144082.
model_b_8  has  3 resources,  5 neighborhoods,  10 locations,  100 machines, 45030
services, 50000 processes and 0 balancePenalties with a search space of 10^100000.
model_b_9  has  3 resources,  5 neighborhoods, 100 locations, 1000 machines,  4609
services, 50000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of 10^150000.
model_b_10 has  3 resources,  5 neighborhoods, 100 locations, 5000 machines,  4896
services, 50000 processes and 1 balancePenalties with a search space of 10^184948.
```

3.8.4. Domain model



3.9. Vehicle routing

3.9.1. Problem description

Using a fleet of vehicles, pick up the objects of each customer and bring them to the depot. Each vehicle can service multiple customers, but it has a limited capacity.



Besides the basic case (CVRP), there is also a variant with time windows (CVRPTW).

Hard constraints:

- Vehicle capacity: a vehicle cannot carry more items then its capacity.
- Time windows (only in CVRPTW):
  - Travel time: Traveling from one location to another takes time.
  - Customer service duration: a vehicle must stay at the customer for the length of the service duration.
  - Customer ready time: a vehicle may arrive before the customer's ready time, but it must

wait until the ready time before servicing.

- Customer due time: a vehicle must arrive on time, before the customer's due time.

Soft constraints:

- Total distance: minimize the total distance driven (fuel consumption) of all vehicles.

The capacitated vehicle routing problem (CVRP) and its timewindowed variant (CVRPTW) are defined by the VRP web.

3.9.2. Value proposition



3.9.3. Problem size

CVRP instances (without time windows):

```
belgium-n50-k10            has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   49 customers with a
search space of   10^74.
belgium-n100-k10           has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   99 customers with a
search space of  10^170.
belgium-n500-k20           has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  499 customers with a
search space of 10^1168.
belgium-n1000-k20          has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  999 customers with a
search space of 10^2607.
```

```
belgium-n2750-k55          has  1 depots, 55 vehicles and 2749 customers with a
search space of 10^8380.
belgium-road-km-n50-k10    has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   49 customers with a
search space of   10^74.
belgium-road-km-n100-k10   has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   99 customers with a
search space of  10^170.
belgium-road-km-n500-k20   has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  499 customers with a
search space of 10^1168.
belgium-road-km-n1000-k20  has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  999 customers with a
search space of 10^2607.
belgium-road-km-n2750-k55  has  1 depots, 55 vehicles and 2749 customers with a
search space of 10^8380.
belgium-road-time-n50-k10  has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   49 customers with a
search space of   10^74.
belgium-road-time-n100-k10 has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   99 customers with a
search space of  10^170.
belgium-road-time-n500-k20 has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  499 customers with a
search space of 10^1168.
belgium-road-time-n1000-k20 has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  999 customers with a
search space of 10^2607.
belgium-road-time-n2750-k55 has  1 depots, 55 vehicles and 2749 customers with a
search space of 10^8380.
belgium-d2-n50-k10         has  2 depots, 10 vehicles and   48 customers with a
search space of   10^74.
belgium-d3-n100-k10        has  3 depots, 10 vehicles and   97 customers with a
search space of  10^170.
belgium-d5-n500-k20        has  5 depots, 20 vehicles and  495 customers with a
search space of 10^1168.
belgium-d8-n1000-k20       has  8 depots, 20 vehicles and  992 customers with a
search space of 10^2607.
belgium-d10-n2750-k55      has 10 depots, 55 vehicles and 2740 customers with a
search space of 10^8380.

A-n32-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  31 customers with a search space of  10^40.
A-n33-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  32 customers with a search space of  10^41.
A-n33-k6  has 1 depots,  6 vehicles and  32 customers with a search space of  10^42.
A-n34-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  33 customers with a search space of  10^43.
A-n36-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  35 customers with a search space of  10^46.
A-n37-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  36 customers with a search space of  10^48.
A-n37-k6  has 1 depots,  6 vehicles and  36 customers with a search space of  10^49.
A-n38-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  37 customers with a search space of  10^49.
A-n39-k5  has 1 depots,  5 vehicles and  38 customers with a search space of  10^51.
A-n39-k6  has 1 depots,  6 vehicles and  38 customers with a search space of  10^52.
A-n44-k7  has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and  43 customers with a search space of  10^61.
A-n45-k6  has 1 depots,  6 vehicles and  44 customers with a search space of  10^62.
A-n45-k7  has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and  44 customers with a search space of  10^63.
A-n46-k7  has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and  45 customers with a search space of  10^65.
A-n48-k7  has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and  47 customers with a search space of  10^68.
A-n53-k7  has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and  52 customers with a search space of  10^77.
A-n54-k7  has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and  53 customers with a search space of  10^79.
A-n55-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  54 customers with a search space of  10^82.
```

```
A-n60-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  59 customers with a search space of  10^91.
A-n61-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  60 customers with a search space of  10^93.
A-n62-k8  has 1 depots,  8 vehicles and  61 customers with a search space of  10^94.
A-n63-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  62 customers with a search space of  10^97.
A-n63-k10 has 1 depots, 10 vehicles and  62 customers with a search space of  10^98.
A-n64-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  63 customers with a search space of  10^99.
A-n65-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  64 customers with a search space of 10^101.
A-n69-k9  has 1 depots,  9 vehicles and  68 customers with a search space of 10^108.
A-n80-k10 has 1 depots, 10 vehicles and  79 customers with a search space of 10^130.
F-n45-k4  has 1 depots,  4 vehicles and  44 customers with a search space of  10^60.
F-n72-k4  has 1 depots,  4 vehicles and  71 customers with a search space of 10^108.
F-n135-k7 has 1 depots,  7 vehicles and 134 customers with a search space of 10^240.
```

CVRPTW instances (with time windows):

```
belgium-tw-d2-n50-k10    has  2 depots, 10 vehicles and   48 customers with a search
space of   10^74.
belgium-tw-d3-n100-k10   has  3 depots, 10 vehicles and   97 customers with a search
space of  10^170.
belgium-tw-d5-n500-k20   has  5 depots, 20 vehicles and  495 customers with a search
space of 10^1168.
belgium-tw-d8-n1000-k20  has  8 depots, 20 vehicles and  992 customers with a search
space of 10^2607.
belgium-tw-d10-n2750-k55 has 10 depots, 55 vehicles and 2740 customers with a search
space of 10^8380.
belgium-tw-n50-k10       has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   49 customers with a search
space of   10^74.
belgium-tw-n100-k10      has  1 depots, 10 vehicles and   99 customers with a search
space of  10^170.
belgium-tw-n500-k20      has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  499 customers with a search
space of 10^1168.
belgium-tw-n1000-k20     has  1 depots, 20 vehicles and  999 customers with a search
space of 10^2607.
belgium-tw-n2750-k55     has  1 depots, 55 vehicles and 2749 customers with a search
space of 10^8380.

Solomon_025_C101       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and   25 customers with a search
space of   10^40.
Solomon_025_C201       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and   25 customers with a search
space of   10^40.
Solomon_025_R101       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and   25 customers with a search
space of   10^40.
Solomon_025_R201       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and   25 customers with a search
space of   10^40.
Solomon_025_RC101      has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and   25 customers with a search
space of   10^40.
Solomon_025_RC201      has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and   25 customers with a search
space of   10^40.
Solomon_100_C101       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and  100 customers with a search
space of  10^185.
```

```
Solomon_100_C201       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and  100 customers with a search
space of  10^185.
Solomon_100_R101       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and  100 customers with a search
space of  10^185.
Solomon_100_R201       has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and  100 customers with a search
space of  10^185.
Solomon_100_RC101      has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and  100 customers with a search
space of  10^185.
Solomon_100_RC201      has 1 depots,  25 vehicles and  100 customers with a search
space of  10^185.
Homberger_0200_C1_2_1  has 1 depots,  50 vehicles and  200 customers with a search
space of  10^429.
Homberger_0200_C2_2_1  has 1 depots,  50 vehicles and  200 customers with a search
space of  10^429.
Homberger_0200_R1_2_1  has 1 depots,  50 vehicles and  200 customers with a search
space of  10^429.
Homberger_0200_R2_2_1  has 1 depots,  50 vehicles and  200 customers with a search
space of  10^429.
Homberger_0200_RC1_2_1 has 1 depots,  50 vehicles and  200 customers with a search
space of  10^429.
Homberger_0200_RC2_2_1 has 1 depots,  50 vehicles and  200 customers with a search
space of  10^429.
Homberger_0400_C1_4_1  has 1 depots, 100 vehicles and  400 customers with a search
space of  10^978.
Homberger_0400_C2_4_1  has 1 depots, 100 vehicles and  400 customers with a search
space of  10^978.
Homberger_0400_R1_4_1  has 1 depots, 100 vehicles and  400 customers with a search
space of  10^978.
Homberger_0400_R2_4_1  has 1 depots, 100 vehicles and  400 customers with a search
space of  10^978.
Homberger_0400_RC1_4_1 has 1 depots, 100 vehicles and  400 customers with a search
space of  10^978.
Homberger_0400_RC2_4_1 has 1 depots, 100 vehicles and  400 customers with a search
space of  10^978.
Homberger_0600_C1_6_1  has 1 depots, 150 vehicles and  600 customers with a search
space of 10^1571.
Homberger_0600_C2_6_1  has 1 depots, 150 vehicles and  600 customers with a search
space of 10^1571.
Homberger_0600_R1_6_1  has 1 depots, 150 vehicles and  600 customers with a search
space of 10^1571.
Homberger_0600_R2_6_1  has 1 depots, 150 vehicles and  600 customers with a search
space of 10^1571.
Homberger_0600_RC1_6_1 has 1 depots, 150 vehicles and  600 customers with a search
space of 10^1571.
Homberger_0600_RC2_6_1 has 1 depots, 150 vehicles and  600 customers with a search
space of 10^1571.
Homberger_0800_C1_8_1  has 1 depots, 200 vehicles and  800 customers with a search
space of 10^2195.
Homberger_0800_C2_8_1  has 1 depots, 200 vehicles and  800 customers with a search
space of 10^2195.
Homberger_0800_R1_8_1  has 1 depots, 200 vehicles and  800 customers with a search
```

```
space of 10^2195.
Homberger_0800_R2_8_1  has 1 depots, 200 vehicles and  800 customers with a search
space of 10^2195.
Homberger_0800_RC1_8_1 has 1 depots, 200 vehicles and  800 customers with a search
space of 10^2195.
Homberger_0800_RC2_8_1 has 1 depots, 200 vehicles and  800 customers with a search
space of 10^2195.
Homberger_1000_C110_1  has 1 depots, 250 vehicles and 1000 customers with a search
space of 10^2840.
Homberger_1000_C210_1  has 1 depots, 250 vehicles and 1000 customers with a search
space of 10^2840.
Homberger_1000_R110_1  has 1 depots, 250 vehicles and 1000 customers with a search
space of 10^2840.
Homberger_1000_R210_1  has 1 depots, 250 vehicles and 1000 customers with a search
space of 10^2840.
Homberger_1000_RC110_1 has 1 depots, 250 vehicles and 1000 customers with a search
space of 10^2840.
Homberger_1000_RC210_1 has 1 depots, 250 vehicles and 1000 customers with a search
space of 10^2840.
```

3.9.4. Domain model



The vehicle routing with timewindows domain model makes heavily use of shadow variables. This allows it to express its constraints more naturally, because properties such as `arrivalTime` and

`departureTime`, are directly available on the domain model.

3.9.4.1. Road distances instead of air distances

In the real world, vehicles cannot follow a straight line from location to location: they have to use roads and highways. From a business point of view, this matters a lot:



For the optimization algorithm, this does not matter much, as long as the distance between two points can be looked up (and are preferably precalculated). The road cost does not even need to be a distance, it can also be travel time, fuel cost, or a weighted function of those. There are several technologies available to precalculate road costs, such as GraphHopper (embeddable, offline Java engine), Open MapQuest (web service) and Google Maps Client API (web service).



There are also several technologies to render it, such as Leaflet and Google Maps for developers. The OptaWeb Vehicle Routing project has an example which demonstrates such rendering:



It is even possible to render the actual road routes with GraphHopper or Google Map Directions, but because of route overlaps on highways, it can become harder to see the standstill order:



Take special care that the road costs between two points use the same optimization criteria as the one used in OptaPlanner. For example, GraphHopper etc will by default return the fastest route, not the shortest route. Don't use the km (or miles) distances of the fastest GPS routes to optimize the shortest trip in OptaPlanner: this leads to a suboptimal solution as shown below:



Contrary to popular belief, most users do not want the shortest route: they want the fastest route instead. They prefer highways over normal roads. They prefer normal roads over dirt roads. In the real world, the fastest and shortest route are rarely the same.

3.10. Project job scheduling

3.10.1. Problem description

Schedule all jobs in time and execution mode to minimize project delays. Each job is part of a project. A job can be executed in different ways: each way is an execution mode that implies a different duration but also different resource usages. This is a form of flexible *job shop scheduling*.



Hard constraints:

- Job precedence: a job can only start when all its predecessor jobs are finished.
- Resource capacity: do not use more resources than available.
  - Resources are local (shared between jobs of the same project) or global (shared between all jobs)
  - Resources are renewable (capacity available per day) or nonrenewable (capacity available for all days)

Medium constraints:

- Total project delay: minimize the duration (makespan) of each project.

Soft constraints:

- Total makespan: minimize the duration of the whole multi-project schedule.

The problem is defined by the MISTA 2013 challenge.

3.10.2. Problem size

```
Schedule A-1  has  2 projects,  24 jobs,  64 execution modes,  7 resources and  150
resource requirements.
```

```
Schedule A-2  has  2 projects,  44 jobs,  124 execution modes,  7 resources and  420
resource requirements.
Schedule A-3  has  2 projects,  64 jobs,  184 execution modes,  7 resources and  630
resource requirements.
Schedule A-4  has  5 projects,  60 jobs,  160 execution modes, 16 resources and  390
resource requirements.
Schedule A-5  has  5 projects, 110 jobs,  310 execution modes, 16 resources and  900
resource requirements.
Schedule A-6  has  5 projects, 160 jobs,  460 execution modes, 16 resources and 1440
resource requirements.
Schedule A-7  has 10 projects, 120 jobs,  320 execution modes, 22 resources and  900
resource requirements.
Schedule A-8  has 10 projects, 220 jobs,  620 execution modes, 22 resources and 1860
resource requirements.
Schedule A-9  has 10 projects, 320 jobs,  920 execution modes, 31 resources and 2880
resource requirements.
Schedule A-10 has 10 projects, 320 jobs,  920 execution modes, 31 resources and 2970
resource requirements.
Schedule B-1  has 10 projects, 120 jobs,  320 execution modes, 31 resources and  900
resource requirements.
Schedule B-2  has 10 projects, 220 jobs,  620 execution modes, 22 resources and 1740
resource requirements.
Schedule B-3  has 10 projects, 320 jobs,  920 execution modes, 31 resources and 3060
resource requirements.
Schedule B-4  has 15 projects, 180 jobs,  480 execution modes, 46 resources and 1530
resource requirements.
Schedule B-5  has 15 projects, 330 jobs,  930 execution modes, 46 resources and 2760
resource requirements.
Schedule B-6  has 15 projects, 480 jobs, 1380 execution modes, 46 resources and 4500
resource requirements.
Schedule B-7  has 20 projects, 240 jobs,  640 execution modes, 61 resources and 1710
resource requirements.
Schedule B-8  has 20 projects, 440 jobs, 1240 execution modes, 42 resources and 3180
resource requirements.
Schedule B-9  has 20 projects, 640 jobs, 1840 execution modes, 61 resources and 5940
resource requirements.
Schedule B-10 has 20 projects, 460 jobs, 1300 execution modes, 42 resources and 4260
resource requirements.
```

3.11. Hospital bed planning (PAS - Patient Admission Scheduling)

3.11.1. Problem description

Assign each patient (that will come to the hospital) into a bed for each night that the patient will stay in the hospital. Each bed belongs to a room and each room belongs to a department. The arrival and departure dates of the patients is fixed: only a bed needs to be assigned for each night.

This problem features overconstrained datasets.



Hard constraints:

- Two patients must not be assigned to the same bed in the same night. Weight: `-1000hard * conflictNightCount`.
- A room can have a gender limitation: only females, only males, the same gender in the same night or no gender limitation at all. Weight: `-50hard * nightCount`.
- A department can have a minimum or maximum age. Weight: `-100hard * nightCount`.
- A patient can require a room with specific equipment(s). Weight: `-50hard * nightCount`.

Medium constraints:

- Assign every patient to a bed, unless the dataset is overconstrained. Weight: `-1medium * nightCount`.

Soft constraints:

- A patient can prefer a maximum room size, for example if he/she wants a single room. Weight: `-8soft * nightCount`.
- A patient is best assigned to a department that specializes in his/her problem. Weight: `-10soft * nightCount`.
- A patient is best assigned to a room that specializes in his/her problem. Weight: `-20soft * nightCount`.

    - That room speciality should be priority 1. Weight: `-10soft * (priority - 1) * nightCount`.
- A patient can prefer a room with specific equipment(s). Weight: `-20soft * nightCount`.

The problem is a variant on Kaho's Patient Scheduling and the datasets come from real world hospitals.

3.11.2. Problem size

```
overconstrained01 has 6 specialisms, 4 equipments, 1 departments,  25 rooms,  69 beds,
14 nights,  519 patients and  519 admissions with a search space of 10^958.
testdata01        has 4 specialisms, 2 equipments, 4 departments,  98 rooms, 286 beds,
14 nights,  652 patients and  652 admissions with a search space of 10^1603.
testdata02        has 6 specialisms, 2 equipments, 6 departments, 151 rooms, 465 beds,
14 nights,  755 patients and  755 admissions with a search space of 10^2015.
testdata03        has 5 specialisms, 2 equipments, 5 departments, 131 rooms, 395 beds,
14 nights,  708 patients and  708 admissions with a search space of 10^1840.
testdata04        has 6 specialisms, 2 equipments, 6 departments, 155 rooms, 471 beds,
14 nights,  746 patients and  746 admissions with a search space of 10^1995.
testdata05        has 4 specialisms, 2 equipments, 4 departments, 102 rooms, 325 beds,
14 nights,  587 patients and  587 admissions with a search space of 10^1476.
testdata06        has 4 specialisms, 2 equipments, 4 departments, 104 rooms, 313 beds,
14 nights,  685 patients and  685 admissions with a search space of 10^1711.
testdata07        has 6 specialisms, 4 equipments, 6 departments, 162 rooms, 472 beds,
14 nights,  519 patients and  519 admissions with a search space of 10^1389.
testdata08        has 6 specialisms, 4 equipments, 6 departments, 148 rooms, 441 beds,
21 nights,  895 patients and  895 admissions with a search space of 10^2368.
testdata09        has 4 specialisms, 4 equipments, 4 departments, 105 rooms, 310 beds,
28 nights, 1400 patients and 1400 admissions with a search space of 10^3490.
testdata10        has 4 specialisms, 4 equipments, 4 departments, 104 rooms, 308 beds,
56 nights, 1575 patients and 1575 admissions with a search space of 10^3922.
testdata11        has 4 specialisms, 4 equipments, 4 departments, 107 rooms, 318 beds,
91 nights, 2514 patients and 2514 admissions with a search space of 10^6295.
testdata12        has 4 specialisms, 4 equipments, 4 departments, 105 rooms, 310 beds,
84 nights, 2750 patients and 2750 admissions with a search space of 10^6856.
testdata13        has 5 specialisms, 4 equipments, 5 departments, 125 rooms, 368 beds,
28 nights,  907 patients and 1109 admissions with a search space of 10^2847.
```

3.11.3. Domain model



3.12. Task assigning

3.12.1. Problem description

Assign each task to a spot in an employee's queue. Each task has a duration which is affected by the employee's affinity level with the task's customer.

Hard constraints:

- Skill: Each task requires one or more skills. The employee must possess all these skills.

Soft level 0 constraints:

- Critical tasks: Complete critical tasks first, sooner than major and minor tasks.

Soft level 1 constraints:

- Minimize makespan: Reduce the time to complete all tasks.
  - Start with the longest working employee first, then the second longest working employee and so forth, to create fairness and load balancing.

Soft level 2 constraints:

- Major tasks: Complete major tasks as soon as possible, sooner than minor tasks.

Soft level 3 constraints:

- Minor tasks: Complete minor tasks as soon as possible.

3.12.2. Value proposition



3.12.3. Problem size

```
24tasks-8employees   has  24 tasks, 6 skills,  8 employees,   4 task types and  4
customers with a search space of   10^30.
50tasks-5employees   has  50 tasks, 5 skills,  5 employees,  10 task types and 10
customers with a search space of   10^69.
100tasks-5employees  has 100 tasks, 5 skills,  5 employees,  20 task types and 15
customers with a search space of  10^164.
500tasks-20employees has 500 tasks, 6 skills, 20 employees, 100 task types and 60
customers with a search space of 10^1168.
```

3.12.4. Domain model



3.13. Exam timetabling (ITC 2007 track 1 - Examination)

3.13.1. Problem description

Schedule each exam into a period and into a room. Multiple exams can share the same room during the same period.



Hard constraints:

- Exam conflict: two exams that share students must not occur in the same period.
- Room capacity: A room's seating capacity must suffice at all times.
- Period duration: A period's duration must suffice for all of its exams.
- Period related hard constraints (specified per dataset):
  - Coincidence: two specified exams must use the same period (but possibly another room).
  - Exclusion: two specified exams must not use the same period.
  - After: A specified exam must occur in a period after another specified exam's period.
- Room related hard constraints (specified per dataset):
  - Exclusive: one specified exam should not have to share its room with any other exam.

Soft constraints (each of which has a parametrized penalty):

- The same student should not have two exams in a row.
- The same student should not have two exams on the same day.
- Period spread: two exams that share students should be a number of periods apart.
- Mixed durations: two exams that share a room should not have different durations.
- Front load: Large exams should be scheduled earlier in the schedule.

- Period penalty (specified per dataset): Some periods have a penalty when used.
- Room penalty (specified per dataset): Some rooms have a penalty when used.

It uses large test data sets of real-life universities.

The problem is defined by the International Timetabling Competition 2007 track 1. Geoffrey De Smet finished 4th in that competition with a very early version of OptaPlanner. Many improvements have been made since then.

3.13.2. Problem size

```
exam_comp_set1 has  7883 students,  607 exams, 54 periods,  7 rooms,  12 period
constraints and  0 room constraints with a search space of 10^1564.
exam_comp_set2 has 12484 students,  870 exams, 40 periods, 49 rooms,  12 period
constraints and  2 room constraints with a search space of 10^2864.
exam_comp_set3 has 16365 students,  934 exams, 36 periods, 48 rooms, 168 period
constraints and 15 room constraints with a search space of 10^3023.
exam_comp_set4 has  4421 students,  273 exams, 21 periods,  1 rooms,  40 period
constraints and  0 room constraints with a search space of  10^360.
exam_comp_set5 has  8719 students, 1018 exams, 42 periods,  3 rooms,  27 period
constraints and  0 room constraints with a search space of 10^2138.
exam_comp_set6 has  7909 students,  242 exams, 16 periods,  8 rooms,  22 period
constraints and  0 room constraints with a search space of  10^509.
exam_comp_set7 has 13795 students, 1096 exams, 80 periods, 15 rooms,  28 period
constraints and  0 room constraints with a search space of 10^3374.
exam_comp_set8 has  7718 students,  598 exams, 80 periods,  8 rooms,  20 period
constraints and  1 room constraints with a search space of 10^1678.
```

3.13.3. Domain model

Below you can see the main examination domain classes:



Figure 3. Examination Domain Class Diagram

Notice that we've split up the exam concept into an `Exam` class and a `Topic` class. The `Exam` instances change during solving (this is the planning entity class), when their period or room property changes. The `Topic`, `Period` and `Room` instances never change during solving (these are problem facts, just like some other classes).

3.14. Nurse rostering (INRC 2010)

3.14.1. Problem description

For each shift, assign a nurse to work that shift.



Hard constraints:

- **No unassigned shifts** (built-in): Every shift need to be assigned to an employee.
- **Shift conflict**: An employee can have only one shift per day.

Soft constraints:

- Contract obligations. The business frequently violates these, so they decided to define these as soft constraints instead of hard constraints.
  - **Minimum and maximum assignments**: Each employee needs to work more than x shifts and less than y shifts (depending on their contract).
  - **Minimum and maximum consecutive working days**: Each employee needs to work between x and y days in a row (depending on their contract).
  - **Minimum and maximum consecutive free days**: Each employee needs to be free between x and y days in a row (depending on their contract).
  - **Minimum and maximum consecutive working weekends**: Each employee needs to work between x and y weekends in a row (depending on their contract).
  - **Complete weekends**: Each employee needs to work every day in a weekend or not at all.
  - **Identical shift types during weekend**: Each weekend shift for the same weekend of the same employee must be the same shift type.
  - **Unwanted patterns**: A combination of unwanted shift types in a row. For example: a late

shift followed by an early shift followed by a late shift.

- Employee wishes:
  - **Day on request**: An employee wants to work on a specific day.
  - **Day off request**: An employee does not want to work on a specific day.
  - **Shift on request**: An employee wants to be assigned to a specific shift.
  - **Shift off request**: An employee does not want to be assigned to a specific shift.
- **Alternative skill**: An employee assigned to a shift should have a proficiency in every skill required by that shift.

The problem is defined by the International Nurse Rostering Competition 2010.

3.14.2. Value proposition



3.14.3. Problem size

There are three dataset types:

- sprint: must be solved in seconds.
- medium: must be solved in minutes.
- long: must be solved in hours.

```
toy1          has 1 skills, 3 shiftTypes, 2 patterns, 1 contracts,  6 employees,  7
shiftDates,  35 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of   10^27.
toy2          has 1 skills, 3 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 2 contracts, 20 employees, 28
shiftDates, 180 shiftAssignments and 140 requests with a search space of  10^234.

sprint01      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint02      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint03      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint04      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint05      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint06      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint07      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint08      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint09      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint10      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 4 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_hint01 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 8 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_hint02 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_hint03 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 8 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late01 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 8 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late02 has 1 skills, 3 shiftTypes, 4 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 144 shiftAssignments and 139 requests with a search space of  10^144.
sprint_late03 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 8 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 160 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^160.
sprint_late04 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 8 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 160 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^160.
sprint_late05 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 8 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late06 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late07 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late08 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late09 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of  10^152.
sprint_late10 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 3 contracts, 10 employees, 28
```

```
shiftDates, 152 shiftAssignments and 150 requests with a search space of  10^152.

medium01      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 4 contracts, 31 employees, 28
shiftDates, 608 shiftAssignments and 403 requests with a search space of  10^906.
medium02      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 4 contracts, 31 employees, 28
shiftDates, 608 shiftAssignments and 403 requests with a search space of  10^906.
medium03      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 4 contracts, 31 employees, 28
shiftDates, 608 shiftAssignments and 403 requests with a search space of  10^906.
medium04      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 4 contracts, 31 employees, 28
shiftDates, 608 shiftAssignments and 403 requests with a search space of  10^906.
medium05      has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 4 contracts, 31 employees, 28
shiftDates, 608 shiftAssignments and 403 requests with a search space of  10^906.
medium_hint01 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 4 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 428 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^632.
medium_hint02 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 3 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 428 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^632.
medium_hint03 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 4 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 428 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^632.
medium_late01 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 4 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 424 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^626.
medium_late02 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 3 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 428 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^632.
medium_late03 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 0 patterns, 4 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 428 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^632.
medium_late04 has 1 skills, 4 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 3 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 416 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^614.
medium_late05 has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 4 contracts, 30 employees, 28
shiftDates, 452 shiftAssignments and 390 requests with a search space of  10^667.

long01        has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 3 contracts, 49 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and 735 requests with a search space of 10^1250.
long02        has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 3 contracts, 49 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and 735 requests with a search space of 10^1250.
long03        has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 3 contracts, 49 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and 735 requests with a search space of 10^1250.
long04        has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 3 contracts, 49 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and 735 requests with a search space of 10^1250.
long05        has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 3 patterns, 3 contracts, 49 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and 735 requests with a search space of 10^1250.
long_hint01   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 9 patterns, 3 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1257.
long_hint02   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 3 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1257.
long_hint03   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 7 patterns, 3 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1257.
long_late01   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 9 patterns, 3 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 752 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1277.
long_late02   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 9 patterns, 4 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 752 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1277.
long_late03   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 9 patterns, 3 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 752 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1277.
```

```
long_late04   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 9 patterns, 4 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 752 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1277.
long_late05   has 2 skills, 5 shiftTypes, 9 patterns, 3 contracts, 50 employees, 28
shiftDates, 740 shiftAssignments and   0 requests with a search space of 10^1257.
```

3.14.4. Domain model



3.15. Traveling tournament problem (TTP)

3.15.1. Problem description

Schedule matches between *n* teams.



Hard constraints:

- Each team plays twice against every other team: once home and once away.
- Each team has exactly one match on each timeslot.
- No team must have more than three consecutive home or three consecutive away matches.
- No repeaters: no two consecutive matches of the same two opposing teams.

Soft constraints:

- Minimize the total distance traveled by all teams.

The problem is defined on Michael Trick's website (which contains the world records too).

3.15.2. Problem size

```
1-nl04     has  6 days,  4 teams and   12 matches with a search space of    10^5.
1-nl06     has 10 days,  6 teams and   30 matches with a search space of   10^19.
1-nl08     has 14 days,  8 teams and   56 matches with a search space of   10^43.
1-nl10     has 18 days, 10 teams and   90 matches with a search space of   10^79.
1-nl12     has 22 days, 12 teams and  132 matches with a search space of  10^126.
1-nl14     has 26 days, 14 teams and  182 matches with a search space of  10^186.
1-nl16     has 30 days, 16 teams and  240 matches with a search space of  10^259.
2-bra24    has 46 days, 24 teams and  552 matches with a search space of  10^692.
```

```
3-nfl16    has 30 days, 16 teams and  240 matches with a search space of  10^259.
3-nfl18    has 34 days, 18 teams and  306 matches with a search space of  10^346.
3-nfl20    has 38 days, 20 teams and  380 matches with a search space of  10^447.
3-nfl22    has 42 days, 22 teams and  462 matches with a search space of  10^562.
3-nfl24    has 46 days, 24 teams and  552 matches with a search space of  10^692.
3-nfl26    has 50 days, 26 teams and  650 matches with a search space of  10^838.
3-nfl28    has 54 days, 28 teams and  756 matches with a search space of  10^999.
3-nfl30    has 58 days, 30 teams and  870 matches with a search space of 10^1175.
3-nfl32    has 62 days, 32 teams and  992 matches with a search space of 10^1367.
4-super04  has  6 days,  4 teams and   12 matches with a search space of    10^5.
4-super06  has 10 days,  6 teams and   30 matches with a search space of   10^19.
4-super08  has 14 days,  8 teams and   56 matches with a search space of   10^43.
4-super10  has 18 days, 10 teams and   90 matches with a search space of   10^79.
4-super12  has 22 days, 12 teams and  132 matches with a search space of  10^126.
4-super14  has 26 days, 14 teams and  182 matches with a search space of  10^186.
5-galaxy04 has  6 days,  4 teams and   12 matches with a search space of    10^5.
5-galaxy06 has 10 days,  6 teams and   30 matches with a search space of   10^19.
5-galaxy08 has 14 days,  8 teams and   56 matches with a search space of   10^43.
5-galaxy10 has 18 days, 10 teams and   90 matches with a search space of   10^79.
5-galaxy12 has 22 days, 12 teams and  132 matches with a search space of  10^126.
5-galaxy14 has 26 days, 14 teams and  182 matches with a search space of  10^186.
5-galaxy16 has 30 days, 16 teams and  240 matches with a search space of  10^259.
5-galaxy18 has 34 days, 18 teams and  306 matches with a search space of  10^346.
5-galaxy20 has 38 days, 20 teams and  380 matches with a search space of  10^447.
5-galaxy22 has 42 days, 22 teams and  462 matches with a search space of  10^562.
5-galaxy24 has 46 days, 24 teams and  552 matches with a search space of  10^692.
5-galaxy26 has 50 days, 26 teams and  650 matches with a search space of  10^838.
5-galaxy28 has 54 days, 28 teams and  756 matches with a search space of  10^999.
5-galaxy30 has 58 days, 30 teams and  870 matches with a search space of 10^1175.
5-galaxy32 has 62 days, 32 teams and  992 matches with a search space of 10^1367.
5-galaxy34 has 66 days, 34 teams and 1122 matches with a search space of 10^1576.
5-galaxy36 has 70 days, 36 teams and 1260 matches with a search space of 10^1801.
5-galaxy38 has 74 days, 38 teams and 1406 matches with a search space of 10^2042.
5-galaxy40 has 78 days, 40 teams and 1560 matches with a search space of 10^2301.
```

3.16. Conference scheduling

3.16.1. Problem description

Assign each conference talk to a timeslot and a room, after the talks have been accepted.



Timeslots can overlap. It reads/writes to/from an `*.xlsx` file that can be edited with LibreOffice or Excel.



Devoxx Belgium assigns 214 talks to 40 timeslots and 10 rooms for 3500 attendees.

Built-in hard constraints:

- Talk type of timeslot: The type of a talk must match the timeslot's talk type.
- Room unavailable timeslots: A talk's room must be available during the talk's timeslot.

Hard constraints (unless configured otherwise):

- Room conflict: Two talks can't use the same room during overlapping timeslots.
- Speaker unavailable timeslots: Every talk's speaker must be available during the talk's timeslot.
- Speaker conflict: Two talks can't share a speaker during overlapping timeslots.
- Talk prerequisite talks: A talk must be scheduled after all its prerequisite talks.
- Talk mutually-exclusive-talks tags: Talks that share such tags must not be scheduled in overlapping timeslots.
- Consecutive talks pause: A speaker who has more than one talk must have a break between them.
- Generic purpose timeslot and room tags
  - Speaker required timeslot tags: If a speaker has a required timeslot tag, then all his/her talks must be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.
  - Speaker prohibited timeslot tags: If a speaker has a prohibited timeslot tag, then all his/her talks cannot be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.

    - Talk required timeslot tags: If a talk has a required timeslot tag, then it must be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.
    - Talk prohibited timeslot tags: If a talk has a prohibited timeslot tag, then it cannot be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.
    - Speaker required room tags: If a speaker has a required room tag, then all his/her talks must be assigned to a room with that tag.
    - Speaker prohibited room tags: If a speaker has a prohibited room tag, then all his/her talks cannot be assigned to a room with that tag.
    - Talk required room tags: If a talk has a required room tag, then it must be assigned to a room with that tag.
    - Talk prohibited room tags: If a talk has a prohibited room tag, then it cannot be assigned to a room with that tag.

Medium constraints (unless configured otherwise):

- Published timeslot: A published talk must not be scheduled at a different timeslot than currently published. If a hard constraint's input data changes after publishing (such as speaker unavailability), then this medium constraint will be minimally broken to attain a new feasible solution.

Soft constraints (unless configured otherwise):

- Published room: Minimize the number of talks scheduled in different rooms than published ones.
- Theme track conflict: Minimize the number of talks that share a same theme tag during overlapping timeslots.
- Theme track room stability: Talks with common theme track tag should be scheduled in the same room throughout the day.
- Sector conflict: Minimize the number of talks that share a same sector tag during overlapping timeslots.
- Content audience level flow violation: For every content tag, schedule the introductory talks before the advanced talks.
- Audience level diversity: For every timeslot, maximize the number of talks with a different audience level.
- Language diversity: For every timeslot, maximize the number of talks with a different language.
- Same day talks: All talks that share a theme track tag or content tag should be scheduled in the minimum number of days (ideally in the same day).
- Popular talks: Talks with higher `favoriteCount` should be scheduled in larger rooms.
- Crowd control: Talks with higher `crowdControlRisk` should be scheduled in pairs at the same timeslot to avoid having most participants going to the same room.
- Generic purpose timeslot and room tags
    - Speaker preferred timeslot tag: If a speaker has a preferred timeslot tag, then all his/her

talks should be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.

- Speaker undesired timeslot tag: If a speaker has an undesired timeslot tag, then all his/her talks should not be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.
- Talk preferred timeslot tag: If a talk has a preferred timeslot tag, then it should be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.
- Talk undesired timeslot tag: If a talk has an undesired timeslot tag, then it should not be assigned to a timeslot with that tag.
- Speaker preferred room tag: If a speaker has a preferred room tag, then all his/her talks should be assigned to a room with that tag.
- Speaker undesired room tag: If a speaker has an undesired room tag, then all his/her talks should not be assigned to a room with that tag.
- Talk preferred room tag: If a talk has a preferred room tag, then it should be assigned to a room with that tag.
- Talk undesired room tag: If a talk has an undesired room tag, then it should not be assigned to a room with that tag.

Every constraint can be configured to use a different score level (hard/medium/soft) or a different score weight.



3.16.2. Value proposition



3.16.3. Problem size

```
18talks-6timeslots-5rooms    has  18 talks,  6 timeslots and  5 rooms with a search
space of  10^26.
36talks-12timeslots-5rooms   has  36 talks, 12 timeslots and  5 rooms with a search
space of  10^64.
72talks-12timeslots-10rooms  has  72 talks, 12 timeslots and 10 rooms with a search
space of 10^149.
108talks-18timeslots-10rooms has 108 talks, 18 timeslots and 10 rooms with a search
space of 10^243.
216talks-18timeslots-20rooms has 216 talks, 18 timeslots and 20 rooms with a search
space of 10^552.
```

3.16.4. Architecture



	A	B	C	D	E
1	**Code**	**Title**	**Talk type**	**Speakers**	**Theme track tags**
2	S00	Hands on real-time OpenShift	Lab	Amy Cole	Culture
3	S01	Advanced containerized WildFly	Lab	Beth Fox, Chad Green	Mobile
4	S02	Learn virtualized Spring	Lab	Dan Jones	Security
5	S03	Intro to serverless Drools	Lab	Elsa King	Culture
6	S04	Discover AI-driven OptaPlanner	Lab	Flo Li, Gus Poe	Big Data

3.16.5. Domain model



3.16.6. Search space

Conference scheduling optimal is imperfect

Even the optimal solution will break soft constraints.





3.17. Flight crew scheduling

3.17.1. Problem description

Assign flights to pilots and flight attendants.

Hard constraints:

- Required skill: each flight assignment has a required skill. For example, flight AB0001 requires 2 pilots and 3 flight attendants.
- Flight conflict: each employee can only attend one flight at the same time
- Transfer between two flights: between two flights, an employee must be able to transfer from the arrival airport to the departure airport. For example, Ann arrives in Brussels at 10:00 and departs in Amsterdam at 15:00.
- Employee unavailability: the employee must be available on the day of the flight. For example, Ann is on vacation on 1-Feb.

Soft constraints:

- First assignment departing from home
- Last assignment arriving at home
- Load balance flight duration total per employee

3.17.2. Problem size

```
175flights-7days-Europe  has 2 skills, 50 airports, 150 employees, 175 flights and
875 flight assignments with a search space of  10^1904.
700flights-28days-Europe has 2 skills, 50 airports, 150 employees, 700 flights and
3500 flight assignments with a search space of  10^7616.
875flights-7days-Europe  has 2 skills, 50 airports, 750 employees, 875 flights and
4375 flight assignments with a search space of 10^12578.
175flights-7days-US      has 2 skills, 48 airports, 150 employees, 175 flights and
875 flight assignments with a search space of  10^1904.
```

Chapter 4. OptaPlanner configuration

4.1. Overview

Solving a planning problem with OptaPlanner consists of the following steps:

1. **Model your planning problem** as a class annotated with the `@PlanningSolution` annotation, for example the `NQueens` class.
2. **Configure a `Solver`**, for example a First Fit and Tabu Search solver for any `NQueens` instance.
3. **Load a problem data set** from your data layer, for example a Four Queens instance. That is the planning problem.
4. **Solve it** with `Solver.solve(problem)` which returns the best solution found.



4.2. Solver configuration

4.2.1. Solver configuration by XML

Build a `Solver` instance with the `SolverFactory`. Configure the `SolverFactory` with a solver configuration XML file, provided as a classpath resource (as defined by `ClassLoader.getResource()`):

```
        SolverFactory<NQueens> solverFactory = SolverFactory.createFromXmlResource(
                "org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nqueensSolverConfig.xml");
        Solver<NQueens> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
```

In a typical project (following the Maven directory structure), that solverConfig XML file would be located at `$PROJECT_DIR/src/main/resources/org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nqueensSolverConfig.xml`. Alternatively, a `SolverFactory` can be created from a `File` with `SolverFactory.createFromXmlFile()`. However, for portability reasons, a classpath resource is recommended.

Both a `Solver` and a `SolverFactory` have a generic type called `Solution_`, which is the class representing a planning problem and solution.

A solver configuration XML file looks like this:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <!-- Define the model -->
  <solutionClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.domain.NQueens</solutionClass>
  <entityClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.domain.Queen</entityClass>

  <!-- Define the score function -->
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.score.NQueensConstraintProvi
der</constraintProviderClass>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>

  <!-- Configure the optimization algorithms (optional) -->
  <termination>
    ...
  </termination>
  <constructionHeuristic>
    ...
  </constructionHeuristic>
  <localSearch>
    ...
  </localSearch>
</solver>
```

Notice the three parts in it:

- Define the model.
- Define the score function.
- Optionally configure the optimization algorithm(s).

These various parts of a configuration are explained further in this manual.

OptaPlanner makes it relatively easy to switch optimization algorithm(s) just by changing the configuration. There is even a Benchmarker which allows you to play out different configurations against each other and report the most appropriate configuration for your use case.

4.2.2. Solver configuration by Java API

A solver configuration can also be configured with the `SolverConfig` API. This is especially useful to change some values dynamically at runtime. For example, to change the running time based on system property, before building the `Solver`:

```
        SolverConfig solverConfig = SolverConfig.createFromXmlResource(
                "org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nqueensSolverConfig.xml");
        solverConfig.withTerminationConfig(new TerminationConfig()
                        .withMinutesSpentLimit(userInput));

        SolverFactory<NQueens> solverFactory = SolverFactory.create(solverConfig);
        Solver<NQueens> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
```

Every element in the solver configuration XML is available as a `*Config` class or a property on a `*Config` class in the package namespace `org.optaplanner.core.config`. These `*Config` classes are the Java representation of the XML format. They build the runtime components (of the package namespace `org.optaplanner.core.impl`) and assemble them into an efficient `Solver`.



To configure a `SolverFactory` dynamically for each user request, build a template `SolverConfig` during initialization and copy it with the copy constructor for each user request:

```
    private SolverConfig template;

    public void init() {
        template = SolverConfig.createFromXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nqueensSolverConfig.xml");
        template.setTerminationConfig(new TerminationConfig());
    }

    // Called concurrently from different threads
    public void userRequest(..., long userInput) {
        SolverConfig solverConfig = new SolverConfig(template); // Copy
it
        solverConfig.getTerminationConfig().setMinutesSpentLimit
(userInput);
        SolverFactory<NQueens> solverFactory = SolverFactory.create
(solverConfig);
        Solver<NQueens> solver = solverFactory.buildSolver();
        ...
```

```
    }
```

4.2.3. Annotation alternatives

OptaPlanner needs to be told which classes in your domain model are planning entities, which properties are planning variables, etc. There are several ways to deliver this information:

- Add class annotations and JavaBean property annotations on the domain model (recommended). The property annotations must be on the getter method, not on the setter method. Such a getter does not need to be public.
- Add class annotations and field annotations on the domain model. Such a field does not need to be public.
- No annotations: externalize the domain configuration in an XML file. This is not yet supported.

This manual focuses on the first manner, but every feature supports all three manners, even if it's not explicitly mentioned.

4.2.4. Domain access

OptaPlanner by default accesses your domain using reflection, which will always work, but is slow compared to direct access. Alternatively, you can configure OptaPlanner to access your domain using Gizmo, which will generate bytecode that directly access the fields/methods of your domain without reflection. However, it comes with some restrictions:

- All fields in the domain must be public.
- The planning annotations can only be on public fields and public getters.
- io.quarkus.gizmo:gizmo must be on the classpath.

These restrictions do not apply when using OptaPlanner with Quarkus, where Gizmo is the default domain access type.

To use Gizmo outside of Quarkus, set the `domainAccessType` in the Solver Configuration:

```
<solver>
  <domainAccessType>GIZMO</domainAccessType>
</solver>
```

4.2.5. Custom properties configuration

Solver configuration elements, that instantiate classes and explicitly mention it, support custom properties. Custom properties are useful to tweak dynamic values through the Benchmarker. For example, presume your `EasyScoreCalculator` has heavy calculations (which are cached) and you want to increase the cache size in one benchmark:

```
<scoreDirectorFactory>
  <easyScoreCalculatorClass>...MyEasyScoreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
```

```
    <easyScoreCalculatorCustomProperties>
      <property name="myCacheSize" value="1000"/><!-- Override value -->
    </easyScoreCalculatorCustomProperties>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

Add a public setter for each custom property, which is called when a `Solver` is built.

```
public class MyEasyScoreCalculator extends EasyScoreCalculator<MySolution,
SimpleScore> {

        private int myCacheSize = 500; // Default value

        @SuppressWarnings("unused")
        public void setMyCacheSize(int myCacheSize) {
            this.myCacheSize = myCacheSize;
        }

    ...
}
```

Most value types are supported (including `boolean`, `int`, `double`, `BigDecimal`, `String` and enums).

4.3. Model a planning problem

4.3.1. Is this class a problem fact or planning entity?

Look at a dataset of your planning problem. You will recognize domain classes in there, each of which can be categorized as one of the following:

- An unrelated class: not used by any of the score constraints. From a planning standpoint, this data is obsolete.
- A **problem fact** class: used by the score constraints, but does NOT change during planning (as long as the problem stays the same). For example: `Bed`, `Room`, `Shift`, `Employee`, `Topic`, `Period`, ... All the properties of a problem fact class are problem properties.
- A **planning entity** class: used by the score constraints and changes during planning. For example: `BedDesignation`, `ShiftAssignment`, `Exam`, ... The properties that change during planning are planning variables. The other properties are problem properties.

Ask yourself: *What class changes during planning? Which class has variables that I want theSolver to change for me?* That class is a planning entity. Most use cases have only one planning entity class. Most use cases also have only one planning variable per planning entity class.



In real-time planning, even though the problem itself changes, problem facts do not really change during planning, instead they change between planning (because the Solver temporarily stops to apply the problem fact changes).

To create a good domain model, read the domain modeling guide.

In OptaPlanner, all problem facts and planning entities are plain old JavaBeans (POJOs). Load them from a database, an XML file, a data repository, a REST service, a noSQL cloud, ... (see integration): it doesn't matter.

4.3.2. Problem fact

A problem fact is any JavaBean (POJO) with getters that does not change during planning. For example in n queens, the columns and rows are problem facts:

```
public class Column {

    private int index;

    // ... getters
}
```

```
public class Row {

    private int index;

    // ... getters
}
```

A problem fact can reference other problem facts of course:

```
public class Course {

    private String code;

    private Teacher teacher; // Other problem fact
    private int lectureSize;
    private int minWorkingDaySize;

    private List<Curriculum> curriculumList; // Other problem facts
    private int studentSize;

    // ... getters
}
```

A problem fact class does *not* require any OptaPlanner specific code. For example, you can reuse your domain classes, which might have JPA annotations.



Generally, better designed domain classes lead to simpler and more efficient score constraints. Therefore, when dealing with a messy (denormalized) legacy system, it can sometimes be worthwhile to convert the messy domain model into a OptaPlanner specific model first. For example: if your domain model has two

> `Teacher` instances for the same teacher that teaches at two different departments, it is harder to write a correct score constraint that constrains a teacher's spare time on the original model than on an adjusted model.
>
> Alternatively, you can sometimes also introduce *a cached problem fact* to enrich the domain model for planning only.

4.3.3. Planning entity

4.3.3.1. Planning entity annotation

A planning entity is a JavaBean (POJO) that changes during solving, for example a `Queen` that changes to another row. A planning problem has multiple planning entities, for example for a single n queens problem, each `Queen` is a planning entity. But there is usually only one planning entity class, for example the `Queen` class.

A planning entity class needs to be annotated with the `@PlanningEntity` annotation.

Each planning entity class has one or more *planning variables* (which can be genuine or shadows). It should also have one or more *defining* properties. For example in n queens, a `Queen` is defined by its `Column` and has a planning variable `Row`. This means that a Queen's column never changes during solving, while its row does change.

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Queen {

    private Column column;

    // Planning variables: changes during planning, between score calculations.
    private Row row;

    // ... getters and setters
}
```

A planning entity class can have multiple planning variables. For example, a `Lecture` is defined by its `Course` and its index in that course (because one course has multiple lectures). Each `Lecture` needs to be scheduled into a `Period` and a `Room` so it has two planning variables (period and room). For example: the course Mathematics has eight lectures per week, of which the first lecture is Monday morning at 08:00 in room 212.

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Lecture {

    private Course course;
    private int lectureIndexInCourse;

    // Planning variables: changes during planning, between score calculations.
    private Period period;
```

```
    private Room room;

    // ...
}
```

The solver configuration needs to declare each planning entity class:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <entityClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.domain.Queen</entityClass>
  ...
</solver>
```

Some uses cases have multiple planning entity classes. For example: route freight and trains into railway network arcs, where each freight can use multiple trains over its journey and each train can carry multiple freights per arc. Having multiple planning entity classes directly raises the implementation complexity of your use case.



Do not create unnecessary planning entity classes. This leads to difficult `Move` implementations and slower score calculation.

For example, do not create a planning entity class to hold the total free time of a teacher, which needs to be kept up to date as the `Lecture` planning entities change. Instead, calculate the free time in the score constraints (or as a shadow variable) and put the result per teacher into a logically inserted score object.

If historic data needs to be considered too, then create problem fact to hold the total of the historic assignments up to, but *not including*, the planning window (so that it does not change when a planning entity changes) and let the score constraints take it into account.



Planning entity `hashCode()` implementations must remain constant. Therefore entity `hashCode()` must not depend on any planning variables. Pay special attention when using data structures with auto-generated `hashCode()` as entities, such as Java records or Kotlin data classes.

4.3.3.2. Planning entity difficulty

Some optimization algorithms work more efficiently if they have an estimation of which planning entities are more difficult to plan. For example: in bin packing bigger items are harder to fit, in course scheduling lectures with more students are more difficult to schedule, and in n queens the middle queens are more difficult to fit on the board.



Do not try to use planning entity difficulty to implement a business

constraint. It will not affect the score function: if we have infinite solving time, the returned solution will be the same.

To attain a schedule in which certain entities are scheduled earlier in the schedule, add a score constraint to change the score function so it prefers such solutions. Only consider adding planning entity difficulty too if it can make the solver more efficient.

To allow the heuristics to take advantage of that domain specific information, set a `difficultyComparatorClass` to the `@PlanningEntity` annotation:

```
@PlanningEntity(difficultyComparatorClass = CloudProcessDifficultyComparator.class)
public class CloudProcess {
    // ...
}
```

```
public class CloudProcessDifficultyComparator implements Comparator<CloudProcess> {

    public int compare(CloudProcess a, CloudProcess b) {
        return new CompareToBuilder()
                .append(a.getRequiredMultiplicand(), b.getRequiredMultiplicand())
                .append(a.getId(), b.getId())
                .toComparison();
    }

}
```

Alternatively, you can also set a `difficultyWeightFactoryClass` to the `@PlanningEntity` annotation, so that you have access to the rest of the problem facts from the solution too:

```
@PlanningEntity(difficultyWeightFactoryClass = QueenDifficultyWeightFactory.class)
public class Queen {
    // ...
}
```

See sorted selection for more information.



Difficulty should be implemented ascending: easy entities are lower, difficult entities are higher. For example, in bin packing: small item < medium item < big item.

Although most algorithms start with the more difficult entities first, they just reverse the ordering.

None of the current planning variable states should be used to compare planning entity difficulty. During Construction Heuristics, those variables are likely to be `null` anyway. For example, a `Queen`'s

`row` variable should not be used.

4.3.4. Planning variable (genuine)

4.3.4.1. Planning variable annotation

A planning variable is a JavaBean property (so a getter and setter) on a planning entity. It points to a planning value, which changes during planning. For example, a `Queen`'s `row` property is a genuine planning variable. Note that even though a `Queen`'s `row` property changes to another `Row` during planning, no `Row` instance itself is changed. Normally planning variables are genuine, but advanced cases can also have shadows.

A genuine planning variable getter needs to be annotated with the `@PlanningVariable` annotation, optionally with a non-empty `valueRangeProviderRefs` property.

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Queen {
    ...

    private Row row;

    @PlanningVariable
    public Row getRow() {
        return row;
    }

    public void setRow(Row row) {
        this.row = row;
    }

}
```

The optional `valueRangeProviderRefs` property defines what are the possible planning values for this planning variable. It references one or more `@ValueRangeProvider id`'s. If none are provided, OptaPlanner will attempt to auto-detect matching `@ValueRangeProvider`s.



A @PlanningVariable annotation needs to be on a member in a class with a @PlanningEntity annotation. It is ignored on parent classes or subclasses without that annotation.

Annotating the field instead of the property works too:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Queen {
    ...

    @PlanningVariable
    private Row row;
```

```
}
```



For more advanced planning variables used to model precedence relationships, see planning list variable and chained planning variable.

4.3.4.2. Nullable planning variable

By default, an initialized planning variable cannot be `null`, so an initialized solution will never use `null` for any of its planning variables. In an over-constrained use case, this can be counterproductive. For example: in task assignment with too many tasks for the workforce, we would rather leave low priority tasks unassigned instead of assigning them to an overloaded worker.

To allow an initialized planning variable to be `null`, set `nullable` to `true`:

```
    @PlanningVariable(..., nullable = true)
    public Worker getWorker() {
        return worker;
    }
```



Constraint Streams filter out planning entities with a `null` planning variable by default. Use forEachIncludingNullVars() to avoid such unwanted behaviour.

OptaPlanner will automatically add the value `null` to the value range. There is no need to add `null` in a collection provided by a `ValueRangeProvider`.



Using a nullable planning variable implies that your score calculation is responsible for punishing (or even rewarding) variables with a `null` value.



Currently chained planning variables are not compatible with `nullable`.

Repeated planning (especially real-time planning) does not mix well with a nullable planning variable. Every time the Solver starts or a problem fact change is made, the Construction Heuristics will try to initialize all the `null` variables again, which can be a huge waste of time. One way to deal with this is to filter the entity selector of the placer in the construction heuristic.

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <constructionHeuristic>
    <queuedEntityPlacer>
      <entitySelector id="entitySelector1">
        <filterClass>...</filterClass>
```

```
      </entitySelector>
    </queuedEntityPlacer>
    ...
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <entitySelector mimicSelectorRef="entitySelector1" />
    </changeMoveSelector>
    ...
  </constructionHeuristic>
 ...
</solver>
```

4.3.4.3. When is a planning variable considered initialized?

A planning variable is considered initialized if its value is not `null` or if the variable is `nullable`. So a nullable variable is always considered initialized.

A planning entity is initialized if all of its planning variables are initialized.

A solution is initialized if all of its planning entities are initialized.

4.3.5. Planning value and planning value range

4.3.5.1. Planning value

A planning value is a possible value for a genuine planning variable. Usually, a planning value is a problem fact, but it can also be any object, for example a `double`. It can even be another planning entity or even an interface implemented by both a planning entity and a problem fact.

A planning value range is the set of possible planning values for a planning variable. This set can be a countable (for example row `1`, `2`, `3` or `4`) or uncountable (for example any `double` between `0.0` and `1.0`).

4.3.5.2. Planning value range provider

4.3.5.2.1. Overview

The value range of a planning variable is defined with the `@ValueRangeProvider` annotation. A `@ValueRangeProvider` may carry a property `id`, which is referenced by the `@PlanningVariable`'s property `valueRangeProviderRefs`.

This annotation can be located on two types of methods:

- On the Solution: All planning entities share the same value range.
- On the planning entity: The value range differs per planning entity. This is less common.



A @ValueRangeProvider annotation needs to be on a member in a class with a @PlanningSolution or a @PlanningEntity annotation. It is ignored on parent classes or subclasses without those annotations.

The return type of that method can be three types:

- `Collection`: The value range is defined by a `Collection` (usually a `List`) of its possible values.
- Array: The value range is defined by an array of its possible values.
- `ValueRange`: The value range is defined by its bounds. This is less common.

4.3.5.2.2. `ValueRangeProvider` on the solution

All instances of the same planning entity class share the same set of possible planning values for that planning variable. This is the most common way to configure a value range.

The `@PlanningSolution` implementation has method that returns a `Collection` (or a `ValueRange`). Any value from that `Collection` is a possible planning value for this planning variable.

```
    @PlanningVariable
    public Row getRow() {
        return row;
    }
```

```
@PlanningSolution
public class NQueens {
    ...

    @ValueRangeProvider
    public List<Row> getRowList() {
        return rowList;
    }

}
```



That `Collection` (or `ValueRange`) must not contain the value `null`, not even for a nullable planning variable.

Annotating the field instead of the property works too:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class NQueens {
    ...

    @ValueRangeProvider
    private List<Row> rowList;

}
```

4.3.5.2.3. `ValueRangeProvider` on the Planning Entity

Each planning entity has its own value range (a set of possible planning values) for the planning variable. For example, if a teacher can **never** teach in a room that does not belong to his department, lectures of that teacher can limit their room value range to the rooms of his department.

```
@PlanningVariable
public Room getRoom() {
    return room;
}

@ValueRangeProvider
public List<Room> getPossibleRoomList() {
    return getCourse().getTeacher().getDepartment().getRoomList();
}
```

Never use this to enforce a soft constraint (or even a hard constraint when the problem might not have a feasible solution). For example: *Unless there is no other way*, a teacher cannot teach in a room that does not belong to his department. In this case, the teacher should *not* be limited in his room value range (because sometimes there is no other way).



By limiting the value range specifically of one planning entity, you are effectively creating a *built-in hard constraint*. This can have the benefit of severely lowering the number of possible solutions; however, it can also take away the freedom of the optimization algorithms to temporarily break that constraint in order to escape from a local optimum.

A planning entity should *not* use other planning entities to determine its value range. That would only try to make the planning entity solve the planning problem itself and interfere with the optimization algorithms.

Every entity has its own `List` instance, unless multiple entities have the same value range. For example, if teacher A and B belong to the same department, they use the same `List<Room>` instance. Furthermore, each `List` contains a subset of the same set of planning value instances. For example, if department A and B can both use room X, then their `List<Room>` instances contain the same `Room` instance.



A `ValueRangeProvider` on the planning entity consumes more memory than `ValueRangeProvider` on the Solution and disables certain automatic performance optimizations.



A `ValueRangeProvider` on the planning entity is not currently compatible with a chained variable.



A `ValueRangeProvider` on the planning entity is not compatible with a list variable.

4.3.5.2.4. Referencing `ValueRangeProvider`s

There are two ways how to match a planning variable to a value range provider. The simplest way is to have value range provider auto-detected. Another way is to explicitly reference the value range provider.

Anonymous `ValueRangeProvider`s

We already described the first approach. By not providing any `valueRangeProviderRefs` on the `@PlanningVariable` annotation, OptaPlanner will go over every `@ValueRangeProvider`-annotated method or field which does not have an `id` property set, and will match planning variables with value ranges where their types match.

In the following example, the planning variable `car` will be matched to the value range returned by `getCompanyCarList()`, as they both use the `Car` type. It will not match `getPersonalCarList()`, because that value range provider is not anonymous; it specifies an `id`.

```
    @PlanningVariable
    public Car getCar() {
        return car;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider
    public List<Car> getCompanyCarList() {
        return companyCarList;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider(id = "personalCarRange")
    public List<Car> getPersonalCarList() {
        return personalCarList;
    }
```

Automatic matching also accounts for polymorphism. In the following example, the planning variable `car` will be matched to `getCompanyCarList()` and `getPersonalCarList()`, as both `CompanyCar` and `PersonalCar` are `Car`s. It will not match `getAirplanes()`, as an `Airplane` is not a `Car`.

```
    @PlanningVariable
    public Car getCar() {
        return car;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider
    public List<CompanyCar> getCompanyCarList() {
        return companyCarList;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider
    public List<PersonalCar> getPersonalCarList() {
        return personalCarList;
```

```
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider
    public List<Airplane> getAirplanes() {
        return airplaneList;
    }
```

Explicitly referenced `ValueRangeProvider`s

In more complicated cases where auto-detection is not sufficient or where clarity is preferred over simplicity, value range providers can also be referenced explicitly.

In the following example, the `car` planning variable will only be matched to value range provided by methods `getCompanyCarList()`.

```
    @PlanningVariable(valueRangeProviderRefs = {"companyCarRange"})
    public Car getCar() {
        return car;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider(id = "companyCarRange")
    public List<CompanyCar> getCompanyCarList() {
        return companyCarList;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider(id = "personalCarRange")
    public List<PersonalCar> getPersonalCarList() {
        return personalCarList;
    }
```

Explicitly referenced value range providers can also be combined, for example:

```
    @PlanningVariable(valueRangeProviderRefs = { "companyCarRange", "personalCarRange"
})
    public Car getCar() {
        return car;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider(id = "companyCarRange")
    public List<CompanyCar> getCompanyCarList() {
        return companyCarList;
    }

    @ValueRangeProvider(id = "personalCarRange")
    public List<PersonalCar> getPersonalCarList() {
        return personalCarList;
    }
```

4.3.5.2.5. ValueRangeFactory

Instead of a `Collection`, you can also return a `ValueRange` or `CountableValueRange`, built by the `ValueRangeFactory`:

```
@ValueRangeProvider
public CountableValueRange<Integer> getDelayRange() {
    return ValueRangeFactory.createIntValueRange(0, 5000);
}
```

A `ValueRange` uses far less memory, because it only holds the bounds. In the example above, a `Collection` would need to hold all `5000` ints, instead of just the two bounds.

Furthermore, an `incrementUnit` can be specified, for example if you have to buy stocks in units of 200 pieces:

```
@ValueRangeProvider
public CountableValueRange<Integer> getStockAmountRange() {
     // Range: 0, 200, 400, 600, ..., 9999600, 9999800, 10000000
    return ValueRangeFactory.createIntValueRange(0, 10000000, 200);
}
```



Return `CountableValueRange` instead of `ValueRange` whenever possible (so OptaPlanner knows that it's countable).

The `ValueRangeFactory` has creation methods for several value class types:

- `boolean`: A boolean range.
- `int`: A 32bit integer range.
- `long`: A 64bit integer range.
- `double`: A 64bit floating point range which only supports random selection (because it does not implement `CountableValueRange`).
- `BigInteger`: An arbitrary-precision integer range.
- `BigDecimal`: A decimal point range. By default, the increment unit is the lowest non-zero value in the scale of the bounds.
- `Temporal` (such as `LocalDate`, `LocalDateTime`, ...): A time range.

4.3.5.3. Planning value strength

Some optimization algorithms work a bit more efficiently if they have an estimation of which planning values are stronger, which means they are more likely to satisfy a planning entity. For example: in bin packing bigger containers are more likely to fit an item and in course scheduling bigger rooms are less likely to break the student capacity constraint. Usually, the efficiency gain of planning value strength is far less than that of planning entity difficulty.



Do not try to use planning value strength to implement a business constraint. It will not affect the score function: if we have infinite solving time, the returned solution will be the same.

To affect the score function, add a score constraint. Only consider adding planning value strength too if it can make the solver more efficient.

To allow the heuristics to take advantage of that domain specific information, set a `strengthComparatorClass` to the `@PlanningVariable` annotation:

```
    @PlanningVariable(..., strengthComparatorClass = CloudComputerStrengthComparator
.class)
    public CloudComputer getComputer() {
        return computer;
    }
```

```
public class CloudComputerStrengthComparator implements Comparator<CloudComputer> {

    public int compare(CloudComputer a, CloudComputer b) {
        return new CompareToBuilder()
                .append(a.getMultiplicand(), b.getMultiplicand())
                .append(b.getCost(), a.getCost()) // Descending (but this is
debatable)
                .append(a.getId(), b.getId())
                .toComparison();
    }

}
```



If you have multiple planning value classes in the *same* value range, the `strengthComparatorClass` needs to implement a `Comparator` of a common superclass (for example `Comparator<Object>`) and be able to handle comparing instances of those different classes.

Alternatively, you can also set a `strengthWeightFactoryClass` to the `@PlanningVariable` annotation, so you have access to the rest of the problem facts from the solution too:

```
    @PlanningVariable(..., strengthWeightFactoryClass = RowStrengthWeightFactory.
class)
    public Row getRow() {
        return row;
    }
```

See sorted selection for more information.



Strength should be implemented ascending: weaker values are lower, stronger

values are higher. For example in bin packing: small container < medium container < big container.

None of the current planning variable state in any of the planning entities should be used to compare planning values. During construction heuristics, those variables are likely to be `null`. For example, none of the `row` variables of any `Queen` may be used to determine the strength of a `Row`.

4.3.6. Planning list variable (VRP, Task assigning, ...)

Use the planning list variable to model problems where the goal is to distribute a number of workload elements among limited resources in a specific order. This includes, for example, vehicle routing, traveling salesman, task assigning, and similar problems, that have previously been modeled using the chained planning variable.

The planning list variable is a successor to the chained planning variable and provides a more intuitive way to express the problem domain with Java classes.



As a new feature, planning list variable does not yet support all the advanced planning features that work with the chained planning variable. Use a chained planning variable instead of a list planning variable, if you need any of the following planning techniques:

- overconstrained planning,
- continuous planning or entity pinning,
- planning entity difficulty comparison or planning value strength comparison,
- nearby selection,
- exhaustive search,
- partitioned search,
- coexistence with another list or basic planning variable.

For example, the vehicle routing problem can be modeled as follows:



This model is closer to the reality than the chained model. Each vehicle has a list of customers to go to in the order given by the list. And indeed, the object model matches the natural language description of the problem:

```
@PlanningEntity
class Vehicle {

    int capacity;
    Depot depot;

    @PlanningListVariable
    List<Customer> customers = new ArrayList<>();
}
```

Planning list variable can be used if the domain meets the following criteria:

1. There is a one-to-many relationship between the planning entity and the planning value.
2. The order in which planning values are assigned to an entity's list variable is significant.
3. Each planning value is assigned to exactly one planning entity. No planning value may appear in multiple entities.

4.3.7. Chained planning variable (TSP, VRP, ...)

Chained planning variable is one way to implement the Chained Through Time pattern. This pattern is used for some use cases, such as TSP and vehicle routing. Use the chained planning variable to implement this pattern if you plan to use some of the advanced planning features, that are not yet supported by the planning list variable.

Chained planning variable allows the planning entities to point to each other and form a chain. By modeling the problem as a set of chains (instead of a set of trees/loops), the search space is heavily reduced.

A planning variable that is chained either:

- Directly points to a problem fact (or planning entity), which is called an *anchor*.
- Points to another planning entity with the same planning variable, which recursively points to an anchor.

Here are some examples of valid and invalid chains:



Every initialized planning entity is part of an open-ended chain that begins from an anchor. A valid model means that:

- A chain is never a loop. The tail is always open.
- Every chain always has exactly one anchor. The anchor is never an instance of the planning

entity class that contains the chained planning variable.

- A chain is never a tree, it is always a line. Every anchor or planning entity has at most one trailing planning entity.
- Every initialized planning entity is part of a chain.
- An anchor with no planning entities pointing to it, is also considered a chain.



A planning problem instance given to the `Solver` must be valid.



If your constraints dictate a closed chain, model it as an open-ended chain (which is easier to persist in a database) and implement a score constraint for the last entity back to the anchor.

The optimization algorithms and built-in `Move`s do chain correction to guarantee that the model stays valid:





A custom `Move` implementation must leave the model in a valid state.

For example, in TSP the anchor is a `Domicile` (in vehicle routing it is `Vehicle`):

```
public class Domicile ... implements Standstill {
    ...
```

```
    public City getCity() {...}

}
```

The anchor (which is a problem fact) and the planning entity implement a common interface, for example TSP's `Standstill`:

```
public interface Standstill {

    City getCity();

}
```

That interface is the return type of the planning variable. Furthermore, the planning variable is chained. For example TSP's `Visit`:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Visit ... implements Standstill {
    ...

    public City getCity() {...}

    @PlanningVariable(graphType = PlanningVariableGraphType.CHAINED)
    public Standstill getPreviousStandstill() {
        return previousStandstill;
    }

    public void setPreviousStandstill(Standstill previousStandstill) {
        this.previousStandstill = previousStandstill;
    }

}
```

Notice how two value range providers are usually combined:

- The value range provider that holds the anchors, for example `domicileList`.
- The value range provider that holds the initialized planning entities, for example `visitList`.

4.3.8. Planning problem and planning solution

4.3.8.1. Planning problem instance

A dataset for a planning problem needs to be wrapped in a class for the `Solver` to solve. That solution class represents both the planning problem and (if solved) a solution. It is annotated with a `@PlanningSolution` annotation. For example in n queens, the solution class is the `NQueens` class, which contains a `Column` list, a `Row` list, and a `Queen` list.

A planning problem is actually an unsolved planning solution or - stated differently - an uninitialized solution. For example in n queens, that `NQueens` class has the `@PlanningSolution` annotation, yet every `Queen` in an unsolved `NQueens` class is not yet assigned to a `Row` (their `row` property is `null`). That's not a feasible solution. It's not even a possible solution. It's an uninitialized solution.

4.3.8.2. Solution class

A solution class holds all problem facts, planning entities and a score. It is annotated with a `@PlanningSolution` annotation. For example, an `NQueens` instance holds a list of all columns, all rows and all `Queen` instances:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class NQueens {

    // Problem facts
    private int n;
    private List<Column> columnList;
    private List<Row> rowList;

    // Planning entities
    private List<Queen> queenList;

    private SimpleScore score;

    ...
}
```

The solver configuration needs to declare the planning solution class:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <solutionClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.domain.NQueens</solutionClass>
  ...
</solver>
```

4.3.8.3. Planning entities of a solution (`@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty`)

OptaPlanner needs to extract the entity instances from the solution instance. It gets those collection(s) by calling every getter (or field) that is annotated with `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty`:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class NQueens {
```

```
    ...

    private List<Queen> queenList;

    @PlanningEntityCollectionProperty
    public List<Queen> getQueenList() {
        return queenList;
    }

}
```

There can be multiple `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty` annotated members. Those can even return a `Collection` with the same entity class type. Instead of `Collection`, it can also return an array.



A `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty` annotation needs to be on a member in a class with a `@PlanningSolution` annotation. It is ignored on parent classes or subclasses without that annotation.

In rare cases, a planning entity might be a singleton: use `@PlanningEntityProperty` on its getter (or field) instead.

Both annotations can also be auto discovered if enabled.

4.3.8.4. `Score` of a Solution (`@PlanningScore`)

A `@PlanningSolution` class requires a score property (or field), which is annotated with a `@PlanningScore` annotation. The score property is `null` if the score hasn't been calculated yet. The `score` property is typed to the specific `Score` implementation of your use case. For example, `NQueens` uses a SimpleScore:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class NQueens {
    ...

    private SimpleScore score;

    @PlanningScore
    public SimpleScore getScore() {
        return score;
    }
    public void setScore(SimpleScore score) {
        this.score = score;
    }

}
```

Most use cases use a HardSoftScore instead:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance {
    ...

    private HardSoftScore score;

    @PlanningScore
    public HardSoftScore getScore() {
        return score;
    }

    public void setScore(HardSoftScore score) {
        this.score = score;
    }

}
```

Some use cases use other score types.

This annotation can also be auto discovered if enabled.

4.3.8.5. Problem facts of a solution (`@ProblemFactCollectionProperty`)

For Constraint Streams and Drools score calculation (Deprecated), OptaPlanner needs to extract the problem fact instances from the solution instance. It gets those collection(s) by calling every method (or field) that is annotated with `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty`. All objects returned by those methods are available to use by Constraint Streams or Drools rules. For example in `NQueens` all `Column` and `Row` instances are problem facts.

```
@PlanningSolution
public class NQueens {
    ...

    private List<Column> columnList;
    private List<Row> rowList;

    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    public List<Column> getColumnList() {
        return columnList;
    }

    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    public List<Row> getRowList() {
        return rowList;
    }

}
```

All planning entities are automatically inserted into the working memory. Do not add an annotation on their properties.



The problem facts methods are not called often: at most only once per solver phase per solver thread.

There can be multiple `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty` annotated members. Those can even return a `Collection` with the same class type, but they shouldn't return the same instance twice. Instead of `Collection`, it can also return an array.



A @ProblemFactCollectionProperty annotation needs to be on a member in a class with a @PlanningSolution annotation. It is ignored on parent classes or subclasses without that annotation.

In rare cases, a problem fact might be a singleton: use `@ProblemFactProperty` on its method (or field) instead.

Both annotations can also be auto discovered if enabled.

4.3.8.5.1. Cached problem fact

A cached problem fact is a problem fact that does not exist in the real domain model, but is calculated before the `Solver` really starts solving. The problem facts methods have the opportunity to enrich the domain model with such cached problem facts, which can lead to simpler and faster score constraints.

For example in examination, a cached problem fact `TopicConflict` is created for every two `Topic`s which share at least one `Student`.

```
    @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    private List<TopicConflict> calculateTopicConflictList() {
        List<TopicConflict> topicConflictList = new ArrayList<TopicConflict>();
        for (Topic leftTopic : topicList) {
            for (Topic rightTopic : topicList) {
                if (leftTopic.getId() < rightTopic.getId()) {
                    int studentSize = 0;
                    for (Student student : leftTopic.getStudentList()) {
                        if (rightTopic.getStudentList().contains(student)) {
                            studentSize++;
                        }
                    }
                    if (studentSize > 0) {
                        topicConflictList.add(new TopicConflict(leftTopic, rightTopic,
studentSize));
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        return topicConflictList;
```

```
    }
```

Where a score constraint needs to check that no two exams with a topic that shares a student are scheduled close together (depending on the constraint: at the same time, in a row, or in the same day), the `TopicConflict` instance can be used as a problem fact, rather than having to combine every two `Student` instances.

4.3.8.6. Auto discover solution properties

Instead of configuring each property (or field) annotation explicitly, some can also be deduced automatically by OptaPlanner. For example, on the cloud balancing example:

```
@PlanningSolution(autoDiscoverMemberType = AutoDiscoverMemberType.FIELD)
public class CloudBalance {

    // Auto discovered as @ProblemFactCollectionProperty
    @ValueRangeProvider
    private List<CloudComputer> computerList;

    // Auto discovered as @PlanningEntityCollectionProperty
    private List<CloudProcess> processList;

    // Auto discovered as @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

    ...
}
```

The `AutoDiscoverMemberType` can be:

- `NONE`: No auto discovery.
- `FIELD`: Auto discover all fields on the `@PlanningSolution` class
- `GETTER`: Auto discover all getters on the `@PlanningSolution` class

The automatic annotation is based on the field type (or getter return type):

- `@ProblemFactProperty`: when it isn't a `Collection`, an array, a `@PlanningEntity` class or a `Score`
- `@ProblemFactCollectionProperty`: when it's a `Collection` (or array) of a type that isn't a `@PlanningEntity` class
- `@PlanningEntityProperty`: when it is a configured `@PlanningEntity` class or subclass
- `@PlanningEntityCollectionProperty`: when it's a `Collection` (or array) of a type that is a configured `@PlanningEntity` class or subclass
- `@PlanningScore`: when it is a `Score` or subclass

These automatic annotations can still be overwritten per field (or getter). Specifically, a BendableScore always needs to override with an explicit `@PlanningScore` annotation to define the

number of hard and soft levels.

4.3.8.7. Cloning a solution

Most (if not all) optimization algorithms clone the solution each time they encounter a new best solution (so they can recall it later) or to work with multiple solutions in parallel.



There are many ways to clone, such as a shallow clone, deep clone, ... This context focuses on *a planning clone*.

A planning clone of a solution must fulfill these requirements:

- The clone must represent the same planning problem. Usually it reuses the same instances of the problem facts and problem fact collections as the original.
- The clone must use different, cloned instances of the entities and entity collections. Changes to an original solution entity's variables must not affect its clone.



Implementing a planning clone method is hard, therefore you do not need to implement it.

4.3.8.7.1. `FieldAccessingSolutionCloner`

This `SolutionCloner` is used by default. It works well for most use cases.



When the `FieldAccessingSolutionCloner` clones one of your collections or maps, it

> may not recognize the implementation and replace it with `ArrayList`, `LinkedHashSet`, `TreeSet`, `LinkedHashMap` or `TreeMap` (whichever is more applicable) . It recognizes most of the common JDK collection and map implementations.

The `FieldAccessingSolutionCloner` does not clone problem facts by default. If any of your problem facts needs to be deep cloned for a planning clone, for example if the problem fact references a planning entity or the planning solution, mark its class with a `@DeepPlanningClone` annotation:

```
@DeepPlanningClone
public class SeatDesignationDependency {
    private SeatDesignation leftSeatDesignation; // planning entity
    private SeatDesignation rightSeatDesignation; // planning entity
    ...
}
```

In the example above, because `SeatDesignationDependency` references the planning entity `SeatDesignation` (which is deep planning cloned automatically), it should also be deep planning cloned.

Alternatively, the `@DeepPlanningClone` annotation also works on a getter method or a field to planning clone it. If that property is a `Collection` or a `Map`, it will shallow clone it and deep planning clone any element thereof that is an instance of a class that has a `@DeepPlanningClone` annotation.

4.3.8.7.2. Custom cloning with a `SolutionCloner`

To use a custom cloner, configure it on the planning solution:

```
@PlanningSolution(solutionCloner = NQueensSolutionCloner.class)
public class NQueens {
    ...
}
```

For example, a `NQueens` planning clone only deep clones all `Queen` instances. So when the original solution changes (later on during planning) and one or more `Queen` instances change, the planning clone isn't affected.

```
public class NQueensSolutionCloner implements SolutionCloner<NQueens> {

    @Override
    public NQueens cloneSolution(CloneLedger ledger, NQueens original) {
        NQueens clone = new NQueens();
        ledger.registerClone(original, clone);
        clone.setId(original.getId());
        clone.setN(original.getN());
        clone.setColumnList(original.getColumnList());
        clone.setRowList(original.getRowList());
        List<Queen> queenList = original.getQueenList();
```

```
        List<Queen> clonedQueenList = new ArrayList<Queen>(queenList.size());
        for (Queen originalQueen : queenList) {
            Queen cloneQueen = new Queen();
            ledger.registerClone(originalQueen, cloneQueen);
            cloneQueen.setId(originalQueen.getId());
            cloneQueen.setColumn(originalQueen.getColumn());
            cloneQueen.setRow(originalQueen.getRow());
            clonedQueenList.add(cloneQueen);
        }
        clone.setQueenList(clonedQueenList);
        clone.setScore(original.getScore());
        return clone;
    }

}
```

The `cloneSolution()` *method should only deep clone the planning entities.* Notice that the problem facts, such as `Column` and `Row` are normally *not* cloned: even their `List` instances are *not* cloned. If the problem facts were cloned too, then you would have to make sure that the new planning entity clones also refer to the new problem facts clones used by the cloned solution. For example, if you were to clone all `Row` instances, then each `Queen` clone and the `NQueens` clone itself should refer to those new `Row` clones.



Cloning an entity with a chained variable is devious: a variable of an entity A might point to another entity B. If A is cloned, then its variable must point to the clone of B, not the original B.

4.3.8.8. Create an uninitialized solution

Create a `@PlanningSolution` instance to represent your planning problem's dataset, so it can be set on the `Solver` as the planning problem to solve. For example in n queens, an `NQueens` instance is created with the required `Column` and `Row` instances and every `Queen` set to a different `column` and every `row` set to `null`.

```
    private NQueens createNQueens(int n) {
        NQueens nQueens = new NQueens();
        nQueens.setId(0L);
        nQueens.setN(n);
        nQueens.setColumnList(createColumnList(nQueens));
        nQueens.setRowList(createRowList(nQueens));
        nQueens.setQueenList(createQueenList(nQueens));
        return nQueens;
    }

    private List<Queen> createQueenList(NQueens nQueens) {
        int n = nQueens.getN();
        List<Queen> queenList = new ArrayList<Queen>(n);
        long id = 0L;
        for (Column column : nQueens.getColumnList()) {
```

```
            Queen queen = new Queen();
            queen.setId(id);
            id++;
            queen.setColumn(column);
            // Notice that we leave the PlanningVariable properties on null
            queenList.add(queen);
        }
        return queenList;
    }
```



Figure 4. Uninitialized Solution for the Four Queens Puzzle

Usually, most of this data comes from your data layer, and your solution implementation just aggregates that data and creates the uninitialized planning entity instances to plan:

```
        private void createLectureList(CourseSchedule schedule) {
            List<Course> courseList = schedule.getCourseList();
            List<Lecture> lectureList = new ArrayList<Lecture>(courseList.size());
            long id = 0L;
            for (Course course : courseList) {
                for (int i = 0; i < course.getLectureSize(); i++) {
                    Lecture lecture = new Lecture();
                    lecture.setId(id);
                    id++;
                    lecture.setCourse(course);
                    lecture.setLectureIndexInCourse(i);
                    // Notice that we leave the PlanningVariable properties (period
and room) on null
                    lectureList.add(lecture);
                }
            }
            schedule.setLectureList(lectureList);
        }
```

4.4. Use the `Solver`

4.4.1. The `Solver` interface

A `Solver` solves your planning problem.

```
public interface Solver<Solution_> {

    Solution_ solve(Solution_ problem);

    ...
}
```

A `Solver` can only solve one planning problem instance at a time. It is built with a `SolverFactory`, there is no need to implement it yourself.

A `Solver` should only be accessed from a single thread, except for the methods that are specifically documented in javadoc as being thread-safe. The `solve()` method hogs the current thread. This can cause HTTP timeouts for REST services and it requires extra code to solve multiple datasets in parallel. To avoid such issues, use a `SolverManager` instead.

4.4.2. Solving a problem

Solving a problem is quite easy once you have:

- A `Solver` built from a solver configuration
- A `@PlanningSolution` that represents the planning problem instance

Just provide the planning problem as argument to the `solve()` method and it will return the best solution found:

```
    NQueens problem = ...;
    NQueens bestSolution = solver.solve(problem);
```

For example in n queens, the `solve()` method will return an `NQueens` instance with every `Queen` assigned to a `Row`.



Figure 5. Best Solution for the Four Queens Puzzle in 8ms (Also an Optimal Solution)

The `solve(Solution)` method can take a long time (depending on the problem size and the solver configuration). The `Solver` intelligently wades through the search space of possible solutions and remembers the best solution it encounters during solving. Depending on a number of factors (including problem size, how much time the `Solver` has, the solver configuration, ...), that best solution might or might not be an optimal solution.



The solution instance given to the method `solve(solution)` is changed by the `Solver`, but do not mistake it for the best solution.

The solution instance returned by the methods `solve(solution)` or `getBestSolution()` is most likely a planning clone of the instance given to the method `solve(solution)`, which implies it is a different instance.



The solution instance given to the `solve(Solution)` method does not need to be uninitialized. It can be partially or fully initialized, which is often the case in repeated planning.

4.4.3. Environment mode: are there bugs in my code?

The environment mode allows you to detect common bugs in your implementation. It does not affect the logging level.

You can set the environment mode in the solver configuration XML file:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <environmentMode>FAST_ASSERT</environmentMode>
  ...
</solver>
```

A solver has a single `Random` instance. Some solver configurations use the `Random` instance a lot more than others. For example, Simulated Annealing depends highly on random numbers, while Tabu Search only depends on it to deal with score ties. The environment mode influences the seed of that `Random` instance.

These are the environment modes:

4.4.3.1. `FULL_ASSERT`

The FULL_ASSERT mode turns on all assertions (such as assert that the incremental score calculation is uncorrupted for each move) to fail-fast on a bug in a Move implementation, a constraint, the engine itself, ...

This mode is reproducible (see the reproducible mode). It is also intrusive because it calls the method `calculateScore()` more frequently than a non-assert mode.

The FULL_ASSERT mode is horribly slow (because it does not rely on incremental score calculation).

4.4.3.2. `NON_INTRUSIVE_FULL_ASSERT`

The NON_INTRUSIVE_FULL_ASSERT turns on several assertions to fail-fast on a bug in a Move

implementation, a constraint, the engine itself, ...

This mode is reproducible (see the reproducible mode). It is non-intrusive because it does not call the method `calculateScore()` more frequently than a non assert mode.

The NON_INTRUSIVE_FULL_ASSERT mode is horribly slow (because it does not rely on incremental score calculation).

4.4.3.3. `FAST_ASSERT`

The FAST_ASSERT mode turns on most assertions (such as assert that an undoMove's score is the same as before the Move) to fail-fast on a bug in a Move implementation, a constraint, the engine itself, ...

This mode is reproducible (see the reproducible mode). It is also intrusive because it calls the method `calculateScore()` more frequently than a non assert mode.

The FAST_ASSERT mode is slow.

It is recommended to write a test case that does a short run of your planning problem with the FAST_ASSERT mode on.

4.4.3.4. `REPRODUCIBLE` (default)

The reproducible mode is the default mode because it is recommended during development. In this mode, two runs in the same OptaPlanner version will execute the same code in the same order. **Those two runs will have the same result at every step**, except if the note below applies. This enables you to reproduce bugs consistently. It also allows you to benchmark certain refactorings (such as a score constraint performance optimization) fairly across runs.



Despite the reproducible mode, your application might still not be fully reproducible because of:

- Use of `HashSet` (or another `Collection` which has an inconsistent order between JVM runs) for collections of planning entities or planning values (but not normal problem facts), especially in the solution implementation. Replace it with `LinkedHashSet`.
- Combining a time gradient dependent algorithms (most notably Simulated Annealing) together with time spent termination. A sufficiently large difference in allocated CPU time will influence the time gradient values. Replace Simulated Annealing with Late Acceptance. Or instead, replace time spent termination with step count termination.

The reproducible mode can be slightly slower than the non-reproducible mode. If your production environment can benefit from reproducibility, use this mode in production.

In practice, this mode uses the default, fixed random seed if no seed is specified, and it also disables certain concurrency optimizations (such as work stealing).

4.4.3.5. NON_REPRODUCIBLE

The non-reproducible mode can be slightly faster than the reproducible mode. Avoid using it during development as it makes debugging and bug fixing painful. If your production environment doesn't care about reproducibility, use this mode in production.

In practice, this mode uses no fixed random seed if no seed is specified.

4.4.4. Logging level: what is the Solver doing?

The best way to illuminate the black box that is a Solver, is to play with the logging level:

- **error**: Log errors, except those that are thrown to the calling code as a RuntimeException.



If an error happens, OptaPlanner normally fails fast: it throws a subclass of RuntimeException with a detailed message to the calling code. It does not log it as an error itself to avoid duplicate log messages. Except if the calling code explicitly catches and eats that RuntimeException, a Thread's default ExceptionHandler will log it as an error anyway. Meanwhile, the code is disrupted from doing further harm or obfuscating the error.

- **warn**: Log suspicious circumstances.
- **info**: Log every phase and the solver itself. See scope overview.
- **debug**: Log every step of every phase. See scope overview.
- **trace**: Log every move of every step of every phase. See scope overview.



Turning on trace logging, will slow down performance considerably: it is often four times slower. However, it is invaluable during development to discover a bottleneck.

Even debug logging can slow down performance considerably for fast stepping algorithms (such as Late Acceptance and Simulated Annealing), but not for slow stepping algorithms (such as Tabu Search).

Both cause congestion in multithreaded solving with most appenders, see below.

In Eclipse, debug logging to the console tends to cause congestion with a score calculation speeds above 10 000 per second. Nor IntelliJ, nor the Maven command line suffer from this problem.

For example, set it to debug logging, to see when the phases end and how fast steps are taken:

```
INFO  Solving started: time spent (3), best score (-4init/0), random (JDK with seed
0).
DEBUG     CH step (0), time spent (5), score (-3init/0), selected move count (1),
picked move (Queen-2 {null -> Row-0}).
DEBUG     CH step (1), time spent (7), score (-2init/0), selected move count (3),
picked move (Queen-1 {null -> Row-2}).
```

```
DEBUG     CH step (2), time spent (10), score (-1init/0), selected move count (4),
picked move (Queen-3 {null -> Row-3}).
DEBUG     CH step (3), time spent (12), score (-1), selected move count (4), picked
move (Queen-0 {null -> Row-1}).
INFO  Construction Heuristic phase (0) ended: time spent (12), best score (-1), score
calculation speed (9000/sec), step total (4).
DEBUG     LS step (0), time spent (19), score (-1),     best score (-1),
accepted/selected move count (12/12), picked move (Queen-1 {Row-2 -> Row-3}).
DEBUG     LS step (1), time spent (24), score (0), new best score (0),
accepted/selected move count (9/12), picked move (Queen-3 {Row-3 -> Row-2}).
INFO  Local Search phase (1) ended: time spent (24), best score (0), score calculation
speed (4000/sec), step total (2).
INFO  Solving ended: time spent (24), best score (0), score calculation speed
(7000/sec), phase total (2), environment mode (REPRODUCIBLE).
```

All time spent values are in milliseconds.

Everything is logged to SLF4J, which is a simple logging facade which delegates every log message to Logback, Apache Commons Logging, Log4j or java.util.logging. Add a dependency to the logging adaptor for your logging framework of choice.

If you are not using any logging framework yet, use Logback by adding this Maven dependency (there is no need to add an extra bridge dependency):

```
    <dependency>
      <groupId>ch.qos.logback</groupId>
      <artifactId>logback-classic</artifactId>
      <version>1.x</version>
    </dependency>
```

Configure the logging level on the `org.optaplanner` package in your `logback.xml` file:

```
<configuration>

  <logger name="org.optaplanner" level="debug"/>

  ...

</configuration>
```

If it isn't picked up, temporarily add the system property `-Dlogback.debug=true` to figure out why.



When running multiple solvers or one multithreaded solver, most appenders (including the console) cause congestion with `debug` and `trace` logging. Switch to an async appender to avoid this problem or turn off `debug` logging.

If instead, you are still using Log4j 1.x (and you do not want to switch to its faster successor,

Logback), add the bridge dependency:

```
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.slf4j</groupId>
      <artifactId>slf4j-log4j12</artifactId>
      <version>1.x</version>
    </dependency>
```

And configure the logging level on the package `org.optaplanner` in your `log4j.xml` file:

```
<log4j:configuration xmlns:log4j="http://jakarta.apache.org/log4j/">

  <category name="org.optaplanner">
    <priority value="debug" />
  </category>

  ...

</log4j:configuration>
```



In a multitenant application, multiple `Solver` instances might be running at the same time. To separate their logging into distinct files, surround the `solve()` call with an MDC:

```
        MDC.put("tenant.name", tenantName);
        MySolution bestSolution = solver.solve(problem);
        MDC.remove("tenant.name");
```

Then configure your logger to use different files for each `${tenant.name}`. For example in Logback, use a `SiftingAppender` in `logback.xml`:

```
  <appender name="fileAppender" class=
"ch.qos.logback.classic.sift.SiftingAppender">
    <discriminator>
      <key>tenant.name</key>
      <defaultValue>unknown</defaultValue>
    </discriminator>
    <sift>
      <appender name="fileAppender.${tenant.name}" class=
"...FileAppender">
        <file>local/log/optaplanner-${tenant.name}.log</file>
        ...
      </appender>
    </sift>
  </appender>
```

4.4.5. Monitoring the solver

OptaPlanner exposes metrics through Micrometer which you can use to monitor the solver. OptaPlanner automatically connects to configured registries when it is used in Quarkus or Spring Boot. If you use OptaPlanner with plain Java, you must add the metrics registry to the global registry.

Prerequisites

- You have a plain Java OptaPlanner project.
- You have configured a Micrometer registry. For information about configuring Micrometer registries, see the Micrometer web site.

Procedure

1. Add configuration information for the Micrometer registry for your desired monitoring system to the global registry.
2. Add the following line below the configuration information, where `<REGISTRY>` is the name of the registry that you configured:

```
Metrics.addRegistry(<REGISTRY>);
```

   The following example shows how to add the Prometheus registry:

```
PrometheusMeterRegistry prometheusRegistry = new PrometheusMeterRegistry
(PrometheusConfig.DEFAULT);

try {
    HttpServer server = HttpServer.create(new InetSocketAddress(8080), 0);
    server.createContext("/prometheus", httpExchange -> {
        String response = prometheusRegistry.scrape(); (1)
        httpExchange.sendResponseHeaders(200, response.getBytes().length);
        try (OutputStream os = httpExchange.getResponseBody()) {
            os.write(response.getBytes());
        }
    });

    new Thread(server::start).start();
} catch (IOException e) {
    throw new RuntimeException(e);
}

Metrics.addRegistry(prometheusRegistry);
```

3. Open your monitoring system to view the metrics for your OptaPlanner project. The following metrics are exposed:



The names and format of the metrics vary depending on the registry.

- `optaplanner.solver.errors.total`: the total number of errors that occurred while solving since the start of the measuring.
- `optaplanner.solver.solve.duration.active-count`: the number of solvers currently solving.
- `optaplanner.solver.solve.duration.seconds-max`: run time of the longest-running currently active solver.
- `optaplanner.solver.solve.duration.seconds-duration-sum`: the sum of each active solver's solve duration. For example, if there are two active solvers, one running for three minutes and the other for one minute, the total solve time is four minutes.

4.4.5.1. Additional metrics

For more detailed monitoring, OptaPlanner can be configured to monitor additional metrics at a performance cost.

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <monitoring>
    <metric>BEST_SCORE</metric>
    <metric>SCORE_CALCULATION_COUNT</metric>
    ...
  </monitoring>
  ...
</solver>
```

The following metrics are available:

- `SOLVE_DURATION` (default, Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.solve.duration"): Measure the duration of solving for the longest active solver, the number of active solvers and the cumulative duration of all active solvers.
- `ERROR_COUNT` (default, Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.errors"): Measures the number of errors that occur while solving.
- `SCORE_CALCULATION_COUNT` (default, Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.score.calculation.count"): Measures the number of score calculations OptaPlanner performed.
- `BEST_SCORE` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.best.score.*"): Measures the score of the best solution OptaPlanner found so far. There are separate meters for each level of the score. For instance, for a `HardSoftScore`, there are `optaplanner.solver.best.score.hard.score` and `optaplanner.solver.best.score.soft.score` meters.
- `STEP_SCORE` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.step.score.*"): Measures the score of each step OptaPlanner takes. There are separate meters for each level of the score. For instance, for a `HardSoftScore`, there are `optaplanner.solver.step.score.hard.score` and `optaplanner.solver.step.score.soft.score` meters.
- `BEST_SOLUTION_MUTATION` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.best.solution.mutation"):

Measures the number of changed planning variables between consecutive best solutions.

- `MOVE_COUNT_PER_STEP` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.step.move.count"): Measures the number of moves evaluated in a step.
- `MEMORY_USE` (Micrometer meter id: "jvm.memory.used"): Measures the amount of memory used across the JVM. This does not measure the amount of memory used by a solver; two solvers on the same JVM will report the same value for this metric.
- `CONSTRAINT_MATCH_TOTAL_BEST_SCORE` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.constraint.match.best.score.*"): Measures the score impact of each constraint on the best solution OptaPlanner found so far. There are separate meters for each level of the score, with tags for each constraint. For instance, for a `HardSoftScore` for a constraint "Minimize Cost" in package "com.example", there are `optaplanner.solver.constraint.match.best.score.hard.score` and `optaplanner.solver.constraint.match.best.score.soft.score` meters with tags "constraint.package=com.example" and "constraint.name=Minimize Cost".
- `CONSTRAINT_MATCH_TOTAL_STEP_SCORE` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.constraint.match.step.score.*"): Measures the score impact of each constraint on the current step. There are separate meters for each level of the score, with tags for each constraint. For instance, for a `HardSoftScore` for a constraint "Minimize Cost" in package "com.example", there are `optaplanner.solver.constraint.match.step.score.hard.score` and `optaplanner.solver.constraint.match.step.score.soft.score` meters with tags "constraint.package=com.example" and "constraint.name=Minimize Cost".
- `PICKED_MOVE_TYPE_BEST_SCORE_DIFF` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.move.type.best.score.diff.*"): Measures how much a particular move type improves the best solution. There are separate meters for each level of the score, with a tag for the move type. For instance, for a `HardSoftScore` and a `ChangeMove` for the computer of a process, there are `optaplanner.solver.move.type.best.score.diff.hard.score` and `optaplanner.solver.move.type.best.score.diff.soft.score` meters with the tag `move.type=ChangeMove(Process.computer)`.
- `PICKED_MOVE_TYPE_STEP_SCORE_DIFF` (Micrometer meter id: "optaplanner.solver.move.type.step.score.diff.*"): Measures how much a particular move type improves the best solution. There are separate meters for each level of the score, with a tag for the move type. For instance, for a `HardSoftScore` and a `ChangeMove` for the computer of a process, there are `optaplanner.solver.move.type.step.score.diff.hard.score` and `optaplanner.solver.move.type.step.score.diff.soft.score` meters with the tag `move.type=ChangeMove(Process.computer)`.

4.4.6. Random number generator

Many heuristics and metaheuristics depend on a pseudorandom number generator for move selection, to resolve score ties, probability based move acceptance, ... During solving, the same `Random` instance is reused to improve reproducibility, performance and uniform distribution of random values.

To change the random seed of that `Random` instance, specify a `randomSeed`:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <randomSeed>0</randomSeed>
  ...
</solver>
```

To change the pseudorandom number generator implementation, specify a `randomType`:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <randomType>MERSENNE_TWISTER</randomType>
  ...
</solver>
```

The following types are supported:

- `JDK` (default): Standard implementation (`java.util.Random`).
- `MERSENNE_TWISTER`: Implementation by Commons Math.
- `WELL512A`, `WELL1024A`, `WELL19937A`, `WELL19937C`, `WELL44497A` and `WELL44497B`: Implementation by Commons Math.

For most use cases, the randomType has no significant impact on the average quality of the best solution on multiple datasets. If you want to confirm this on your use case, use the benchmarker.

4.5. SolverManager

A `SolverManager` is a facade for one or more `Solver` instances to simplify solving planning problems in REST and other enterprise services. Unlike the `Solver.solve(…)` method:

- `SolverManager.solve(…)` **returns immediately**: it schedules a problem for asynchronous solving without blocking the calling thread. This avoids timeout issues of HTTP and other technologies.
- `SolverManager.solve(…)` **solves multiple planning problems** of the same domain, in parallel.

Internally a `SolverManager` manages a thread pool of solver threads, which call `Solver.solve(…)`, and a thread pool of consumer threads, which handle best solution changed events.

In Quarkus and Spring Boot, the `SolverManager` instance is automatically injected in your code. Otherwise, build a `SolverManager` instance with the `create(…)` method:

```
SolverConfig solverConfig = SolverConfig.createFromXmlResource(
".../cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml");
```

```
SolverManager<CloudBalance, UUID> solverManager = SolverManager.create(solverConfig,
new SolverManagerConfig());
```

Each problem submitted to the `SolverManager.solve(…)` methods needs a unique problem ID. Later calls to `getSolverStatus(problemId)` or `terminateEarly(problemId)` use that problem ID to distinguish between the planning problems. The problem ID must be an immutable class, such as `Long`, `String` or `java.util.UUID`.

The `SolverManagerConfig` class has a `parallelSolverCount` property, that controls how many solvers are run in parallel. For example, if set to `4`, submitting five problems has four problems solving immediately, and the fifth one starts when another one ends. If those problems solve for 5 minutes each, the fifth problem takes 10 minutes to finish. By default, `parallelSolverCount` is set to `AUTO`, which resolves to half the CPU cores, regardless of the `moveThreadCount` of the solvers.

To retrieve the best solution, after solving terminates normally, use `SolverJob.getFinalBestSolution()`:

```
CloudBalance problem1 = ...;
UUID problemId = UUID.randomUUID();
// Returns immediately
SolverJob<CloudBalance, UUID> solverJob = solverManager.solve(problemId, problem1);
...
CloudBalance solution1;
try {
    // Returns only after solving terminates
    solution1 = solverJob.getFinalBestSolution();
} catch (InterruptedException | ExecutionException e) {
    throw ...;
}
```

However, there are better approaches, both for solving batch problems before an end-user needs the solution as well as for live solving while an end-user is actively waiting for the solution, as explained below.

The current `SolverManager` implementation runs on a single computer node, but future work aims to distribute solver loads across a cloud.

4.5.1. Solve batch problems

At night, batch solving is a great approach to deliver solid plans by breakfast, because:

- There are typically few or no problem changes in the middle of the night. Some organizations even enforce a deadline, for example, *submit all day off requests before midnight*.
- The solvers can run for much longer, often hours, because nobody's waiting for it and CPU resources are often cheaper.

To solve a multiple datasets in parallel (limited by `parallelSolverCount`), call `solve(…)` for each dataset:

```
public class TimeTableService {

    private SolverManager<TimeTable, Long> solverManager;

    // Returns immediately, call it for every dataset
    public void solveBatch(Long timeTableId) {
        solverManager.solve(timeTableId,
                // Called once, when solving starts
                this::findById,
                // Called once, when solving ends
                this::save);
    }

    public TimeTable findById(Long timeTableId) {...}

    public void save(TimeTable timeTable) {...}

}
```

A solid plan delivered by breakfast is great, even if you need to react on problem changes during the day.

4.5.2. Solve and listen to show progress to the end-user

When a solver is running while an end-user is waiting for that solution, the user might need to wait for several minutes or hours before receiving a result. To assure the user that everything is going well, show progress by displaying the best solution and best score attained so far.

To handle intermediate best solutions, use `solveAndListen(⋯)`:

```
public class TimeTableService {

    private SolverManager<TimeTable, Long> solverManager;

    // Returns immediately
    public void solveLive(Long timeTableId) {
        solverManager.solveAndListen(timeTableId,
                // Called once, when solving starts
                this::findById,
                // Called multiple times, for every best solution change
                this::save);
    }

    public TimeTable findById(Long timeTableId) {...}

    public void save(TimeTable timeTable) {...}

    public void stopSolving(Long timeTableId) {
        solverManager.terminateEarly(timeTableId);
```

```
    }

}
```

This implementation is using the database to communicate with the UI, which polls the database. More advanced implementations push the best solutions directly to the UI or a messaging queue.

If the user is satisfied with the intermediate best solution and does not want to wait any longer for a better one, call `SolverManager.terminateEarly(problemId)`.

Chapter 5. Score calculation

5.1. Score terminology

5.1.1. What is a score?

Every `@PlanningSolution` class has a score. The score is an objective way to compare two solutions. The solution with the higher score is better. The `Solver` aims to find the solution with the highest `Score` of all possible solutions. The *best solution* is the solution with the highest `Score` that `Solver` has encountered during solving, which might be the *optimal solution*.

OptaPlanner cannot automatically know which solution is best for your business, so you need to tell it how to calculate the score of a given `@PlanningSolution` instance according to your business needs. If you forget or are unable to implement an important business constraint, the solution is probably useless:



Note
Pinned entities can sometimes offer a temporary workaround for an end-user.

5.1.2. Formalize the business constraints

To implement a verbal business constraint, it needs to be formalized as a score constraint. Luckily, defining constraints in OptaPlanner is very flexible through the following score techniques:

- **Score signum (positive or negative)**: maximize or minimize a constraint type

- **Score weight**: put a cost/profit on a constraint type
- **Score level (hard, soft, ...)**: prioritize a group of constraint types
- **Pareto scoring** (rarely used)

Take the time to acquaint yourself with the first three techniques. Once you understand them, formalizing most business constraints becomes straightforward.



Do not presume that your business knows all its score constraints in advance. Expect score constraints to be added, changed or removed after the first releases.

5.1.3. Score constraint signum (positive or negative)

All score techniques are based on constraints. A constraint can be a simple pattern (such as *Maximize the apple harvest in the solution*) or a more complex pattern. A positive constraint is a constraint you want to maximize. A negative constraint is a constraint you want to minimize



The image above illustrates that **the optimal solution always has the highest score**, regardless if the constraints are positive or negative.

Most planning problems have only negative constraints and therefore have a negative score. In that case, the score is the sum of the weight of the negative constraints being broken, with a perfect score of 0. For example in n queens, the score is the negative of the number of queen pairs which can attack each other.

Negative and positive constraints can be combined, even in the same score level.

When a constraint activates (because the negative constraint is broken or the positive constraint is fulfilled) on a certain planning entity set, it is called a *constraint match*.

5.1.4. Score constraint weight

Not all score constraints are equally important. If breaking one constraint is equally bad as breaking another constraint x times, then those two constraints have a different weight (but they are in the same score level). For example in vehicle routing, you can make one *unhappy driver* constraint match count as much as two *fuel tank usage* constraint matches:



Score weighting is easy in use cases where you can *put a price tag on everything*. In that case, the positive constraints maximize revenue and the negative constraints minimize expenses, so together they maximize profit. Alternatively, score weighting is also often used to create social fairness. For example, a nurse, who requests a free day, pays a higher weight on New Years eve than on a normal day.

The weight of a constraint match can depend on the planning entities involved. For example in cloud balancing, the weight of the soft constraint match for an active `Computer` is the maintenance `cost` of that `Computer` (which differs per computer).

Putting a good weight on a constraint is often a difficult analytical decision, because it is about making choices and trade-offs against other constraints. Different stakeholders have different

priorities. **Don't waste time with constraint weight discussions at the start of an implementation, instead add a constraint configuration and allow users to change them through a UI.** A non-accurate weight is less damaging than mediocre algorithms:



Most use cases use a `Score` with `int` weights, such as HardSoftScore.

5.1.5. Score constraint level (hard, soft, ...)

Sometimes a score constraint outranks another score constraint, no matter how many times the latter is broken. In that case, those score constraints are in different levels. For example, a nurse cannot do two shifts at the same time (due to the constraints of physical reality), so this outranks all nurse happiness constraints.

Most use cases have only two score levels, hard and soft. The levels of two scores are compared lexicographically. The first score level gets compared first. If those differ, the remaining score levels are ignored. For example, a score that breaks `0` hard constraints and `1000000` soft constraints is better than a score that breaks `1` hard constraint and `0` soft constraints.



If there are two (or more) score levels, for example HardSoftScore, then a score is *feasible* if no hard constraints are broken.



By default, OptaPlanner will always assign all planning variables a planning value. If there is no feasible solution, this means the best solution will be infeasible. To instead leave some of the planning entities unassigned, apply overconstrained planning.

For each constraint, you need to pick a score level, a score weight and a score signum. For example: `-1soft` which has score level of `soft`, a weight of `1` and a negative signum. Do not use a big constraint weight when your business actually wants different score levels. That hack, known as *score folding*, is broken:



Your business might tell you that your hard constraints all have the same weight, because they cannot be broken (so the weight does not matter). This is not true because if no feasible solution exists for a specific dataset, the least infeasible solution allows the business to estimate how many business resources they are lacking. For example in cloud balancing, how many new computers to buy.

Furthermore, it will likely create a score trap. For example in cloud balance if a `Computer` has seven CPU too little for its `Process`es, then it must be weighted seven times as much as if it had only one CPU too little.

Three or more score levels are also supported. For example: a company might decide that profit outranks employee satisfaction (or vice versa), while both are outranked by the constraints of physical reality.



To model fairness or load balancing, there is no need to use lots of score levels (even though OptaPlanner can handle many score levels).

Most use cases use a `Score` with two or three weights, such as HardSoftScore and HardMediumSoftScore.

5.1.6. Pareto scoring (AKA multi-objective optimization scoring)

Far less common is the use case of pareto optimization, which is also known as *multi-objective*

optimization. In pareto scoring, score constraints are in the same score level, yet they are not weighted against each other. When two scores are compared, each of the score constraints are compared individually and the score with the most dominating score constraints wins. Pareto scoring can even be combined with score levels and score constraint weighting.

Consider this example with positive constraints, where we want to get the most apples and oranges. Since it is impossible to compare apples and oranges, we cannot weigh them against each other. Yet, despite that we cannot compare them, we can state that two apples are better than one apple. Similarly, we can state that two apples and one orange are better than just one orange. So despite our inability to compare some Scores conclusively (at which point we declare them equal), we can find a set of optimal scores. Those are called pareto optimal.



Scores are considered equal far more often. It is left up to a human to choose the better out of a set of best solutions (with equal scores) found by OptaPlanner. In the example above, the user must choose between solution A (three apples and one orange) and solution B (one apple and six oranges). It is guaranteed that OptaPlanner has not found another solution which has more apples or more oranges or even a better combination of both (such as two apples and three oranges).

Pareto scoring is currently not supported in OptaPlanner.



A pareto `Score`'s `compareTo` method is not transitive because it does a pareto comparison. For example: having two apples is greater than one apple. One apple is equal to One orange. Yet, two apples are not greater than one orange (but actually equal). Pareto comparison violates the contract of the interface

> `java.lang.Comparable`'s `compareTo` method, but Planners systems are *pareto comparison safe*, unless explicitly stated otherwise in this documentation.

5.1.7. Combining score techniques

All the score techniques mentioned above, can be combined seamlessly:



5.1.8. `Score` interface

A score is represented by the `Score` interface, which naturally extends `Comparable`:

```
public interface Score<...> extends Comparable<...> {
    ...
}
```

The `Score` implementation to use depends on your use case. Your score might not efficiently fit in a single `long` value. OptaPlanner has several built-in `Score` implementations, but you can implement a custom `Score` too. Most use cases tend to use the built-in `HardSoftScore`.



All Score implementations also have an `initScore` (which is an `int`).It is mostly intended for internal use in OptaPlanner: it is the negative number of uninitialized planning variables. From a user's perspective this is `0`, unless a Construction Heuristic is terminated before it could initialize all planning variables (in which case `Score.isSolutionInitialized()` returns `false`).

The `Score` implementation (for example `HardSoftScore`) must be the same throughout a `Solver` runtime. The `Score` implementation is configured in the solution domain class:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance {
    ...

    @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

}
```

5.1.9. Avoid floating point numbers in score calculation

Avoid the use of `float` or `double` in score calculation. Use `BigDecimal` or scaled `long` instead.

Floating point numbers (`float` and `double`) cannot represent a decimal number correctly. For example: a `double` cannot hold the value `0.05` correctly. Instead, it holds the nearest representable

value. Arithmetic (including addition and subtraction) with floating point numbers, especially for planning problems, leads to incorrect decisions:



Additionally, floating point number addition is not associative:

```
System.out.println( ((0.01 + 0.02) + 0.03) == (0.01 + (0.02 + 0.03)) ); // returns false
```

This leads to *score corruption*.

Decimal numbers (`BigDecimal`) have none of these problems.



BigDecimal arithmetic is considerably slower than `int`, `long` or `double` arithmetic. In experiments we have seen the score calculation take five times longer.

Therefore, in many cases, it can be worthwhile to multiply *all* numbers for a single score weight by a plural of ten, so the score weight fits in a scaled `int` or `long`. For example, if we multiply all weights by `1000`, a fuelCost of `0.07` becomes a fuelCostMillis of `70` and no longer uses a decimal score weight.

5.2. Choose a score type

Depending on the number of score levels and type of score weights you need, choose a `Score` type. Most use cases use a `HardSoftScore`.



To properly write a `Score` to a database (with JPA/Hibernate) or to XML/JSON (with JAXB/Jackson), see the integration chapter.

5.2.1. `SimpleScore`

A `SimpleScore` has a single `int` value, for example `-123`. It has a single score level.

```
@PlanningScore
private SimpleScore score;
```

Variants of this `Score` type:

- `SimpleLongScore` uses a `long` value instead of an `int` value.
- `SimpleBigDecimalScore` uses a `BigDecimal` value instead of an `int` value.

5.2.2. `HardSoftScore` (Recommended)

A `HardSoftScore` has a hard `int` value and a soft `int` value, for example `-123hard/-456soft`. It has two score levels (hard and soft).

```
@PlanningScore
private HardSoftScore score;
```

Variants of this `Score` type:

- `HardSoftLongScore` uses `long` values instead of `int` values.
- `HardSoftBigDecimalScore` uses `BigDecimal` values instead of `int` values.

5.2.3. `HardMediumSoftScore`

A `HardMediumSoftScore` which has a hard `int` value, a medium `int` value and a soft `int` value, for example `-123hard/-456medium/-789soft`. It has three score levels (hard, medium and soft). The hard level determines if the solution is feasible, and the medium level and soft level score values determine how well the solution meets business goals. Higher medium values take precedence over soft values irrespective of the soft value.

```
@PlanningScore
private HardMediumSoftScore score;
```

Variants of this `Score` type:

- `HardMediumSoftLongScore` uses `long` values instead of `int` values.
- `HardMediumSoftBigDecimalScore` uses `BigDecimal` values instead of `int` values.

5.2.4. `BendableScore`

A `BendableScore` has a configurable number of score levels. It has an array of hard `int` values and an array of soft `int` values, for example with two hard levels and three soft levels, the score can be `[-123/-456]hard/[-789/-012/-345]soft`. In that case, it has five score levels. A solution is feasible if all hard levels are at least zero.

A BendableScore with one hard level and one soft level is equivalent to a HardSoftScore, while a BendableScore with one hard level and two soft levels is equivalent to a HardMediumSoftScore.

```
@PlanningScore(bendableHardLevelsSize = 2, bendableSoftLevelsSize = 3)
private BendableScore score;
```

The number of hard and soft score levels need to be set at compilation time. It is not flexible to change during solving.



Do not use a `BendableScore` with seven levels just because you have seven constraints. It is extremely rare to use a different score level for each constraint, because that means one constraint match on soft 0 outweighs even a million constraint matches of soft 1.

Usually, multiple constraints share the same level and are weighted against each other. Use explaining the score to get the weight of individual constraints in the same level.

Variants of this `Score` type:

- `BendableLongScore` uses `long` values instead of `int` values.
- `BendableBigDecimalScore` uses `BigDecimal` values instead of `int` values.

5.3. Calculate the `Score`

5.3.1. Score calculation types

There are several ways to calculate the `Score` of a solution:

- **Easy Java score calculation**: Implement all constraints together in a single method in Java (or another JVM language). Does not scale.
- **Constraint streams score calculation**: Implement each constraint as a separate Constraint Stream in Java (or another JVM language). Fast and scalable.
- **Incremental Java score calculation** (not recommended): Implement multiple low-level methods in Java (or another JVM language). Fast and scalable. Very difficult to implement and maintain.

- **Drools score calculation (Deprecated)**: Implement each constraint as a separate score rule in DRL. Scalable.

Every score calculation type can work with any Score definition (such as `HardSoftScore` or `HardMediumSoftScore`). All score calculation types are Object Oriented and can reuse existing Java code.



The score calculation must be read-only. It must not change the planning entities or the problem facts in any way. For example, it must not call a setter method on a planning entity in the score calculation.

OptaPlanner does not recalculate the score of a solution if it can predict it (unless an environmentMode assertion is enabled). For example, after a winning step is done, there is no need to calculate the score because that move was done and undone earlier. As a result, there is no guarantee that changes applied during score calculation actually happen.

To update planning entities when the planning variable change, use shadow variables instead.

5.3.2. Easy Java score calculation

An easy way to implement your score calculation in Java.

- Advantages:
  - Plain old Java: no learning curve
  - Opportunity to delegate score calculation to an existing code base or legacy system
- Disadvantages:
  - Slower
  - Does not scale because there is no incremental score calculation

Implement the one method of the interface `EasyScoreCalculator`:

```
public interface EasyScoreCalculator<Solution_, Score_ extends Score<Score_>> {

    Score_ calculateScore(Solution_ solution);

}
```

For example in n queens:

```
public class NQueensEasyScoreCalculator
    implements EasyScoreCalculator<NQueens, SimpleScore> {

    @Override
    public SimpleScore calculateScore(NQueens nQueens) {
```

```
        int n = nQueens.getN();
        List<Queen> queenList = nQueens.getQueenList();

        int score = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            for (int j = i + 1; j < n; j++) {
                Queen leftQueen = queenList.get(i);
                Queen rightQueen = queenList.get(j);
                if (leftQueen.getRow() != null && rightQueen.getRow() != null) {
                    if (leftQueen.getRowIndex() == rightQueen.getRowIndex()) {
                        score--;
                    }
                    if (leftQueen.getAscendingDiagonalIndex() == rightQueen
.getAscendingDiagonalIndex()) {
                        score--;
                    }
                    if (leftQueen.getDescendingDiagonalIndex() == rightQueen
.getDescendingDiagonalIndex()) {
                        score--;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        return SimpleScore.valueOf(score);
    }

}
```

Configure it in the solver configuration:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<easyScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.optional.score.NQueensEasyS
coreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

To configure values of an `EasyScoreCalculator` dynamically in the solver configuration (so the Benchmarker can tweak those parameters), add the `easyScoreCalculatorCustomProperties` element and use custom properties:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>
    <easyScoreCalculatorClass>...MyEasyScoreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
    <easyScoreCalculatorCustomProperties>
      <property name="myCacheSize" value="1000" />
    </easyScoreCalculatorCustomProperties>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

5.3.3. Incremental Java score calculation

A way to implement your score calculation incrementally in Java.

- Advantages:
  - Very fast and scalable
    - Currently the fastest if implemented correctly
- Disadvantages:
  - Hard to write
    - A scalable implementation heavily uses maps, indexes, ... (things the Drools rule engine can do for you)
    - You have to learn, design, write and improve all these performance optimizations yourself
  - Hard to read
    - Regular score constraint changes can lead to a high maintenance cost

Implement all the methods of the interface `IncrementalScoreCalculator`:

```
public interface IncrementalScoreCalculator<Solution_, Score_ extends Score<Score_>> {

    void resetWorkingSolution(Solution_ workingSolution);

    void beforeEntityAdded(Object entity);

    void afterEntityAdded(Object entity);

    void beforeVariableChanged(Object entity, String variableName);

    void afterVariableChanged(Object entity, String variableName);

    void beforeEntityRemoved(Object entity);

    void afterEntityRemoved(Object entity);

    Score_ calculateScore();

}
```



For example in n queens:

```
public class NQueensAdvancedIncrementalScoreCalculator
    implements IncrementalScoreCalculator<NQueens, SimpleScore> {

    private Map<Integer, List<Queen>> rowIndexMap;
    private Map<Integer, List<Queen>> ascendingDiagonalIndexMap;
    private Map<Integer, List<Queen>> descendingDiagonalIndexMap;

    private int score;

    public void resetWorkingSolution(NQueens nQueens) {
        int n = nQueens.getN();
        rowIndexMap = new HashMap<Integer, List<Queen>>(n);
        ascendingDiagonalIndexMap = new HashMap<Integer, List<Queen>>(n * 2);
        descendingDiagonalIndexMap = new HashMap<Integer, List<Queen>>(n * 2);
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            rowIndexMap.put(i, new ArrayList<Queen>(n));
            ascendingDiagonalIndexMap.put(i, new ArrayList<Queen>(n));
            descendingDiagonalIndexMap.put(i, new ArrayList<Queen>(n));
            if (i != 0) {
                ascendingDiagonalIndexMap.put(n - 1 + i, new ArrayList<Queen>(n));
                descendingDiagonalIndexMap.put((-i), new ArrayList<Queen>(n));
            }
```

```
        }
        score = 0;
        for (Queen queen : nQueens.getQueenList()) {
            insert(queen);
        }
    }

    public void beforeEntityAdded(Object entity) {
        // Do nothing
    }

    public void afterEntityAdded(Object entity) {
        insert((Queen) entity);
    }

    public void beforeVariableChanged(Object entity, String variableName) {
        retract((Queen) entity);
    }

    public void afterVariableChanged(Object entity, String variableName) {
        insert((Queen) entity);
    }

    public void beforeEntityRemoved(Object entity) {
        retract((Queen) entity);
    }

    public void afterEntityRemoved(Object entity) {
        // Do nothing
    }

    private void insert(Queen queen) {
        Row row = queen.getRow();
        if (row != null) {
            int rowIndex = queen.getRowIndex();
            List<Queen> rowIndexList = rowIndexMap.get(rowIndex);
            score -= rowIndexList.size();
            rowIndexList.add(queen);
            List<Queen> ascendingDiagonalIndexList = ascendingDiagonalIndexMap.get
(queen.getAscendingDiagonalIndex());
            score -= ascendingDiagonalIndexList.size();
            ascendingDiagonalIndexList.add(queen);
            List<Queen> descendingDiagonalIndexList = descendingDiagonalIndexMap.get
(queen.getDescendingDiagonalIndex());
            score -= descendingDiagonalIndexList.size();
            descendingDiagonalIndexList.add(queen);
        }
    }

    private void retract(Queen queen) {
        Row row = queen.getRow();
```

```
        if (row != null) {
            List<Queen> rowIndexList = rowIndexMap.get(queen.getRowIndex());
            rowIndexList.remove(queen);
            score += rowIndexList.size();
            List<Queen> ascendingDiagonalIndexList = ascendingDiagonalIndexMap.get
(queen.getAscendingDiagonalIndex());
            ascendingDiagonalIndexList.remove(queen);
            score += ascendingDiagonalIndexList.size();
            List<Queen> descendingDiagonalIndexList = descendingDiagonalIndexMap.get
(queen.getDescendingDiagonalIndex());
            descendingDiagonalIndexList.remove(queen);
            score += descendingDiagonalIndexList.size();
        }
    }

    public SimpleScore calculateScore() {
        return SimpleScore.valueOf(score);
    }

}
```

Configure it in the solver configuration:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<incrementalScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.optional.score.NQuee
nsAdvancedIncrementalScoreCalculator</incrementalScoreCalculatorClass>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```



A piece of incremental score calculator code can be difficult to write and to review. Assert its correctness by using an `EasyScoreCalculator` to fulfill the assertions triggered by the `environmentMode`.

To configure values of an `IncrementalScoreCalculator` dynamically in the solver configuration (so the Benchmarker can tweak those parameters), add the `incrementalScoreCalculatorCustomProperties` element and use custom properties:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>
    <incrementalScoreCalculatorClass>
...MyIncrementalScoreCalculator</incrementalScoreCalculatorClass>
    <incrementalScoreCalculatorCustomProperties>
      <property name="myCacheSize" value="1000"/>
    </incrementalScoreCalculatorCustomProperties>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

5.3.3.1. ConstraintMatchAwareIncrementalScoreCalculator

Optionally, also implement the `ConstraintMatchAwareIncrementalScoreCalculator` interface to:

- Explain a score by splitting it up per score constraint with `ScoreExplanation.getConstraintMatchTotalMap()`.
- Visualize or sort planning entities by how many constraints each one breaks with `ScoreExplanation.getIndictmentMap()`.
- Receive a detailed analysis if the `IncrementalScoreCalculator` is corrupted in `FAST_ASSERT` or `FULL_ASSERT` `environmentMode`,

```
public interface ConstraintMatchAwareIncrementalScoreCalculator<Solution_, Score_
extends Score<Score_>> {

    void resetWorkingSolution(Solution_ workingSolution, boolean
constraintMatchEnabled);

    Collection<ConstraintMatchTotal<Score_>> getConstraintMatchTotals();

    Map<Object, Indictment<Score_>> getIndictmentMap();
}
```

For example in machine reassignment, create one `ConstraintMatchTotal` per constraint type and call `addConstraintMatch()` for each constraint match:

```
public class MachineReassignmentIncrementalScoreCalculator
        implements ConstraintMatchAwareIncrementalScoreCalculator<MachineReassignment,
HardSoftLongScore> {
    ...

    @Override
    public void resetWorkingSolution(MachineReassignment workingSolution, boolean
constraintMatchEnabled) {
        resetWorkingSolution(workingSolution);
        // ignore constraintMatchEnabled, it is always presumed enabled
    }

    @Override
    public Collection<ConstraintMatchTotal<HardSoftLongScore>>
getConstraintMatchTotals() {
        ConstraintMatchTotal<HardSoftLongScore> maximumCapacityMatchTotal = new
DefaultConstraintMatchTotal<>(CONSTRAINT_PACKAGE,
            "maximumCapacity", HardSoftLongScore.ZERO);
        ...
        for (MrMachineScorePart machineScorePart : machineScorePartMap.values()) {
            for (MrMachineCapacityScorePart machineCapacityScorePart :
machineScorePart.machineCapacityScorePartList) {
                if (machineCapacityScorePart.maximumAvailable < 0L) {
```

```
                    maximumCapacityMatchTotal.addConstraintMatch(
                            Arrays.asList(machineCapacityScorePart.machineCapacity),
                            HardSoftLongScore.valueOf(machineCapacityScorePart
.maximumAvailable, 0));
                }
            }
        }
        ...
        List<ConstraintMatchTotal<HardSoftLongScore>> constraintMatchTotalList = new
ArrayList<>(4);
        constraintMatchTotalList.add(maximumCapacityMatchTotal);
        ...
        return constraintMatchTotalList;
    }

    @Override
    public Map<Object, Indictment<HardSoftLongScore>> getIndictmentMap() {
        return null; // Calculate it non-incrementally from getConstraintMatchTotals()
    }
}
```

That `getConstraintMatchTotals()` code often duplicates some of the logic of the normal `IncrementalScoreCalculator` methods. Constraint Streams and Drools Score Calculation don't have this disadvantage, because they are constraint match aware automatically when needed, without any extra domain-specific code.

5.3.4. `InitializingScoreTrend`

The `InitializingScoreTrend` specifies how the Score will change as more and more variables are initialized (while the already initialized variables do not change). Some optimization algorithms (such Construction Heuristics and Exhaustive Search) run faster if they have such information.

For the Score (or each score level separately), specify a trend:

- `ANY` (default): Initializing an extra variable can change the score positively or negatively. Gives no performance gain.
- `ONLY_UP` (rare): Initializing an extra variable can only change the score positively. Implies that:
  - There are only positive constraints
  - And initializing the next variable cannot unmatch a positive constraint that was matched by a previous initialized variable.
- `ONLY_DOWN`: Initializing an extra variable can only change the score negatively. Implies that:
  - There are only negative constraints
  - And initializing the next variable cannot unmatch a negative constraint that was matched by a previous initialized variable.

Most use cases only have negative constraints. Many of those have an `InitializingScoreTrend` that only goes down:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.score.CloudBalancingC
onstraintProvider</constraintProviderClass>
    <initializingScoreTrend>ONLY_DOWN</initializingScoreTrend>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

Alternatively, you can also specify the trend for each score level separately:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.score.CloudBalancingC
onstraintProvider</constraintProviderClass>
    <initializingScoreTrend>ONLY_DOWN/ONLY_DOWN</initializingScoreTrend>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

5.3.5. Invalid score detection

When you put the `environmentMode` in `FULL_ASSERT` (or `FAST_ASSERT`), it will detect score corruption in the incremental score calculation. However, that will not verify that your score calculator actually implements your score constraints as your business desires. For example, one constraint might consistently match the wrong pattern. To verify the constraints against an independent implementation, configure a `assertionScoreDirectorFactory`:

```
  <environmentMode>FAST_ASSERT</environmentMode>
  ...
  <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.optional.score.NQueensConstr
aintProvider</constraintProviderClass>
    <assertionScoreDirectorFactory>

<easyScoreCalculatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.optional.score.NQueensEasyS
coreCalculator</easyScoreCalculatorClass>
    </assertionScoreDirectorFactory>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

This way, the `NQueensConstraintProvider` implementation is validated by the `EasyScoreCalculator`.



This works well to isolate score corruption, but to verify that the constraint implement the real business needs, a unit test with a ConstraintVerifier is usually better.

5.4. Score calculation performance tricks

5.4.1. Overview

The `Solver` will normally spend most of its execution time running the score calculation (which is called in its deepest loops). Faster score calculation will return the same solution in less time with the same algorithm, which normally means a better solution in equal time.

5.4.2. Score calculation speed

After solving a problem, the `Solver` will log the *score calculation speed per second*. This is a good measurement of Score calculation performance, despite that it is affected by non score calculation execution time. It depends on the problem scale of the problem dataset. Normally, even for high scale problems, it is higher than `1000`, except if you are using an `EasyScoreCalculator`.



When improving your score calculation, focus on maximizing the score calculation speed, instead of maximizing the best score. A big improvement in score calculation can sometimes yield little or no best score improvement, for example when the algorithm is stuck in a local or global optima. If you are watching the calculation speed instead, score calculation improvements are far more visible.

Furthermore, watching the calculation speed allows you to remove or add score constraints, and still compare it with the original's calculation speed. Comparing the best score with the original's best score is pointless: it's comparing apples and oranges.

5.4.3. Incremental score calculation (with deltas)

When a solution changes, incremental score calculation (AKA delta based score calculation) calculates the delta with the previous state to find the new `Score`, instead of recalculating the entire score on every solution evaluation.

For example, when a single queen A moves from row `1` to `2`, it will not bother to check if queen B and C can attack each other, since neither of them changed:

Incremental score calculation

Incremental score calculation is much more scalable because only the delta is calculated.



The rule engine
(with forward chaining)
only recalculates dirty tuples.



queens	dirty		total	speedup
4	3	of	6	time / 2
8	7	of	28	time / 4
16	15	of	120	time / 8
32	31	of	496	time / 16
64	63	of	2016	time / 32
n	*n-1*	*of*	*n*(n-1)/2*	time / *(n/2)*

Similarly in employee rostering:



This is a huge performance and scalability gain. **Constraint Streams or Drools score calculation give you this huge scalability gain without forcing you to write a complicated incremental score calculation algorithm.** Just let the rule engine do the hard work.

Notice that the speedup is relative to the size of your planning problem (your *n*), making incremental score calculation far more scalable.

5.4.4. Avoid calling remote services during score calculation

Do not call remote services in your score calculation (except if you are bridging `EasyScoreCalculator` to a legacy system). The network latency will kill your score calculation performance. Cache the results of those remote services if possible.

If some parts of a constraint can be calculated once, when the `Solver` starts, and never change during solving, then turn them into cached problem facts.

5.4.5. Pointless constraints

If you know a certain constraint can never be broken (or it is always broken), do not write a score constraint for it. For example in n queens, the score calculation does not check if multiple queens occupy the same column, because a `Queen`'s `column` never changes and every solution starts with each `Queen` on a different `column`.



Do not go overboard with this. If some datasets do not use a specific constraint but

> others do, just return out of the constraint as soon as you can. There is no need to dynamically change your score calculation based on the dataset.

5.4.6. Built-in hard constraint

Instead of implementing a hard constraint, it can sometimes be built in. For example, if `Lecture` A should never be assigned to `Room` X, but it uses `ValueRangeProvider` on Solution, so the `Solver` will often try to assign it to `Room` X too (only to find out that it breaks a hard constraint). Use a ValueRangeProvider on the planning entity or filtered selection to define that Course A should only be assigned a `Room` different than X.

This can give a good performance gain in some use cases, not just because the score calculation is faster, but mainly because most optimization algorithms will spend less time evaluating infeasible solutions. However, usually this is not a good idea because there is a real risk of trading short term benefits for long term harm:

- Many optimization algorithms rely on the freedom to break hard constraints when changing planning entities, to get out of local optima.
- Both implementation approaches have limitations (feature compatibility, disabling automatic performance optimizations), as explained in their documentation.

5.4.7. Other score calculation performance tricks

- Verify that your score calculation happens in the correct `Number` type. If you are making the sum of `int` values, do not sum it in a `double` which takes longer.
- For optimal performance, always use server mode (`java -server`). We have seen performance increases of 50% by turning on server mode.
- For optimal performance, use the latest Java version. For example, in the past we have seen performance increases of 30% by switching from java 1.5 to 1.6.
- Always remember that premature optimization is the root of all evil. Make sure your design is flexible enough to allow configuration based tweaking.

5.4.8. Score trap

Make sure that none of your score constraints cause a score trap. A trapped score constraint uses the same weight for different constraint matches, when it could just as easily use a different weight. It effectively lumps its constraint matches together, which creates a flatlined score function for that constraint. This can cause a solution state in which several moves need to be done to resolve or lower the weight of that single constraint. Some examples of score traps:

- You need two doctors at each table, but you are only moving one doctor at a time. So the solver has no incentive to move a doctor to a table with no doctors. Punish a table with no doctors more than a table with only one doctor in that score constraint in the score function.
- Two exams need to be conducted at the same time, but you are only moving one exam at a time. So the solver has to move one of those exams to another timeslot without moving the other in the same move. Add a coarse-grained move that moves both exams at the same time.

For example, consider this score trap. If the blue item moves from an overloaded computer to an empty computer, the hard score should improve. The trapped score implementation fails to do that:



The Solver should eventually get out of this trap, but it will take a lot of effort (especially if there are even more processes on the overloaded computer). Before they do that, they might actually start moving more processes into that overloaded computer, as there is no penalty for doing so.



Avoiding score traps does not mean that your score function should be smart enough to avoid local optima. Leave it to the optimization algorithms to deal with the local optima.

Avoiding score traps means to avoid, for each score constraint individually, a flatlined score function.



Always specify the degree of infeasibility. The business will often say "if the solution is infeasible, it does not matter how infeasible it is." While that is true for the business, it is not true for score calculation as it benefits from knowing how infeasible it is. In practice, soft constraints usually do this naturally and it is just a matter of doing it for the hard constraints too.

There are several ways to deal with a score trap:

- Improve the score constraint to make a distinction in the score weight. For example, penalize `-1hard` for every missing CPU, instead of just `-1hard` if any CPU is missing.

- If changing the score constraint is not allowed from the business perspective, add a lower score level with a score constraint that makes such a distinction. For example, penalize `-1subsoft` for every missing CPU, on top of `-1hard` if any CPU is missing. The business ignores the subsoft score level.
- Add coarse-grained moves and union select them with the existing fine-grained moves. A coarse-grained move effectively does multiple moves to directly get out of a score trap with a single move. For example, move multiple items from the same container to another container.

5.4.9. `stepLimit` benchmark

Not all score constraints have the same performance cost. Sometimes one score constraint can kill the score calculation performance outright. Use the Benchmarker to do a one minute run and check what happens to the score calculation speed if you comment out all but one of the score constraints.

5.4.10. Fairness score constraints

Some use cases have a business requirement to provide a fair schedule (usually as a soft score constraint), for example:

- Fairly distribute the workload amongst the employees, to avoid envy.
- Evenly distribute the workload amongst assets, to improve reliability.

Implementing such a constraint can seem difficult (especially because there are different ways to formalize fairness), but usually the *squared workload* implementation behaves most desirable. For each employee/asset, count the workload `w` and subtract w^2 from the score.



As shown above, the *squared workload* implementation guarantees that if you select two employees from a given solution and make their distribution between those two employees fairer, then the resulting new solution will have a better overall score. Do not just use the difference from the average workload, as that can lead to unfairness, as demonstrated below.





Instead of the *squared workload*, it is also possible to use the variance (squared difference to the average) or the standard deviation (square root of the variance). This has no effect on the score comparison, because the average will not change during planning. It is just more work to implement (because the average needs to be known) and trivially slower (because the calculation is a bit longer).

When the workload is perfectly balanced, the user often likes to see a `0` score, instead of the distracting `-34soft` in the image above (for the last solution which is almost perfectly balanced). To nullify this, either add the average multiplied by the number of entities to the score or instead show the variance or standard deviation in the UI.

5.5. Constraint configuration: adjust constraint weights dynamically

Deciding the correct weight and level for each constraint is not easy. It often involves negotiating with different stakeholders and their priorities. Furthermore, quantifying the impact of soft constraints is often a new experience for business managers, so they'll need a number of iterations to get it right.

Don't get stuck between a rock and a hard place. Provide a UI to adjust the constraint weights and visualize the resulting solution, so the business managers can tweak the constraint weights themselves:



5.5.1. Create a constraint configuration

First, create a new class to hold the constraint weights and other constraint parameters. Annotate it with `@ConstraintConfiguration`:

```
@ConstraintConfiguration
public class ConferenceConstraintConfiguration {
    ...
}
```

There will be exactly one instance of this class per planning solution. The planning solution and the constraint configuration have a one-to-one relationship, but they serve a different purpose, so they aren't merged into a single class. A `@ConstraintConfiguration` class can extend a parent `@ConstraintConfiguration` class, which can be useful in international use cases with many regional constraints.

Add the constraint configuration on the planning solution and annotate that field or property with `@ConstraintConfigurationProvider`:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class ConferenceSolution {
```

```
    @ConstraintConfigurationProvider
    private ConferenceConstraintConfiguration constraintConfiguration;

    ...
}
```

The `@ConstraintConfigurationProvider` annotation automatically exposes the constraint configuration as a problem fact, there is no need to add a `@ProblemFactProperty` annotation.

The constraint configuration class holds the constraint weights, but it can also hold constraint parameters. For example in conference scheduling, the minimum pause constraint has a constraint weight (like any other constraint), but it also has a constraint parameter that defines the length of the minimum pause between two talks of the same speaker. That pause length depends on the conference (= the planning problem): in some big conferences 20 minutes isn't enough to go from one room to the other. That pause length is a field in the constraint configuration without a `@ConstraintWeight` annotation.

5.5.2. Add a constraint weight for each constraint

In the constraint configuration class, add a `@ConstraintWeight` field or property for each constraint:

```
@ConstraintConfiguration(constraintPackage = "...conferencescheduling.score")
public class ConferenceConstraintConfiguration {

    @ConstraintWeight("Speaker conflict")
    private HardMediumSoftScore speakerConflict = HardMediumSoftScore.ofHard(10);

    @ConstraintWeight("Theme track conflict")
    private HardMediumSoftScore themeTrackConflict = HardMediumSoftScore.ofSoft(10);
    @ConstraintWeight("Content conflict")
    private HardMediumSoftScore contentConflict = HardMediumSoftScore.ofSoft(100);

    ...
}
```

The type of the constraint weights must be the same score class as the planning solution's score member. For example in conference scheduling, `ConferenceSolution.getScore()` and `ConferenceConstraintConfiguration.getSpeakerConflict()` both return a `HardMediumSoftScore`.

A constraint weight cannot be null. Give each constraint weight a default value, but expose them in a UI so the business users can tweak them. The example above uses the `ofHard()`, `ofMedium()` and `ofSoft()` methods to do that. Notice how it defaults the *content conflict* constraint as ten times more important than the *theme track conflict* constraint. Normally, a constraint weight only uses one score level, but it's possible to use multiple score levels (at a small performance cost).

Each constraint has a constraint package and a constraint name, together they form the constraint id. These connect the constraint weight with the constraint implementation. **For each constraint weight, there must be a constraint implementation with the same package and the same**

name.

- The `@ConstraintConfiguration` annotation has a `constraintPackage` property that defaults to the package of the constraint configuration class. Cases with Constraint streams normally don't need to specify it. Cases with Drools score calculation (Deprecated) may need to override that because the DRLs often use a different package.
- The `@ConstraintWeight` annotation has a `value` which is the constraint name (for example "Speaker conflict"). It inherits the constraint package from the `@ConstraintConfiguration`, but it can override that, for example `@ConstraintWeight(constraintPackage = "…region.france", …)` to use a different constraint package than some other weights.

So every constraint weight ends up with a constraint package and a constraint name. Each constraint weight links with a constraint implementation, for example in Constraint Streams:

```
public final class ConferenceSchedulingConstraintProvider implements
ConstraintProvider {

    @Override
    public Constraint[] defineConstraints(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return new Constraint[] {
                speakerConflict(factory),
                themeTrackConflict(factory),
                contentConflict(factory),
                ...
        };
    }

    protected Constraint speakerConflict(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEachUniquePair(...)
                ...
                .penalizeConfigurable("Speaker conflict", ...);
    }

    protected Constraint themeTrackConflict(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEachUniquePair(...)
                ...
                .penalizeConfigurable("Theme track conflict", ...);
    }

    protected Constraint contentConflict(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEachUniquePair(...)
                ...
                .penalizeConfigurable("Content conflict", ...);
    }

    ...

}
```

Each of the constraint weights defines the score level and score weight of their constraint. The constraint implementation calls `rewardConfigurable()` or `penalizeConfigurable()` and the constraint weight is automatically applied.

If the constraint implementation provides a match weight, that **match weight is multiplied with the constraint weight**. For example, the *content conflict* constraint weight defaults to `100soft` and the constraint implementation penalizes each match based on the number of shared content tags and the overlapping duration of the two talks:

```
    @ConstraintWeight("Content conflict")
    private HardMediumSoftScore contentConflict = HardMediumSoftScore.ofSoft(100);
```

```
Constraint contentConflict(ConstraintFactory factory) {
    return factory.forEachUniquePair(Talk.class,
        overlapping(t -> t.getTimeslot().getStartDateTime(),
            t -> t.getTimeslot().getEndDateTime()),
        filtering((talk1, talk2) -> talk1.overlappingContentCount(talk2) > 0))
        .penalizeConfigurable("Content conflict",
                (talk1, talk2) -> talk1.overlappingContentCount(talk2)
                        * talk1.overlappingDurationInMinutes(talk2));
}
```

So when 2 overlapping talks share only 1 content tag and overlap by 60 minutes, the score is impacted by `-6000soft`. But when 2 overlapping talks share 3 content tags, the match weight is 180, so the score is impacted by `-18000soft`.

5.6. Explaining the score: which constraints are broken?

The easiest way to explain the score during development is to print the return value of `getSummary()`, but only use that method for diagnostic purposes:

```
System.out.println(scoreManager.getSummary(solution));
```

For example in conference scheduling, this prints that talk `S51` is responsible for breaking the hard constraint `Speaker required room tag`:

```
Explanation of score (-1hard/-806soft):
    Constraint match totals:
        -1hard: constraint (Speaker required room tag) has 1 matches:
            -1hard: justifications ([S51])
        -340soft: constraint (Theme track conflict) has 32 matches:
            -20soft: justifications ([S68, S66])
            -20soft: justifications ([S61, S44])
            ...
```

```
        ...
    Indictments (top 5 of 72):
        -1hard/-22soft: justification (S51) has 12 matches:
            -1hard: constraint (Speaker required room tag)
            -10soft: constraint (Theme track conflict)
            ...
        ...
```



Do not attempt to parse this string or use it in your UI or exposed services. Instead use the ConstraintMatch API below and do it properly.

In the string above, there are two previously unexplained concepts.

Justifications are user-defined objects that implement the `org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintJustification` interface, which carry meaningful information about a constraint match, such as its package, name and score.

On the other hand, *indicted objects* are objects which were directly involved in causing a constraint to match. For example, if your constraints penalize each vehicle, then there will be one `org.optaplanner.core.api.score.constraint.Indictment` instance per vehicle, carrying the vehicle as an indicted object. Indictments are typically used for heat map visualization.

5.6.1. Using score calculation outside the `Solver`

If other parts of your application, for example your webUI, need to calculate the score of a solution, use the `SolutionManager` API:

```
SolutionManager<CloudBalance, HardSoftScore> scoreManager = SolutionManager.create
(solverFactory);
ScoreExplanation<CloudBalance, HardSoftScore> scoreExplanation = scoreManager
.explainScore(cloudBalance);
```

Then use it when you need to calculate the `Score` of a solution:

```
HardSoftScore score = scoreExplanation.getScore();
```

Furthermore, the `ScoreExplanation` can help explain the score through constraint match totals and/or indictments:



5.6.2. Break down the score by constraint justification

Each constraint may be justified by a different `ConstraintJustification` implementation, but you can also choose to share them among constraints. To receive all constraint justifications regardless of their type, call:

```
List<ConstraintJustification> constraintJustificationlist = scoreExplanation
.getJustificationList();
...
```

In score DRL, justifications are always instances of `org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.DefaultConstraintJustification`, while in constraint streams, the return type can be customised, so that it can be easily serialized and sent over the wire. Such custom justifications can be queried like so:

```
List<MyConstraintJustification> constraintJustificationlist = scoreExplanation
.getJustificationList(MyConstraintJustification.class);
...
```

5.6.3. Break down the score by constraint

To break down the score per constraint, get the `ConstraintMatchTotal`s from the `ScoreExplanation`:

```
Collection<ConstraintMatchTotal<HardSoftScore>> constraintMatchTotals =
scoreExplanation.getConstraintMatchTotalMap().values();
for (ConstraintMatchTotal<HardSoftScore> constraintMatchTotal : constraintMatchTotals)
{
    String constraintName = constraintMatchTotal.getConstraintName();
    // The score impact of that constraint
    HardSoftScore totalScore = constraintMatchTotal.getScore();

    for (ConstraintMatch<HardSoftScore> constraintMatch : constraintMatchTotal
.getConstraintMatchSet()) {
        ConstraintJustification justification = constraintMatch.getJustification();
        HardSoftScore score = constraintMatch.getScore();
        ...
    }
}
```

Each `ConstraintMatchTotal` represents one constraint and has a part of the overall score. The sum of all the `ConstraintMatchTotal.getScore()` equals the overall score.



Constraint streams and Drools score calculation supports constraint matches automatically, but incremental Java score calculation requires implementing an extra interface.

5.6.4. Indictment heat map: visualize the hot planning entities

To show a heat map in the UI that highlights the planning entities and problem facts have an impact on the `Score`, get the `Indictment` map from the `ScoreExplanation`:

```
Map<Object, Indictment<HardSoftScore>> indictmentMap = scoreExplanation
.getIndictmentMap();
for (CloudProcess process : cloudBalance.getProcessList()) {
    Indictment<HardSoftScore> indictment = indictmentMap.get(process);
    if (indictment == null) {
        continue;
    }
    // The score impact of that planning entity
    HardSoftScore totalScore = indictment.getScore();

    for (ConstraintMatch<HardSoftScore> constraintMatch : indictment
.getConstraintMatchSet()) {
        String constraintName = constraintMatch.getConstraintName();
        HardSoftScore score = constraintMatch.getScore();
        ...
    }
```

```
}
```

Each `Indictment` is the sum of all constraints where that justification object is involved with. The sum of all the `Indictment.getScoreTotal()` differs from the overall score, because multiple `Indictment`s can share the same `ConstraintMatch`.



Constraint streams and Drools score calculation supports constraint matches automatically, but incremental Java score calculation requires implementing an extra interface.

5.7. Testing score constraints

It's recommended to write a unit test for each score constraint individually to check that it behaves correctly. Different score calculation types come with different tools for testing. For more, see testing Constraint Streams or testing Drools constraints.

Chapter 6. Constraint streams score calculation

Constraint streams are a Functional Programming form of incremental score calculation in plain Java that is easy to read, write and debug. The API should feel familiar if you're familiar with Java Streams or SQL.

6.1. Introduction

Using Java's Streams API, we could implement an easy score calculator that uses a functional approach:

```
    private int doNotAssignAnn() {
        int softScore = 0;
        schedule.getShiftList().stream()
                .filter(Shift::isEmployeeAnn)
                .forEach(shift -> {
                    softScore -= 1;
                });
        return softScore;
    }
```

However, that scales poorly because it doesn't do an incremental calculation: When the planning variable of a single `Shift` changes, to recalculate the score, the normal Streams API has to execute the entire stream from scratch. The ConstraintStreams API enables you to write similar code in pure Java, while reaping the performance benefits of incremental score calculation. This is an example of the same code, using the Constraint Streams API:

```
    private Constraint doNotAssignAnn(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class)
                .filter(Shift::isEmployeeAnn)
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT)
                .asConstraint("Don't assign Ann");
    }
```

This constraint stream iterates over all instances of class `Shift` in the problem facts and planning entities in the planning problem. It finds every `Shift` which is assigned to employee `Ann` and for every such instance (also called a match), it adds a soft penalty of `1` to the overall score. The following figure illustrates this process on a problem with 4 different shifts:



If any of the instances change during solving, the constraint stream automatically detects the change and only recalculates the minimum necessary portion of the problem that is affected by the change. The following figure illustrates this incremental score calculation:



ConstraintStreams API also has advanced support for score explanation through custom justifications and indictments.



6.2. Creating a constraint stream

To use the ConstraintStreams API in your project, first write a pure Java `ConstraintProvider` implementation similar to the following example.

```
public class MyConstraintProvider implements ConstraintProvider {

    @Override
    public Constraint[] defineConstraints(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return new Constraint[] {
                penalizeEveryShift(factory)
        };
    }

    private Constraint penalizeEveryShift(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class)
            .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT)
            .asConstraint("Penalize a shift");
    }

}
```



This example contains one constraint, `penalizeEveryShift(…)`. However, you can include as many as you require.

Add the following code to your solver configuration:

```
    <solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
      <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver.TimeTableConstraintProvider
</constraintProviderClass>
      </scoreDirectorFactory>
      ...
    </solver>
```

6.3. Constraint stream cardinality

Constraint stream cardinality is a measure of how many objects a single constraint match consists of. The simplest constraint stream has a cardinality of 1, meaning each constraint match only consists of 1 object. Therefore, it is called a `UniConstraintStream`:

```
    private Constraint doNotAssignAnn(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class) // Returns UniStream<Shift>.
                ...
    }
```

Some constraint stream building blocks can increase stream cardinality, such as join or groupBy:

```
    private Constraint doNotAssignAnn(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class) // Returns Uni<Shift>.
                .join(Employee.class)       // Returns Bi<Shift, Employee>.
                .join(DayOff.class)         // Returns Tri<Shift, Employee, DayOff>.
                .join(Country.class)        // Returns Quad<Shift, Employee, DayOff,
Country>.
                ...
    }
```

The latter can also decrease stream cardinality:

```
    private Constraint doNotAssignAnn(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class)             // Returns UniStream<Shift>.
                .join(Employee.class)                   // Returns BiStream<Shift,
Employee>.
```

```
                .groupBy((shift, employee) -> employee) // Returns
UniStream<Employee>.
                ...
    }
```

The following constraint stream cardinalities are currently supported:

Cardinality	Prefix	Defining interface
1	Uni	`UniConstraintStream<A>`
2	Bi	`BiConstraintStream<A, B>`
3	Tri	`TriConstraintStream<A, B, C>`
4	Quad	`QuadConstraintStream<A, B, C, D>`

6.3.1. Achieving higher cardinalities

OptaPlanner currently does not support constraint stream cardinalities higher than 4. However, with tuple mapping effectively infinite cardinality is possible:

```
    private Constraint pentaStreamExample(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class) // UniConstraintStream<Shift>
                .join(Shift.class)          // BiConstraintStream<Shift, Shift>
                .join(Shift.class)          // TriConstraintStream<Shift, Shift,
Shift>
                .join(Shift.class)          // QuadConstraintStream<Shift, Shift,
Shift, Shift>
                .map(MyTuple::of)           // UniConstraintStream<MyTuple<Shift,
Shift, Shift, Shift>>
                .join(Shift.class)          // BiConstraintStream<MyTuple<Shift,
Shift, Shift, Shift>, Shift>
                ...                         // This BiConstraintStream carries 5 Shift
elements.
    }
```



OptaPlanner does not provide any tuple implementations out of the box. It's recommended to use one of the freely available 3rd party implementations. Should a custom implementation be necessary, see guidelines for mapping functions.

6.4. Building blocks

Constraint streams are chains of different operations, called building blocks. Each constraint stream starts with a `forEach(…)` building block and is terminated by either a penalty or a reward. The following example shows the simplest possible constraint stream:

```
    private Constraint penalizeInitializedShifts(ConstraintFactory factory) {
```

```
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class)
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT)
                .asConstraint("Initialized shift");
    }
```

This constraint stream penalizes each known and initialized instance of `Shift`.

6.4.1. ForEach

The `.forEach(T)` building block selects every `T` instance that is in a problem fact collection or a planning entity collection and has no `null` genuine planning variables.

To include instances with a `null` genuine planning variable, replace the `forEach()` building block by `forEachIncludingNullVars()`:

```
    private Constraint penalizeAllShifts(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEachIncludingNullVars(Shift.class)
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT)
                .asConstraint("A shift");
    }
```



The `forEach()` building block has a legacy counterpart, `from()`. This alternative approach included instances based on the initialization status of their genuine planning variables. As an unwanted consequence, `from()` behaves unexpectedly for nullable variables. These are considered initialized even when `null`, and therefore this legacy method could still return entities with `null` variables. `from()`, `fromUnfiltered()` and `fromUniquePair()` are now deprecated and will be removed in a future major version of OptaPlanner.

6.4.2. Penalties and rewards

The purpose of constraint streams is to build up a score for a solution. To do this, every constraint stream must contain a call to either a `penalize()` or a `reward()` building block. The `penalize()` building block makes the score worse and the `reward()` building block improves the score.

Each constraint stream is then terminated by calling `asConstraint()` method, which finally builds the constraint. Constraints have several components:

- Constraint package is the Java package that contains the constraint. The default value is the package that contains the `ConstraintProvider` implementation or the value from constraint configuration, if implemented.
- Constraint name is the human-readable descriptive name for the constraint, which (together with the constraint package) must be unique within the entire `ConstraintProvider` implementation.
- Constraint weight is a constant score value indicating how much every breach of the constraint affects the score. Valid examples include `SimpleScore.ONE`, `HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD` and

`HardMediumSoftScore.of(1, 2, 3)`.

- Constraint match weigher is an optional function indicating how many times the constraint weight should be applied in the score. The penalty or reward score impact is the constraint weight multiplied by the match weight. The default value is `1`.



Constraints with zero constraint weight are automatically disabled and do not impose any performance penalty.

The ConstraintStreams API supports many different types of penalties. Browse the API in your IDE for the full list of method overloads. Here are some examples:

- Simple penalty (`penalize(SimpleScore.ONE)`) makes the score worse by `1` per every match in the constraint stream. The score type must be the same type as used on the `@PlanningScore` annotated member on the planning solution.
- Dynamic penalty (`penalize(SimpleScore.ONE, Shift::getHours)`) makes the score worse by the number of hours in every matching `Shift` in the constraint stream. This is an example of using a constraint match weigher.
- Configurable penalty (`penalizeConfigurable()`) makes the score worse using constraint weights defined in constraint configuration.
- Configurable dynamic penalty(`penalizeConfigurable(Shift::getHours)`) makes the score worse using constraint weights defined in constraint configuration, multiplied by the number of hours in every matching `Shift` in the constraint stream.

By replacing the keyword `penalize` by `reward` in the name of these building blocks, you get operations that affect score in the opposite direction.

6.4.2.1. Customizing justifications and indictments

One of important OptaPlanner features is its ability to explain the score of solutions it produced through the use of justifications and indictments. By default, each constraint is justified with `org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.DefaultConstraintJustification`, and the final tuple makes up the indicted objects. For example, in the following constraint, the indicted objects will be of type `Vehicle` and an `Integer`:

```
    protected Constraint vehicleCapacity(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Customer.class)
                .filter(customer -> customer.getVehicle() != null)
                .groupBy(Customer::getVehicle, sum(Customer::getDemand))
                .filter((vehicle, demand) -> demand > vehicle.getCapacity())
                .penalizeLong(HardSoftLongScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (vehicle, demand) -> demand - vehicle.getCapacity())
                .asConstraint("vehicleCapacity");
    }
```

For the purposes of creating a heat map, the `Vehicle` is very important, but the naked `Integer` carries no semantics. We can remove it by providing the `indictWith(...) method with a custom indictment mapping:

```
    protected Constraint vehicleCapacity(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Customer.class)
                .filter(customer -> customer.getVehicle() != null)
                .groupBy(Customer::getVehicle, sum(Customer::getDemand))
                .filter((vehicle, demand) -> demand > vehicle.getCapacity())
                .penalizeLong(HardSoftLongScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (vehicle, demand) -> demand - vehicle.getCapacity())
                .indictWith((vehicle, demand) -> List.of(vehicle))
                .asConstraint("vehicleCapacity");
    }
```

The same mechanism can also be used to transform any of the indicted objects to any other object. To present the constraint matches to the user or to send them over the wire where they can be further processed, use the `justifyWith(…)` method to provide a custom constraint justification:

```
    protected Constraint vehicleCapacity(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Customer.class)
                .filter(customer -> customer.getVehicle() != null)
                .groupBy(Customer::getVehicle, sum(Customer::getDemand))
                .filter((vehicle, demand) -> demand > vehicle.getCapacity())
                .penalizeLong(HardSoftLongScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (vehicle, demand) -> demand - vehicle.getCapacity())
                .justifyWith((vehicle, demand, score) ->
                    new VehicleDemandOveruse(vehicle, demand, score))
                .indictWith((vehicle, demand) -> List.of(vehicle))
                .asConstraint("vehicleCapacity");
    }
```

`VehicleDemandOveruse` is a custom type you have to implement. You have complete control over the type, its name or methods exposed. If you choose to decorate it with the proper annotations, you will be able to send it over HTTP or store it in a database. The only limitation is that it must implement the `org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintJustification` marker interface.

6.4.3. Filtering

Filtering enables you to reduce the number of constraint matches in your stream. It first enumerates all constraint matches and then applies a predicate to filter some matches out. The predicate is a function that only returns `true` if the match is to continue in the stream. The following constraint stream removes all of Beth's shifts from all `Shift` matches:

```
    private Constraint penalizeAnnShifts(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class)
                .filter(shift -> shift.getEmployeeName().equals("Ann"))
                .penalize(SimpleScore.ONE)
                .asConstraint("Ann's shift");
    }
```

The following example retrieves a list of shifts where an employee has asked for a day off from a bi-constraint match of `Shift` and `DayOff`:

```
    private Constraint penalizeShiftsOnOffDays(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Shift.class)
                .join(DayOff.class)
                .filter((shift, dayOff) -> shift.date == dayOff.date && shift.employee
== dayOff.employee)
                .penalize(SimpleScore.ONE)
                .asConstraint("Shift on an off-day");
    }
```

The following figure illustrates both these examples:





For performance reasons, using the join building block with the appropriate `Joiner` is preferrable when possible. Using a `Joiner` creates only the constraint matches that are necessary, while filtered join creates all possible constraint matches and only then filters some of them out.

The following functions are required for filtering constraint streams of different cardinality:

Cardinality	Filtering Predicate

1	`java.util.function.Predicate<A>`
2	`java.util.function.BiPredicate<A, B>`
3	`org.optaplanner.core.api.function.TriPredicate<A, B, C>`
4	`org.optaplanner.core.api.function.QuadPredicate<A, B, C, D>`

6.4.4. Joining

Joining is a way to increase stream cardinality and it is similar to the inner join operation in SQL. As the following figure illustrates, a `join()` creates a cartesian product of the streams being joined:



Doing this is inefficient if the resulting stream contains a lot of constraint matches that need to be filtered out immediately.

Instead, use a `Joiner` condition to restrict the joined matches only to those that are interesting:



For example:

```
import static org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.Joiners.*;

...

private Constraint shiftOnDayOff(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
    return constraintFactory.forEach(Shift.class)
            .join(DayOff.class,
                equal(Shift::getDate, DayOff::getDate),
                equal(Shift::getEmployee, DayOff::getEmployee))
            .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD)
            .asConstraint("Shift on an off-day");
}
```

Through the `Joiners` class, the following `Joiner` conditions are supported to join two streams, pairing a match from each side:

- `equal()`: the paired matches have a property that are `equals()`. This relies on `hashCode()`.
- `greaterThan()`, `greaterThanOrEqual()`, `lessThan()` and `lessThanOrEqual()`: the paired matches have a `Comparable` property following the prescribed ordering.
- `overlapping()`: the paired matches have two properties (a *start* and an *end* property) of the same

`Comparable` type that both represent an interval which overlap.

All `Joiners` methods have an overloaded method to use the same property of the same class on both stream sides. For example, calling `equal(Shift::getEmployee)` is the same as calling `equal(Shift::getEmployee, Shift::getEmployee)`.



If the other stream might match multiple times, but it must only impact the score once (for each element of the original stream), use ifExists instead. It does not create cartesian products and therefore generally performs better.

6.4.5. Grouping and collectors

Grouping collects items in a stream according to user-provider criteria (also called "group key"), similar to what a `GROUP BY` SQL clause does. Additionally, some grouping operations also accept one or more `Collector` instances, which provide various aggregation functions. The following figure illustrates a simple `groupBy()` operation:



For example, the following code snippet first groups all processes by the computer they run on, sums up all the power required by the processes on that computer using the `ConstraintCollectors.sum(…)` collector, and finally penalizes every computer whose processes consume more power than is available.

```
import static org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.ConstraintCollectors.*;
```

```
    ...

    private Constraint requiredCpuPowerTotal(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, sum(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredCpuPower))
                .filter((computer, requiredCpuPower) -> requiredCpuPower > computer
.getCpuPower())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, requiredCpuPower) -> requiredCpuPower - computer
.getCpuPower())
                .asConstraint("requiredCpuPowerTotal");
    }
```



Information might be lost during grouping. In the previous example, `filter()` and all subsequent operations no longer have direct access to the original `CloudProcess` instance.

There are several collectors available out of the box. You can also provide your own collectors by implementing the `org.optaplanner.core.api.score.stream.uni.UniConstraintCollector` interface, or its `Bi···`, `Tri···` and `Quad···` counterparts.

6.4.5.1. Out-of-the-box collectors

The following collectors are provided out of the box:

- `count()`
- `countDistinct()`
- `sum()`
- `average()`
- `min()` and `max()`
- `toList()`, `toSet()` and `toMap()`

6.4.5.1.1. `count()` collector

The `ConstraintCollectors.count(···)` counts all elements per group. For example, the following use of the collector gives a number of items for two separate groups - one where the talks have unavailable speakers, and one where they don't.

```
    private Constraint speakerAvailability(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Talk.class)
                .groupBy(Talk::hasAnyUnavailableSpeaker, count())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (hasUnavailableSpeaker, count) -> ...)
                .asConstraint("speakerAvailability");
```

```
    }
```

The count is collected in an `int`. Variants of this collector:

- `countLong()` collects a `long` value instead of an `int` value.

To count a bi, tri or quad stream, use `countBi()`, `countTri()` or `countQuad()` respectively, because - unlike the other built-in collectors - they aren't overloaded methods due to Java's generics erasure.

6.4.5.1.2. `countDistinct()` collector

The `ConstraintCollectors.countDistinct(…)` counts any element per group once, regardless of how many times it occurs. For example, the following use of the collector gives a number of talks in each unique room.

```
    private Constraint roomCount(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory.forEach(Talk.class)
                .groupBy(Talk::getRoom, countDistinct())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT,
                        (room, count) -> ...)
                .asConstraint("roomCount");
    }
```

The distinct count is collected in an `int`. Variants of this collector:

- `countDistinctLong()` collects a `long` value instead of an `int` value.

6.4.5.1.3. `sum()` collector

To sum the values of a particular property of all elements per group, use the `ConstraintCollectors.sum(…)` collector. The following code snippet first groups all processes by the computer they run on and sums up all the power required by the processes on that computer using the `ConstraintCollectors.sum(…)` collector.

```
    private Constraint requiredCpuPowerTotal(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, sum(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredCpuPower))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT,
                        (computer, requiredCpuPower) -> requiredCpuPower)
                .asConstraint("requiredCpuPowerTotal");
    }
```

The sum is collected in an `int`. Variants of this collector:

- `sumLong()` collects a `long` value instead of an `int` value.
- `sumBigDecimal()` collects a `java.math.BigDecimal` value instead of an `int` value.

- `sumBigInteger()` collects a `java.math.BigInteger` value instead of an `int` value.
- `sumDuration()` collects a `java.time.Duration` value instead of an `int` value.
- `sumPeriod()` collects a `java.time.Period` value instead of an `int` value.
- a generic `sum()` variant for summing up custom types

6.4.5.1.4. `average()` collector

To calculate the average of a particular property of all elements per group, use the `ConstraintCollectors.average(…)` collector. The following code snippet first groups all processes by the computer they run on and averages all the power required by the processes on that computer using the `ConstraintCollectors.average(…)` collector.

```
    private Constraint requiredCpuPowerTotal(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, average(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredCpuPower))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT,
                        (computer, averageCpuPower) -> averageCpuPower)
                .asConstraint("averageCpuPower");
    }
```

The average is collected as a `double`, and the average of no elements is `null`. Variants of this collector:

- `averageLong()` collects a `long` value instead of an `int` value.
- `averageBigDecimal()` collects a `java.math.BigDecimal` value instead of an `int` value, resulting in a `BigDecimal` average.
- `averageBigInteger()` collects a `java.math.BigInteger` value instead of an `int` value, resulting in a `BigDecimal` average.
- `averageDuration()` collects a `java.time.Duration` value instead of an `int` value, resulting in a `Duration` average.

6.4.5.1.5. `min()` and `max()` collectors

To extract the minimum or maximum per group, use the `ConstraintCollectors.min(…)` and `ConstraintCollectors.max(…)` collectors respectively.

These collectors operate on values of properties which are `Comparable` (such as `Integer`, `String` or `Duration`), although there are also variants of these collectors which allow you to provide your own `Comparator`.

The following example finds a computer which runs the most power-demanding process:

```
    private Constraint computerWithBiggestProcess(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory)
{
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
```

```
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, max(CloudProcess:
:getRequiredCpuPower))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, biggestProcess) -> ...)
                .asConstraint("computerWithBiggestProcess");
    }
```



`Comparator` and `Comparable` implementations used with `min(…)` and `max(…)` constraint collectors are expected to be consistent with `equals(…)`. See Javadoc for `Comparable` to learn more.

6.4.5.1.6. `toList()`, `toSet()` and `toMap()` collectors

To extract all elements per group into a collection, use the `ConstraintCollectors.toList(…)`.

The following example retrieves all processes running on a computer in a `List`:

```
    private Constraint computerWithBiggestProcess(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory)
{
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, toList())
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, processList) -> ...)
                .asConstraint("computerAndItsProcesses");
    }
```

Variants of this collector:

- `toList()` collects a `List` value.
- `toSet()` collects a `Set` value.
- `toSortedSet()` collects a `SortedSet` value.
- `toMap()` collects a `Map` value.
- `toSortedMap()` collects a `SortedMap` value.



The iteration order of elements in the resulting collection is not guaranteed to be stable, unless it is a sorted collector such as `toSortedSet` or `toSortedMap`.

6.4.5.2. Conditional collectors

The constraint collector framework enables you to create constraint collectors which will only collect in certain circumstances. This is achieved using the `ConstraintCollectors.conditionally(…)` constraint collector.

This collector accepts a predicate, and another collector to which it will delegate if the predicate is true. The following example returns a count of long-running processes assigned to a given computer, excluding processes which are not long-running:

```
    private Constraint computerWithLongRunningProcesses(ConstraintFactory
constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class)
                .groupBy(CloudProcess::getComputer, conditionally(
                        CloudProcess::isLongRunning,
                        count()
                ))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        (computer, longRunningProcessCount) -> ...)
                .asConstraint("longRunningProcesses");
    }
```

This is useful in situations where multiple collectors are used and only some of them need to be restricted. If all of them needed to be restricted in the same way, then applying a `filter()` before the grouping is preferable.

6.4.5.3. Composing collectors

The constraint collector framework enables you to create complex collectors utilizing simpler ones. This is achieved using the `ConstraintCollectors.compose(⋯)` constraint collector.

This collector accepts 2 to 4 other constraint collectors, and a function to merge their results into one. The following example builds an `average()` constraint collector using the `count` constraint collector and `sum()` constraint collector:

```
    public static <A> UniConstraintCollector<A, ?, Double>
        average(ToIntFunction<A> groupValueMapping) {
            return compose(count(), sum(groupValueMapping), (count, sum) -> {
                if (count == 0) {
                    return null;
                } else {
                    return sum / (double) count;
                }
            });
    }
```

Similarly, the `compose()` collector enables you to work around the limitation of Constraint Stream cardinality and use as many as 4 collectors in your `groupBy()` statements:

```
    UniConstraintCollector<A, ?, Triple<Integer, Integer, Integer>> collector =
        compose(count(),
                min(),
                max(),
                (count, min, max) -> Triple.of(count, min, max));
    }
```

Such a composite collector returns a `Triple` instance which allows you to access each of the sub

collectors individually.



OptaPlanner does not provide any `Pair`, `Triple` or `Quadruple` implementation out of the box.

6.4.6. Conditional propagation

Conditional propagation enables you to exclude constraint matches from the constraint stream based on the presence or absence of some other object.



The following example penalizes computers which have at least one process running:

```
    private Constraint runningComputer(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudComputer.class)
                .ifExists(CloudProcess.class, Joiners.equal(Function.identity(),
CloudProcess::getComputer))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_SOFT,
                        computer -> ...)
                .asConstraint("runningComputer");
    }
```

Note the use of the `ifExists()` building block. On `UniConstraintStream`, the `ifExistsOther()` building block is also available which is useful in situations where the `forEach()` constraint match type is the

same as the `ifExists()` type.

Conversely, if the `ifNotExists()` building block is used (as well as the `ifNotExistsOther()` building block on `UniConstraintStream`) you can achieve the opposite effect:

```
    private Constraint unusedComputer(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudComputer.class)
                .ifNotExists(CloudProcess.class, Joiners.equal(Function.identity(),
CloudProcess::getComputer))
                .penalize(HardSoftScore.ONE_HARD,
                        computer -> ...)
                .asConstraint("unusedComputer");
    }
```

Here, only the computers without processes running are penalized.

Also note the use of the `Joiner` class to limit the constraint matches. For a description of available joiners, see joining. Conditional propagation operates much like joining, with the exception of not increasing the stream cardinality. Matches from these building blocks are not available further down the stream.



For performance reasons, using conditional propagation with the appropriate `Joiner` instance is preferable to joining. While using `join()` creates a cartesian product of the facts being joined, with conditional propagation, the resulting stream only has at most the original number of constraint matches in it. Joining should only be used in cases where the other fact is actually required for another operation further down the stream.

6.4.7. Mapping tuples

Mapping enables you to transform each tuple in a constraint stream by applying a mapping function to it. The result of such mapping is `UniConstraintStream` of the mapped tuples.

```
    private Constraint computerWithBiggestProcess(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory)
{
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class) //
UniConstraintStream<CloudProcess>
                .map(CloudProcess::getComputer)           //
UniConstraintStream<CloudComputer>
                ...
    }
```



In the example above, the mapping function produces duplicate tuples if two different `CloudProcess`es share a single `CloudComputer`. That is, such `CloudComputer` appears in the resulting constraint stream twice. See `distinct()` for how to deal with duplicate tuples.

6.4.7.1. Designing the mapping function

When designing the mapping function, follow these guidelines for optimal performance:

- Keep the function pure. The mapping function should only depend on its input. That is, given the same input, it always returns the same output.
- Keep the function bijective. No two input tuples should map to the same output tuple, or to tuples that are equal. Not following this recommendation creates a constraint stream with duplicate tuples, and may force you to use `distinct()` later.
- Use immutable data carriers. The tuples returned by the mapping function should be immutable and identified by their contents and nothing else. If two tuples carry objects which equal one another, those two tuples should likewise equal and preferably be the same instance.

6.4.7.2. Dealing with duplicate tuples using `distinct()`

As a general rule, tuples in constraint streams are distinct. That is, no two tuples that equal one another. However, certain operations such as tuple mapping may produce constraint streams where that is not true.

If a constraint stream produces duplicate tuples, you can use the `distinct()` building block to have the duplicate copies eliminated.

```
    private Constraint computerWithBiggestProcess(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory)
{
        return constraintFactory.forEach(CloudProcess.class) //
UniConstraintStream<CloudProcess>
                .map(CloudProcess::getComputer)           //
UniConstraintStream<CloudComputer>
                .distinct()                               // The same, each
CloudComputer just once.
                ...
    }
```



There is a performance cost to `distinct()`. For optimal performance, don't use constraint stream operations that produce duplicate tuples, to avoid the need to call `distinct()`.

6.4.8. Flattening

Flattening enables you to transform any Java `Iterable` (such as `List` or `Set`) into a set of tuples, which are sent downstream. (Similar to Java Stream's `flatMap(…)`.) This is done by applying a mapping function to the final element in the source tuple.

```
    private Constraint requiredJobRoles(ConstraintFactory constraintFactory) {
        return constraintFactory.forEach(Person.class)              //
UniConstraintStream<Person>
                .join(Job.class,
```

```
                    equal(Function.identity(), Job::getAssignee))   //
BiConstraintStream<Person, Job>
                .flattenLast(Job::getRequiredRoles)                 //
BiConstraintStream<Person, Role>
                .filter((person, requiredRole) -> ...)
                ...
    }
```



In the example above, the mapping function produces duplicate tuples if `Job.getRequiredRoles()` contains duplicate values. Assuming that the function returns `[USER, USER, ADMIN]`, the tuple `(SomePerson, USER)` is sent downstream twice. See `distinct()` for how to deal with duplicate tuples.

6.5. Testing a constraint stream

Constraint streams include the Constraint Verifier unit testing harness. To use it, first add a test scoped dependency to the `optaplanner-test` JAR.

6.5.1. Testing constraints in isolation

Consider the following constraint stream:

```
    protected Constraint horizontalConflict(ConstraintFactory factory) {
        return factory
                .forEachUniquePair(Queen.class, equal(Queen::getRowIndex))
                .penalize(SimpleScore.ONE)
                .asConstraint("Horizontal conflict");
    }
```

The following example uses the Constraint Verifier API to create a simple unit test for the preceding constraint stream:

```
    private ConstraintVerifier<NQueensConstraintProvider, NQueens> constraintVerifier
            = ConstraintVerifier.build(new NQueensConstraintProvider(), NQueens.class,
Queen.class);

    @Test
    public void horizontalConflictWithTwoQueens() {
        Row row1 = new Row(0);
        Column column1 = new Column(0);
        Column column2 = new Column(1);
        Queen queen1 = new Queen(0, row1, column1);
        Queen queen2 = new Queen(1, row1, column2);
        constraintVerifier.verifyThat(NQueensConstraintProvider::horizontalConflict)
                .given(queen1, queen2)
                .penalizesBy(1);
```

```
    }
```

This test ensures that the horizontal conflict constraint assigns a penalty of `1` when there are two queens on the same row. The following line creates a shared `ConstraintVerifier` instance and initializes the instance with the `NQueensConstraintProvider`:

```
    private ConstraintVerifier<NQueensConstraintProvider, NQueens> constraintVerifier
            = ConstraintVerifier.build(new NQueensConstraintProvider(), NQueens.class,
Queen.class);
```

The `@Test` annotation indicates that the method is a unit test in a testing framework of your choice. Constraint Verifier works with many testing frameworks including JUnit and AssertJ.

The first part of the test prepares the test data. In this case, the test data includes two instances of the `Queen` planning entity and their dependencies (`Row`, `Column`):

```
        Row row1 = new Row(0);
        Column column1 = new Column(0);
        Column column2 = new Column(1);
        Queen queen1 = new Queen(0, row1, column1);
        Queen queen2 = new Queen(1, row1, column2);
```

Further down, the following code tests the constraint:

```
    constraintVerifier.verifyThat(NQueensConstraintProvider::horizontalConflict)
            .given(queen1, queen2)
            .penalizesBy(1);
```

The `verifyThat(…)` call is used to specify a method on the `NQueensConstraintProvider` class which is under test. This method must be visible to the test class, which the Java compiler enforces.

The `given(…)` call is used to enumerate all the facts that the constraint stream operates on. In this case, the `given(…)` call takes the `queen1` and `queen2` instances previously created. Alternatively, you can use a `givenSolution(…)` method here and provide a planning solution instead.

Finally, the `penalizesBy(…)` call completes the test, making sure that the horizontal conflict constraint, given one `Queen`, results in a penalty of `1`. This number is a product of multiplying the match weight, as defined in the constraint stream, by the number of matches.

Alternatively, you can use a `rewardsWith(…)` call to check for rewards instead of penalties. The method to use here depends on whether the constraint stream in question is terminated with a `penalize` or a `reward` building block.



`ConstraintVerifier` does not trigger variable listeners. It will neither set nor update shadow variables. If the tested constraints depend on shadow variables, it is your responsibility to assign the correct values beforehand.

6.5.2. Testing all constraints together

In addition to testing individual constraints, you can test the entire `ConstraintProvider` instance. Consider the following test:

```
    @Test
    public void givenFactsMultipleConstraints() {
        Queen queen1 = new Queen(0, row1, column1);
        Queen queen2 = new Queen(1, row2, column2);
        Queen queen3 = new Queen(2, row3, column3);
        constraintVerifier.verifyThat()
                .given(queen1, queen2, queen3)
                .scores(SimpleScore.of(-3));
    }
```

There are only two notable differences to the previous example. First, the `verifyThat()` call takes no argument here, signifying that the entire `ConstraintProvider` instance is being tested. Second, instead of either a `penalizesBy()` or `rewardsWith()` call, the `scores(…)` method is used. This runs the `ConstraintProvider` on the given facts and returns a sum of `Score`s of all constraint matches resulting from the given facts.

Using this method, you ensure that the constraint provider does not miss any constraints and that the scoring function remains consistent as your code base evolves. It is therefore necessary for the `given(…)` method to list all planning entities and problem facts, or provide the entire planning solution instead.



`ConstraintVerifier` does not trigger variable listeners. It will neither set nor update shadow variables. If the tested constraints depend on shadow variables, it is your responsibility to assign the correct values beforehand.

6.5.3. Testing in Quarkus

If you are using the `optaplanner-quarkus` extension, inject the `ConstraintVerifier` in your tests:

```
@QuarkusTest
public class MyConstraintProviderTest {
    @Inject
    ConstraintVerifier<MyConstraintProvider, MyPlanningSolution> constraintProvider;
}
```

6.5.4. Testing in Spring Boot

If you are using the `optaplanner-spring-boot-starter` module, autowire the `ConstraintVerifier` in your tests:

```
@SpringBootTest
public class MyConstraintProviderTest {
```

```
    @Autowired
    ConstraintVerifier<MyConstraintProvider, MyPlanningSolution> constraintProvider;
}
```

6.6. Variant implementation types

Constraint streams come in two flavors:

- **CS Drools** (default): fast implementation that uses Drools underneath.
- **Bavet**: even faster, more recent in-house implementation. To try it out set the `constraintStreamImplType` to `BAVET` in your solver config:

```
    <solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
      <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.acme.schooltimetabling.solver.TimeTableConstraintProvi
der</constraintProviderClass>
        <!-- BAVET is experimental -->
        <constraintStreamImplType>BAVET</constraintStreamImplType>
      </scoreDirectorFactory>
      ...
    </solver>
```

Both of these variants implement the same `ConstraintProvider` API. No Java code changes are necessary to switch between the two.

Chapter 7. Drools score calculation (Deprecated)



Drools score calculation is deprecated and will be removed in a future major version of OptaPlanner. Consider switching to Constraint Streams with the help of our migration recipe.

7.1. Overview

Implement your score calculation using the Drools rule engine. Every score constraint is written as one or more score rules.

- Advantages:
  - Incremental score calculation for free
    - Because most DRL syntax uses forward chaining, it does incremental calculation without any extra code
  - Score constraints are isolated as separate rules
    - Easy to add or edit existing score rules
  - Flexibility to augment your score constraints by
    - Defining them in decision tables
      - Excel (XLS) spreadsheet
  - Performance optimizations in future versions for free
- Disadvantages:
  - DRL learning curve
  - Usage of DRL
    - Polyglot fear can prohibit the use of a new language such as DRL in some organizations



Drools score calculation is not supported in Quarkus native mode. Consider switching to Constraint Streams.

7.2. Drools score rules configuration

There are several ways to define where your score rules live.

7.2.1. A `scoreDrl` resource on the classpath

This is the easy way. The score rules live in a DRL file which is provided as a classpath resource. Just add the score rules DRL file in the solver configuration as a `<scoreDrl>` element:

```
<scoreDirectorFactory>
```

```
    <scoreDrl>
org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nQueensConstraints.drl</scoreDrl>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

In a typical project (following the Maven directory structure), that DRL file would be located at `$PROJECT_DIR/src/main/resources/org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nQueensConstraints.drl` (even for a war project).



The `<scoreDrl>` element expects a classpath resource, as defined by `ClassLoader.getResource(String)`, it does not accept a `File`, nor a URL, nor a webapp resource. See below to use a `File` instead.

Add multiple `<scoreDrl>` elements if the score rules are split across multiple DRL files.

Optionally, you can also set drools configuration properties:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>
    <scoreDrl>
org/optaplanner/examples/nqueens/solver/nQueensConstraints.drl</scoreDrl>
    <kieBaseConfigurationProperties>
      <property name="drools.equalityBehavior" value="..." />
    </kieBaseConfigurationProperties>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```

To enable property reactive by default, without a `@propertyReactive` on the domain classes, add `<drools.propertySpecific>ALWAYS</drools.propertySpecific>` in there. Otherwise OptaPlanner automatically changes the Drools default to `ALLOWED` so property reactive is not active by default.

7.2.2. A `scoreDrlFile` element

To use `File` on the local file system, instead of a classpath resource, add the score rules DRL file in the solver configuration as a `<scoreDrlFile>` element:

```
  <scoreDirectorFactory>
    <scoreDrlFile>/home/ge0ffrey/tmp/nQueensConstraints.drl</scoreDrlFile>
  </scoreDirectorFactory>
```



For portability reasons, a classpath resource is recommended over a File. An application built on one computer, but used on another computer, might not find the file on the same location. Worse, if they use a different Operating System, it is hard to choose a portable file path.

Add multiple `<scoreDrlFile>` elements if the score rules are split across multiple DRL files.

7.3. Implementing a score rule

Here is an example of a score constraint implemented as a score rule in a DRL file:

```
rule "Horizontal conflict"
    when
        Queen($id : id, row != null, $i : rowIndex)
        Queen(id > $id, rowIndex == $i)
    then
        scoreHolder.addConstraintMatch(kcontext, -1);
end
```

This score rule will fire once for every two queens with the same `rowIndex`. The `(id > $id)` condition is needed to assure that for two queens A and B, it can only fire for (A, B) and not for (B, A), (A, A) or (B, B). Let us take a closer look at this score rule on this solution of four queens:



In this solution the `Horizontal conflict` score rule will fire for six queen couples: (A, B), (A, C), (A, D), (B, C), (B, D) and (C, D). Because none of the queens are on the same vertical or diagonal line, this solution will have a score of `-6`. An optimal solution of four queens has a score of `0`.



Notice that every score rule uses at least one planning entity class (directly or indirectly through a logically inserted fact).

It is a waste of time to write a score rule that only relates to problem facts, as the consequence will never change during planning, no matter what the possible solution.

A `ScoreHolder` instance is asserted into the `KieSession` as a global called `scoreHolder`. The score rules need to (directly or indirectly) update that instance to influence the score of a solution state.



The `kcontext` variable is a magic variable in Drools Expert. The `scoreHolder`'s method uses it to do incremental score calculation correctly and to create a `ConstraintMatch` instance.

7.4. Weighing score rules

If you've configured a constraint configuration, the score level and score weight of each constraint are beautifully decoupled from the constraint implementation, so they can be changed by the business users more easily.

In that case, use the `reward()` and `penalize()` methods of the `ScoreHolder`:

```
package org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.solver;
...
global SimpleScoreHolder scoreHolder;

rule "Horizontal conflict"
    when
        Queen($id : id, row != null, $i : rowIndex)
        Queen(id > $id, rowIndex == $i)
    then
        scoreHolder.penalize(kcontext);
end

// Vertical conflict is impossible due the model

rule "Ascending diagonal conflict"
    when
        Queen($id : id, row != null, $i : ascendingDiagonalIndex)
        Queen(id > $id, ascendingDiagonalIndex == $i)
    then
        scoreHolder.penalize(kcontext);
end

rule "Descending diagonal conflict"
    when
        Queen($id : id, row != null, $i : descendingDiagonalIndex)
        Queen(id > $id, descendingDiagonalIndex == $i)
    then
        scoreHolder.penalize(kcontext);
end
```

They automatically impact the score for each constraint match by the score weight defined in the constraint configuration.

The drl file must define a `package` (otherwise Drools defaults to `defaultpkg`) and it must match with the constraint configuration's `constraintPackage`.



To learn more about the Drools rule language (DRL), consult the Drools documentation.

The score weight of some constraints depends on the constraint match. In these cases, provide a match weight to the `reward()` or `penalize()` methods. The score impact is the constraint weight multiplied with the match weight.

For example in conference scheduling, the impact of a content conflict, depends on the number of shared content tags between 2 overlapping talks:

```
rule "Content conflict"
```

```
    when
        $talk1 : Talk(...)
        $talk2 : Talk(...)
    then
        scoreHolder.penalize(kcontext,
                $talk2.overlappingContentCount($talk1));
end
```

Presume its constraint weight is set to `100soft`. So when 2 overlapping talks share only 1 content tag, the score is impacted by `-100soft`. But when 2 overlapping talks share 3 content tags, the match weight is `3`, so the score is impacted by `-300soft`.

If there is no constraint configuration, you'll need to hard-code the weight in the constraint implementations:

```
global HardSoftScoreHolder scoreHolder;

// RoomCapacity: For each lecture, the number of students that attend the course must
be less or equal
// than the number of seats of all the rooms that host its lectures.
rule "roomCapacity"
    when
        $room : Room($capacity : capacity)
        $lecture : Lecture(room == $room, studentSize > $capacity, $studentSize :
studentSize)
    then
        // Each student above the capacity counts as one point of penalty.
        scoreHolder.addSoftConstraintMatch(kcontext, ($capacity - $studentSize));
end

// CurriculumCompactness: Lectures belonging to a curriculum should be adjacent
// to each other (i.e., in consecutive periods).
// For a given curriculum we account for a violation every time there is one lecture
not adjacent
// to any other lecture within the same day.
rule "curriculumCompactness"
    when
        ...
    then
        // Each isolated lecture in a curriculum counts as two points of penalty.
        scoreHolder.addSoftConstraintMatch(kcontext, -2);
end
```

Notice how `addSoftConstraintMatch()` specifies that it's a soft constraint, and needs a negative number to penalize each match. Otherwise it would reward such matches. The parameter `($capacity - $studentSize)` always results in a negative number because `studentSize > $capacity`.

7.5. Testing Drools-based constraints

Drools-based constraints come with a unit testing harness. To use it, first add a test scoped dependency to the `optaplanner-test` jar to take advantage of the JUnit integration and use the `ScoreVerifier` classes to test score rules in DRL (or a constraint match aware incremental score calculator). For example, suppose you want to test these score rules:

```
global HardSoftScoreHolder scoreHolder;

rule "requiredCpuPowerTotal"
    when
        ...
    then
        scoreHolder.addHardConstraintMatch(...);
end

...

rule "computerCost"
    when
        ...
    then
        scoreHolder.addSoftConstraintMatch(...);
end
```

For each score rule, create a separate `@Test` that only tests the effect of that score rule on the score:

```
public class CloudBalancingScoreConstraintTest {

    private HardSoftScoreVerifier<CloudBalance> scoreVerifier = new
HardSoftScoreVerifier<>(
            SolverFactory.createFromXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/solver/cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml"));

    @Test
    public void requiredCpuPowerTotal() {
        CloudComputer c1 = new CloudComputer(1L, 1000, 1, 1, 1);
        CloudComputer c2 = new CloudComputer(2L, 200, 1, 1, 1);
        CloudProcess p1 = new CloudProcess(1L, 700, 0, 0);
        CloudProcess p2 = new CloudProcess(2L, 70, 0, 0);
        CloudBalance solution = new CloudBalance(0L,
                Arrays.asList(c1, c2),
                Arrays.asList(p1, p2));
        // Uninitialized
        scoreVerifier.assertHardWeight("requiredCpuPowerTotal", 0, solution);
        p1.setComputer(c1);
        p2.setComputer(c1);
        // Usage 700 + 70 is within capacity 1000 of c1
```

```
        scoreVerifier.assertHardWeight("requiredCpuPowerTotal", 0, solution);
        p1.setComputer(c2);
        p2.setComputer(c2);
        // Usage 700 + 70 is above capacity 200 of c2
        scoreVerifier.assertHardWeight("requiredCpuPowerTotal", -570, solution);
    }

    ...

    @Test
    public void computerCost() {
        CloudComputer c1 = new CloudComputer(1L, 1, 1, 1, 200);
        CloudComputer c2 = new CloudComputer(2L, 1, 1, 1, 30);
        CloudProcess p1 = new CloudProcess(1L, 0, 0, 0);
        CloudProcess p2 = new CloudProcess(2L, 0, 0, 0);
        CloudBalance solution = new CloudBalance(0L,
                Arrays.asList(c1, c2),
                Arrays.asList(p1, p2));
        // Uninitialized
        scoreVerifier.assertSoftWeight("computerCost", 0, solution);
        p1.setComputer(c1);
        p2.setComputer(c1);
        // Pay 200 for c1
        scoreVerifier.assertSoftWeight("computerCost", -200, solution);
        p2.setComputer(c2);
        // Pay 200 + 30 for c1 and c2
        scoreVerifier.assertSoftWeight("computerCost", -230, solution);
    }

}
```

There is a `ScoreVerifier` implementation for each `Score` implementation. In the `assertHardWeight()` and `assertSoftWeight()` methods, the weight of the other score rules is ignored (even those of the same score level).



A ScoreVerifier does not work well to isolate score corruption, use an `assertionScoreDirectorFactory` instead.

Chapter 8. Shadow variable

8.1. Introduction

A shadow variable is a planning variable whose correct value can be deduced from the state of the genuine planning variables. Even though such a variable violates the principle of normalization by definition, in some use cases it can be very practical to use a shadow variable, especially to express the constraints more naturally. For example in vehicle routing with time windows: the arrival time at a customer for a vehicle can be calculated based on the previously visited customers of that vehicle (and the known travel times between two locations).



When the customers for a vehicle change, the arrival time for each customer is automatically adjusted. For more information, see the vehicle routing domain model.

From a score calculation perspective, a shadow variable is like any other planning variable. From an optimization perspective, OptaPlanner effectively only optimizes the genuine variables (and mostly ignores the shadow variables): it just assures that when a genuine variable changes, any dependent shadow variables are changed accordingly.



Any class that has at least one shadow variable, is a planning entity class (even if it has no genuine planning variables). That class must be defined in the solver configuration and have a `@PlanningEntity` annotation.

> A genuine planning entity class has at least one genuine planning variable, but can have shadow variables too. A shadow planning entity class has no genuine planning variables and at least one shadow planning variable.

There are several built-in shadow variables:

8.2. Bi-directional variable (inverse relation shadow variable)

Two variables are bi-directional if their instances always point to each other (unless one side points to `null` and the other side does not exist). So if A references B, then B references A.



For a non-chained planning variable, the bi-directional relationship must be a many-to-one relationship. To map a bi-directional relationship between two planning variables, annotate the source side (which is the genuine side) as a normal planning variable:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class CloudProcess {

    @PlanningVariable(...)
    public CloudComputer getComputer() {
        return computer;
    }
```

```
    public void setComputer(CloudComputer computer) {...}

}
```

And then annotate the other side (which is the shadow side) with a `@InverseRelationShadowVariable` annotation on a `Collection` (usually a `Set` or `List`) property:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class CloudComputer {

    @InverseRelationShadowVariable(sourceVariableName = "computer")
    public List<CloudProcess> getProcessList() {
        return processList;
    }

}
```

Register this class as a planning entity, otherwise OptaPlanner won't detect it and the shadow variable won't update. The `sourceVariableName` property is the name of the genuine planning variable on the return type of the getter (so the name of the genuine planning variable on the *other* side).



The shadow property, which is `Collection` (usually `List`, `Set` or `SortedSet`), can never be `null`. If no genuine variable references that shadow entity, then it is an empty collection. Furthermore it must be a mutable `Collection` because once OptaPlanner starts initializing or changing genuine planning variables, it will add and remove elements to the `Collection`s of those shadow variables accordingly.

For a chained planning variable, the bi-directional relationship is always a one-to-one relationship. In that case, the genuine side looks like this:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Customer ... {

    @PlanningVariable(graphType = PlanningVariableGraphType.CHAINED, ...)
    public Standstill getPreviousStandstill() {
        return previousStandstill;
    }
    public void setPreviousStandstill(Standstill previousStandstill) {...}

}
```

And the shadow side looks like this:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Standstill {
```

```
    @InverseRelationShadowVariable(sourceVariableName = "previousStandstill")
    public Customer getNextCustomer() {
         return nextCustomer;
    }
    public void setNextCustomer(Customer nextCustomer) {...}

}
```

Register this class as a planning entity, otherwise OptaPlanner won't detect it and the shadow variable won't update.



The input planning problem of a `Solver` must not violate bi-directional relationships. If A points to B, then B must point to A. OptaPlanner will not violate that principle during planning, but the input must not violate it either.

8.3. Anchor shadow variable

An anchor shadow variable is the anchor of a chained variable.

Annotate the anchor property as a `@AnchorShadowVariable` annotation:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Customer {

    @AnchorShadowVariable(sourceVariableName = "previousStandstill")
    public Vehicle getVehicle() {...}
    public void setVehicle(Vehicle vehicle) {...}

}
```

This class should already be registered as a planning entity. The `sourceVariableName` property is the name of the chained variable on the same entity class.

8.4. List variable shadow variables

When the planning entity uses a list variable, its elements can use a number of built-in shadow variables.

8.4.1. Inverse relation shadow variable

Use the same `@InverseRelationShadowVariable` annotation as with basic or chained planning variable to establish bi-directional relationship between the entity and the elements assigned to its list variable. The type of the inverse shadow variable is the planning entity itself because there is a one-to-many relationship between the entity and the element classes.

The planning entity side has a genuine list variable:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Vehicle {

    @PlanningListVariable
    public List<Customer> getCustomers() {
        return customers;
    }

    public void setCustomers(List<Customer> customers) {...}
}
```

On the element side:

- Annotate the class with `@PlanningEntity` to make it a shadow planning entity.
- Register this class as a planning entity, otherwise OptaPlanner won't detect it and the shadow variable won't update.
- Create a property with the genuine planning entity type.
- Annotate it with `@InverseRelationShadowVariable` and set `sourceVariableName` to the name of the genuine planning list variable.

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Customer {

    @InverseRelationShadowVariable(sourceVariableName = "customers")
    public Vehicle getVehicle() {
        return vehicle;
    }

    public void setVehicle(Vehicle vehicle) {...}
}
```

8.4.2. Previous and next element shadow variable

Use `@PreviousElementShadowVariable` or `@NextElementShadowVariable` to get a reference to an element that is assigned to the same entity's list variable one index lower (previous element) or one index higher (next element).



The previous and next element shadow variables may be `null` even in a fully initialized solution. The first element's previous shadow variable is `null` and the last element's next shadow variable is `null`.

The planning entity side has a genuine list variable:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Vehicle {
```

```
    @PlanningListVariable
    public List<Customer> getCustomers() {
        return customers;
    }

    public void setCustomers(List<Customer> customers) {...}
}
```

On the element side:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Customer {

    @PreviousElementShadowVariable(sourceVariableName = "customers")
    public Customer getPreviousCustomer() {
        return previousCustomer;
    }

    public void setPreviousCustomer(Customer previousCustomer) {...}

    @NextElementShadowVariable(sourceVariableName = "customers")
    public Customer getNextCustomer() {
        return nextCustomer;
    }

    public void setNextCustomer(Customer nextCustomer) {...}
```

8.5. Custom `VariableListener`

To update a shadow variable, OptaPlanner uses a `VariableListener`. To define a custom shadow variable, write a custom `VariableListener`: implement the interface and annotate it on the shadow variable that needs to change.

```
    @PlanningVariable(...)
    public Standstill getPreviousStandstill() {
        return previousStandstill;
    }

    @ShadowVariable(
            variableListenerClass = VehicleUpdatingVariableListener.class,
            sourceVariableName = "previousStandstill")
    public Vehicle getVehicle() {
        return vehicle;
    }
```

Register this class as a planning entity if it isn't already. Otherwise OptaPlanner won't detect it and the shadow variable won't update.

The `sourceVariableName` is the (genuine or shadow) variable that triggers changes to the annotated shadow variable. If the source variable is declared on a different class than the annotated shadow variable's class, also specify the `sourceEntityClass` and make sure the shadow variable's class is registered as a planning entity.

Implement the `VariableListener` interface. For example, the `VehicleUpdatingVariableListener` assures that every `Customer` in a chain has the same `Vehicle`, namely the chain's anchor.

```
public class VehicleUpdatingVariableListener implements VariableListener
<VehicleRoutingSolution, Customer> {

    public void afterEntityAdded(ScoreDirector<VehicleRoutingSolution> scoreDirector,
Customer customer) {
        updateVehicle(scoreDirector, customer);
    }

    public void afterVariableChanged(ScoreDirector<VehicleRoutingSolution>
scoreDirector, Customer customer) {
        updateVehicle(scoreDirector, customer);
    }

    ...

    protected void updateVehicle(ScoreDirector<VehicleRoutingSolution> scoreDirector,
Customer sourceCustomer) {
        Standstill previousStandstill = sourceCustomer.getPreviousStandstill();
        Vehicle vehicle = previousStandstill == null ? null : previousStandstill
.getVehicle();
        Customer shadowCustomer = sourceCustomer;
        while (shadowCustomer != null && shadowCustomer.getVehicle() != vehicle) {
            scoreDirector.beforeVariableChanged(shadowCustomer, "vehicle");
            shadowCustomer.setVehicle(vehicle);
            scoreDirector.afterVariableChanged(shadowCustomer, "vehicle");
            shadowCustomer = shadowCustomer.getNextCustomer();
        }
    }

}
```



A `VariableListener` can only change shadow variables. It must never change a genuine planning variable or a problem fact.



Any change of a shadow variable must be told to the `ScoreDirector` with `before*()` and `after*()` methods.

8.5.1. Multiple source variables

If your custom variable listener needs multiple source variables to compute the shadow variable,

annotate the shadow variable with multiple `@ShadowVariable` annotations, one per each source variable.

```
    @PlanningVariable(...)
    public ExecutionMode getExecutionMode() {
        return executionMode;
    }

    @PlanningVariable(...)
    public Integer getDelay() {
        return delay;
    }

    @ShadowVariable(
            variableListenerClass = PredecessorsDoneDateUpdatingVariableListener.
class,
            sourceVariableName = "executionMode")
    @ShadowVariable(
            variableListenerClass = PredecessorsDoneDateUpdatingVariableListener.
class,
            sourceVariableName = "delay")
    public Integer getPredecessorsDoneDate() {
        return predecessorsDoneDate;
    }
```

8.5.2. Piggyback shadow variable

If one `VariableListener` changes two or more shadow variables (because having two separate `VariableListener`s would be inefficient), then annotate only the first shadow variable with `@ShadowVariable` and specify the `variableListenerClass` there. Use `@PiggybackShadowVariable` on each shadow variable updated by that variable listener and reference the first shadow variable:

```
    @PlanningVariable(...)
    public Standstill getPreviousStandstill() {
        return previousStandstill;
    }

    @ShadowVariable(
            variableListenerClass = TransportTimeAndCapacityUpdatingVariableListener
.class,
            sourceVariableName = "previousStandstill")
    public Integer getTransportTime() {
        return transportTime;
    }

    @PiggybackShadowVariable(shadowVariableName = "transportTime")
    public Integer getCapacity() {
        return capacity;
```

```
    }
```

8.5.3. Shadow variable cloning

A shadow variable's value (just like a genuine variable's value) isn't planning cloned by the default solution cloner, unless it can easily prove that it must be planning cloned (for example the property type is a planning entity class). Specifically shadow variables of type `List`, `Set`, `Collection` or `Map` usually need to be planning cloned to avoid corrupting the best solution when the working solution changes. To planning clone a shadow variable, add `@DeepPlanningClone` annotation:

```
    @DeepPlanningClone
    @ShadowVariable(...)
    private Map<LocalDateTime, Integer> usedManHoursPerDayMap;
```

8.6. VariableListener triggering order

All shadow variables are triggered by a `VariableListener`, regardless if it's a built-in or a custom shadow variable. The genuine and shadow variables form a graph, that determines the order in which the `afterEntityAdded()`, `afterVariableChanged()` and `afterEntityRemoved()` methods are called:





In the example above, D could have also been ordered after E (or F) because there

> is no direct or indirect dependency between D and E (or F).

OptaPlanner guarantees that:

- The first `VariableListener`'s `after*()` methods trigger *after* the last genuine variable has changed. Therefore the genuine variables (A and B in the example above) are guaranteed to be in a consistent state across all its instances (with values A1, A2 and B1 in the example above) because the entire `Move` has been applied.
- The second `VariableListener`'s `after*()` methods trigger *after* the last first shadow variable has changed. Therefore the first shadow variable (C in the example above) are guaranteed to be in a consistent state across all its instances (with values C1 and C2 in the example above). And of course the genuine variables too.
- And so forth.

OptaPlanner does not guarantee the order in which the `after*()` methods are called for the *same*`VariableListener` with different parameters (such as A1 and A2 in the example above), although they are likely to be in the order in which they were affected.

By default, OptaPlanner does not guarantee that the events are unique. For example, if a shadow variable on an entity is changed twice in the same move (for example by two different genuine variables), then that will cause the same event twice on the `VariableListener`s that are listening to that original shadow variable. To avoid dealing with that complexity, overwrite the method `requiresUniqueEntityEvents()` to receive unique events at the cost of a small performance penalty:

```
public class StartTimeUpdatingVariableListener implements VariableListener
<TaskAssigningSolution, Task> {

    @Override
    public boolean requiresUniqueEntityEvents() {
        return true;
    }

    ...
}
```

Chapter 9. Optimization algorithms

9.1. Search space size in the real world

The number of possible solutions for a planning problem can be mind blowing. For example:

- Four queens has 256 possible solutions (4^4) and two optimal solutions.
- Five queens has 3125 possible solutions (5^5) and one optimal solution.
- Eight queens has 16777216 possible solutions (8^8) and 92 optimal solutions.
- 64 queens has more than 10^115 possible solutions (64^64).
- Most real-life planning problems have an incredible number of possible solutions and only one or a few optimal solutions.

For comparison: the minimal number of atoms in the known universe (10^80). As a planning problem gets bigger, the search space tends to blow up really fast. Adding only one extra planning entity or planning value can heavily multiply the running time of some algorithms.



Calculating the number of possible solutions depends on the design of the domain model:





This search space size calculation includes infeasible solutions (if they can be represented by the model), because:

- The optimal solution might be infeasible.
- There are many types of hard constraints that cannot be incorporated in the formula practically. For example, in Cloud Balancing, try incorporating the CPU capacity constraint in the formula.

Even in cases where adding some of the hard constraints in the formula is practical (for example, Course Scheduling), the resulting search space is still huge.

An algorithm that checks every possible solution (even with pruning, such as in Branch And Bound) can easily run for billions of years on a single real-life planning problem. The aim is to find the best solution in the available timeframe. Planning competitions (such as the International Timetabling Competition) show that Local Search variations (Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing, Late Acceptance, ...) usually perform best for real-world problems given real-world time limitations.

9.2. Does OptaPlanner find the optimal solution?

The business wants the optimal solution, but they also have other requirements:

- Scale out: Large production data sets must not crash and have also good results.

- Optimize the right problem: The constraints must match the actual business needs.
- Available time: The solution must be found in time, before it becomes useless to execute.
- Reliability: Every data set must have at least a decent result (better than a human planner).

Given these requirements, and despite the promises of some salesmen, it is usually impossible for anyone or anything to find the optimal solution. Therefore, OptaPlanner focuses on finding the best solution in available time. In "realistic, independent competitions", it often comes out as the best *reusable* software.

The nature of NP-complete problems make scaling a prime concern.



The quality of a result from a small data set is no indication of the quality of a result from a large data set.

Scaling issues cannot be mitigated by hardware purchases later on. Start testing with a production sized data set as soon as possible. Do not assess quality on small data sets (unless production encounters only such data sets). Instead, solve a production sized data set and compare the results of longer executions, different algorithms and - if available - the human planner.

9.3. Architecture overview

OptaPlanner is the first framework to combine optimization algorithms (metaheuristics, ...) with score calculation by a rule engine (such as Drools). This combination is very efficient, because:

- A rule engine, such as Drools, is **great for calculating the score** of a solution of a planning problem. It makes it easy and scalable to add additional soft or hard constraints. It does incremental score calculation (deltas) without any extra code. However it tends to be not suitable to actually find new solutions.
- An optimization algorithm is **great at finding new improving solutions** for a planning problem, without necessarily brute-forcing every possibility. However, it needs to know the score of a solution and offers no support in calculating that score efficiently.



9.4. Optimization algorithms overview

OptaPlanner supports three *families* of optimization algorithms: Exhaustive Search, Construction Heuristics and Metaheuristics. In practice, Metaheuristics (in combination with Construction Heuristics to initialize) are the recommended choice:



Each of these algorithm families have multiple optimization algorithms:

Table 4. Optimization Algorithms Overview

Algorithm	Scalable?	Optimal?	Easy to use?	Tweakable?	Requires CH?
Exhaustive Search (ES)					
Brute Force	0/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	No
Branch And Bound	0/5	5/5	4/5	2/5	No
Construction heuristics (CH)					
First Fit	5/5	1/5	5/5	1/5	No
First Fit Decreasing	5/5	2/5	4/5	2/5	No
Weakest Fit	5/5	2/5	4/5	2/5	No
Weakest Fit Decreasing	5/5	2/5	4/5	2/5	No
Strongest Fit	5/5	2/5	4/5	2/5	No
Strongest Fit Decreasing	5/5	2/5	4/5	2/5	No
Cheapest Insertion	3/5	2/5	5/5	2/5	No
Regret Insertion	3/5	2/5	5/5	2/5	No
Metaheuristics (MH)					

Algorithm	Scalable?	Optimal?	Easy to use?	Tweakable?	Requires CH?
Local Search (LS)					
Hill Climbing	5/5	2/5	4/5	3/5	Yes
Tabu Search	5/5	4/5	3/5	5/5	Yes
Simulated Annealing	5/5	4/5	2/5	5/5	Yes
Late Acceptance	5/5	4/5	3/5	5/5	Yes
Great Deluge	5/5	4/5	3/5	5/5	Yes
Step Counting Hill Climbing	5/5	4/5	3/5	5/5	Yes
Variable Neighborhood Descent	3/5	3/5	2/5	5/5	Yes
Evolutionary Algorithms (EA)					
Evolutionary Strategies	3/5	3/5	2/5	5/5	Yes
Genetic Algorithms	3/5	3/5	2/5	5/5	Yes

To learn more about metaheuristics, see Essentials of Metaheuristics or Clever Algorithms.

9.5. Which optimization algorithms should I use?

The best optimization algorithms configuration to use depends heavily on your use case. However, this basic procedure provides a good starting configuration that will produce better than average results.

1. Start with a quick configuration that involves little or no configuration and optimization code: See First Fit.
2. Next, implement planning entity difficulty comparison and turn it into First Fit Decreasing.
3. Next, add Late Acceptance behind it:
    a. First Fit Decreasing.
    b. Late Acceptance.

At this point, the return on invested time lowers and the result is likely to be sufficient.

However, this can be improved at a lower return on invested time. Use the Benchmarker and try a couple of different Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing and Late Acceptance configurations, for example:

1. First Fit Decreasing: Tabu Search.

Use the Benchmarker to improve the values for the size parameters.

Other experiments can also be run. For example, the following multiple algorithms can be combined together:

1. First Fit Decreasing
2. Late Acceptance (relatively long time)
3. Tabu Search (relatively short time)

9.6. Power tweaking or default parameter values

Many optimization algorithms have parameters that affect results and scalability. OptaPlanner applies *configuration by exception*, so all optimization algorithms have default parameter values. This is very similar to the Garbage Collection parameters in a JVM: most users have no need to tweak them, but power users often do.

The default parameter values are sufficient for many cases (and especially for prototypes), but if development time allows, it may be beneficial to power tweak them with the benchmarker for better results and scalability on a specific use case. The documentation for each optimization algorithm also declares the advanced configuration for power tweaking.



The default value of parameters will change between minor versions, to improve them for most users. The advanced configuration can be used to prevent unwanted changes, however, this is not recommended.

9.7. Solver phase

A `Solver` can use multiple optimization algorithms in sequence. **Each optimization algorithm is represented by one solver `Phase`.** There is never more than one `Phase` solving at the same time.



Some `Phase` implementations can combine techniques from multiple optimization algorithms, but it is still just one `Phase`. For example: a Local Search `Phase` can do Simulated Annealing with entity Tabu.

Here is a configuration that runs three phases in sequence:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <constructionHeuristic>
    ... <!-- First phase: First Fit Decreasing -->
  </constructionHeuristic>
  <localSearch>
    ... <!-- Second phase: Late Acceptance -->
  </localSearch>
  <localSearch>
    ... <!-- Third phase: Tabu Search -->
  </localSearch>
</solver>
```

The solver phases are run in the order defined by solver configuration.

- When the first `Phase` terminates, the second `Phase` starts, and so on.
- When the last `Phase` terminates, the `Solver` terminates.

Usually, a `Solver` will first run a construction heuristic and then run one or multiple metaheuristics:



If no phases are configured, OptaPlanner will default to a Construction Heuristic phase followed by a Local Search phase.

Some phases (especially construction heuristics) will terminate automatically. Other phases (especially metaheuristics) will only terminate if the `Phase` is configured to terminate:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <termination><!-- Solver termination -->
    <secondsSpentLimit>90</secondsSpentLimit>
  </termination>
  <localSearch>
    <termination><!-- Phase termination -->
      <secondsSpentLimit>60</secondsSpentLimit><!-- Give the next phase a chance to
```

```
run too, before the Solver terminates -->
    </termination>
    ...
  </localSearch>
  <localSearch>
    ...
  </localSearch>
</solver>
```

If the `Solver` terminates (before the last `Phase` terminates itself), the current phase is terminated and all subsequent phases will not run.

9.8. Scope overview

A solver will iteratively run phases. Each phase will usually iteratively run steps. Each step, in turn, usually iteratively runs moves. These form four nested scopes:

1. Solver
2. Phase
3. Step
4. Move



Configure logging to display the log messages of each scope.

9.9. Termination

Not all phases terminate automatically and may take a significant amount of time. A `Solver` can be terminated synchronously by up-front configuration, or asynchronously from another thread.

Metaheuristic phases in particular need to be instructed to stop solving. This can be because of a number of reasons, for example, if the time is up, or the perfect score has been reached just before its solution is used. Finding the optimal solution cannot be relied on (unless you know the optimal score), because a metaheuristic algorithm is generally unaware of the optimal solution.

This is not an issue for real-life problems, as finding the optimal solution may take more time than is available. Finding the best solution in the available time is the most important outcome.



If no termination is configured (and a metaheuristic algorithm is used), the `Solver` will run forever, until terminateEarly() is called from another thread. This is especially common during real-time planning.

For synchronous termination, configure a `Termination` on a `Solver` or a `Phase` when it needs to stop. The built-in implementations of these should be sufficient, but custom terminations are supported too. Every `Termination` can calculate a *time gradient* (needed for some optimization algorithms), which is a ratio between the time already spent solving and the estimated entire solving time of the `Solver` or `Phase`.

9.9.1. Time spent termination

Terminates when an amount of time has been used.

```
<termination>
  <!-- 2 minutes and 30 seconds in ISO 8601 format P[n]Y[n]M[n]DT[n]H[n]M[n]S -->
  <spentLimit>PT2M30S</spentLimit>
</termination>
```

Alternatively to a `java.util.Duration` in ISO 8601 format, you can also use:

- Milliseconds

```
<termination>
  <millisecondsSpentLimit>500</millisecondsSpentLimit>
</termination>
```

- Seconds

```
<termination>
  <secondsSpentLimit>10</secondsSpentLimit>
```

```
  </termination>
```

- Minutes

```
  <termination>
    <minutesSpentLimit>5</minutesSpentLimit>
  </termination>
```

- Hours

```
  <termination>
    <hoursSpentLimit>1</hoursSpentLimit>
  </termination>
```

- Days

```
  <termination>
    <daysSpentLimit>2</daysSpentLimit>
  </termination>
```

Multiple time types can be used together, for example to configure 150 minutes, either configure it directly:

```
<termination>
  <minutesSpentLimit>150</minutesSpentLimit>
</termination>
```

Or use a combination that sums up to 150 minutes:

```
<termination>
  <hoursSpentLimit>2</hoursSpentLimit>
  <minutesSpentLimit>30</minutesSpentLimit>
</termination>
```



This `Termination` will most likely sacrifice perfect reproducibility (even with `environmentMode REPRODUCIBLE`) because the available CPU time differs frequently between runs:

- The available CPU time influences the number of steps that can be taken, which might be a few more or less.
- The `Termination` might produce slightly different time gradient values, which will send time gradient-based algorithms (such as Simulated Annealing) on a radically different path.

9.9.2. Unimproved time spent termination

Terminates when the best score has not improved in a specified amount of time. Each time a new best solution is found, the timer basically resets.

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <!-- 2 minutes and 30 seconds in ISO 8601 format P[n]Y[n]M[n]DT[n]H[n]M[n]S -->
    <unimprovedSpentLimit>PT2M30S</unimprovedSpentLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

Alternatively to a `java.util.Duration` in ISO 8601 format, you can also use:

- Milliseconds

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <unimprovedMillisecondsSpentLimit>500</unimprovedMillisecondsSpentLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

- Seconds

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <unimprovedSecondsSpentLimit>10</unimprovedSecondsSpentLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

- Minutes

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <unimprovedMinutesSpentLimit>5</unimprovedMinutesSpentLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

- Hours

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <unimprovedHoursSpentLimit>1</unimprovedHoursSpentLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

- Days

```
  <localSearch>
    <termination>
      <unimprovedDaysSpentLimit>1</unimprovedDaysSpentLimit>
    </termination>
  </localSearch>
```

Just like time spent termination, combinations are summed up.

It is preffered to configure this termination on a specific `Phase` (such as `<localSearch>`) instead of on the `Solver` itself.



This `Termination` will most likely sacrifice perfect reproducibility (even with `environmentMode REPRODUCIBLE`) as the available CPU time differs frequently between runs:

- The available CPU time influences the number of steps that can be taken, which might be a few more or less.
- The `Termination` might produce slightly different time gradient values, which will send time gradient based algorithms (such as Simulated Annealing) on a radically different path.

Optionally, configure a score difference threshold by which the best score must improve in the specified time. For example, if the score doesn't improve by at least `100` soft points every 30 seconds or less, it terminates:

```
  <localSearch>
    <termination>
      <unimprovedSecondsSpentLimit>30</unimprovedSecondsSpentLimit>
      <unimprovedScoreDifferenceThreshold>
0hard/100soft</unimprovedScoreDifferenceThreshold>
    </termination>
  </localSearch>
```

If the score improves by 1 hard point and drops 900 soft points, it's still meets the threshold, because `1hard/-900soft` is larger than the threshold `0hard/100soft`.

On the other hand, a threshold of `1hard/0soft` is not met by any new best solution that improves 1 hard point at the expense of 1 or more soft points, because `1hard/-100soft` is smaller than the threshold `1hard/0soft`.

To require a feasibility improvement every 30 seconds while avoiding the pitfall above, use a wildcard `*` for lower score levels that are allowed to deteriorate if a higher score level improves:

```
  <localSearch>
    <termination>
```

```
      <unimprovedSecondsSpentLimit>30</unimprovedSecondsSpentLimit>
      <unimprovedScoreDifferenceThreshold>
1hard/*soft</unimprovedScoreDifferenceThreshold>
    </termination>
  </localSearch>
```

This effectively implies a threshold of `1hard/-2147483648soft`, because it relies on `Integer.MIN_VALUE`.

9.9.3. BestScoreTermination

`BestScoreTermination` terminates when a certain score has been reached. Use this `Termination` where the perfect score is known, for example for four queens (which uses a SimpleScore):

```
  <termination>
    <bestScoreLimit>0</bestScoreLimit>
  </termination>
```

A planning problem with a HardSoftScore may look like this:

```
  <termination>
    <bestScoreLimit>0hard/-5000soft</bestScoreLimit>
  </termination>
```

A planning problem with a BendableScore with three hard levels and one soft level may look like this:

```
  <termination>
    <bestScoreLimit>[0/0/0]hard/[-5000]soft</bestScoreLimit>
  </termination>
```

In this instance, `Termination` once a feasible solution has been reached is not practical because it requires a `bestScoreLimit` such as `0hard/-2147483648soft`. Use the next termination instead.

9.9.4. BestScoreFeasibleTermination

Terminates as soon as a feasible solution has been discovered.

```
  <termination>
    <bestScoreFeasible>true</bestScoreFeasible>
  </termination>
```

This `Termination` is usually combined with other terminations.

9.9.5. StepCountTermination

Terminates when a number of steps has been reached. This is useful for hardware performance independent runs.

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <stepCountLimit>100</stepCountLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

This `Termination` can only be used for a `Phase` (such as `<localSearch>`), not for the `Solver` itself.

9.9.6. UnimprovedStepCountTermination

Terminates when the best score has not improved in a number of steps. This is useful for hardware performance independent runs.

```
<localSearch>
  <termination>
    <unimprovedStepCountLimit>100</unimprovedStepCountLimit>
  </termination>
</localSearch>
```

If the score has not improved recently, it is unlikely to improve in a reasonable timeframe. It has been observed that once a new best solution is found (even after a long time without improvement on the best solution), the next few steps tend to improve the best solution.

This `Termination` can only be used for a `Phase` (such as `<localSearch>`), not for the `Solver` itself.

9.9.7. ScoreCalculationCountTermination

`ScoreCalculationCountTermination` terminates when a number of score calculations have been reached. This is often the sum of the number of moves and the number of steps. This is useful for benchmarking.

```
<termination>
  <scoreCalculationCountLimit>100000</scoreCalculationCountLimit>
</termination>
```

Switching EnvironmentMode can heavily impact when this termination ends.

9.9.8. Combining multiple terminations

Terminations can be combined, for example: terminate after `100` steps or if a score of `0` has been reached:

```
<termination>
  <terminationCompositionStyle>OR</terminationCompositionStyle>
  <bestScoreLimit>0</bestScoreLimit>
  <stepCountLimit>100</stepCountLimit>
</termination>
```

Alternatively you can use `AND`, for example: terminate after reaching a feasible score of at least `-100` and no improvements in `5` steps:

```
<termination>
  <terminationCompositionStyle>AND</terminationCompositionStyle>
  <bestScoreLimit>-100</bestScoreLimit>
  <unimprovedStepCountLimit>5</unimprovedStepCountLimit>
</termination>
```

This example ensures it does not just terminate after finding a feasible solution, but also completes any obvious improvements on that solution before terminating.

9.9.9. Asynchronous termination from another thread

Asynchronous termination cannot be configured by a `Termination` as it is impossible to predict when and if it will occur. For example, a user action or a server restart could require a solver to terminate earlier than predicted.

To terminate a solver from another thread asynchronously call the `terminateEarly()` method from another thread:

```
solver.terminateEarly();
```

The solver then terminates at its earliest convenience. After termination, the `Solver.solve(Solution)` method returns in the solver thread (which is the original thread that called it).



When an `ExecutorService` shuts down, it interrupts all threads in its thread pool.

To guarantee a graceful shutdown of a thread pool that contains solver threads, an *interrupt* of a solver thread has the same effect as calling `Solver.terminateEarly()` explicitly.

9.10. `SolverEventListener`

Each time a new best solution is found, a new `BestSolutionChangedEvent` is fired in the `Solver` thread.

To listen to such events, add a `SolverEventListener` to the `Solver`:

```
public interface Solver<Solution_> {
    ...

    void addEventListener(SolverEventListener<S> eventListener);
    void removeEventListener(SolverEventListener<S> eventListener);

}
```

The `BestSolutionChangedEvent`'s `newBestSolution` may not be initialized or feasible. Use the `isFeasible()` method on `BestSolutionChangedEvent`'s new best `Score` to detect such cases:

```
    solver.addEventListener(new SolverEventListener<CloudBalance>() {
        public void bestSolutionChanged(BestSolutionChangedEvent<CloudBalance> event)
{
            // Ignore infeasible (including uninitialized) solutions
            if (event.getNewBestSolution().getScore().isFeasible()) {
                ...
            }
        }
    });
```

Use `Score.isSolutionInitialized()` instead of `Score.isFeasible()` to only ignore uninitialized solutions, but also accept infeasible solutions.



The `bestSolutionChanged()` method is called in the solver's thread, as part of `Solver.solve()`. So it should return quickly to avoid slowing down the solving.

9.11. Custom solver phase

Run a custom optimization algorithm between phases or before the first phase to initialize the solution, or to get a better score quickly. You will still want to reuse the score calculation. For example, to implement a custom Construction Heuristic without implementing an entire `Phase`.



Most of the time, a custom solver phase is not worth the development time investment. The supported Constructions Heuristics are configurable (use the Benchmarker to tweak them), `Termination` aware and support partially initialized solutions too.

The `CustomPhaseCommand` interface appears as follows:

```
public interface CustomPhaseCommand<Solution_> {
    ...

    void changeWorkingSolution(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector);
```

```
}
```

For example, implement `CustomPhaseCommand` and its `changeWorkingSolution()` method:

```
public class ToOriginalMachineSolutionInitializer extends AbstractCustomPhaseCommand
<MachineReassignment> {

    public void changeWorkingSolution(ScoreDirector<MachineReassignment>
scoreDirector) {
        MachineReassignment machineReassignment = scoreDirector.getWorkingSolution();
        for (MrProcessAssignment processAssignment : machineReassignment
.getProcessAssignmentList()) {
            scoreDirector.beforeVariableChanged(processAssignment, "machine");
            processAssignment.setMachine(processAssignment.getOriginalMachine());
            scoreDirector.afterVariableChanged(processAssignment, "machine");
            scoreDirector.triggerVariableListeners();
        }
    }

}
```



Any change on the planning entities in a `CustomPhaseCommand` must be notified to the `ScoreDirector`.



Do not change any of the problem facts in a `CustomPhaseCommand`. That will corrupt the `Solver` because any previous score or solution was for a different problem. To do that, read about repeated planning and do it with a ProblemChange instead.

Configure the `CustomPhaseCommand` in the solver configuration:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <customPhase>

<customPhaseCommandClass>org.optaplanner.examples.machinereassignment.solver.solution.
initializer.ToOriginalMachineSolutionInitializer</customPhaseCommandClass>
  </customPhase>
  ... <!-- Other phases -->
</solver>
```

Configure multiple `customPhaseCommandClass` instances to run them in sequence.



If the changes of a `CustomPhaseCommand` do not result in a better score, the best solution will not be changed (so effectively nothing will have changed for the next

Phase or CustomPhaseCommand).



If the Solver or a Phase wants to terminate while a CustomPhaseCommand is still running, it waits to terminate until the CustomPhaseCommand is complete. This may take a significant amount of time. The built-in solver phases do not have this issue.

To configure values of a CustomPhaseCommand dynamically in the solver configuration (so the Benchmarker can tweak those parameters), add the customProperties element and use custom properties:

```
<customPhase>
  <customPhaseCommandClass>...MyCustomPhase</customPhaseCommandClass>
  <customProperties>
    <property name="mySelectionSize" value="5"/>
  </customProperties>
</customPhase>
```

9.12. No change solver phase

In rare cases, it's useful not to run any solver phases. But by default, configuring no phase will trigger running the default phases. To avoid those, configure a NoChangePhase:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <noChangePhase/>
</solver>
```

9.13. Multithreaded solving

There are several ways of doing multithreaded solving:

- **Multitenancy**: solve different datasets in parallel
  - The SolverManager will make it even easier to set this up, in a future version.
- **Multi bet solving**: solve 1 dataset with multiple, isolated solvers and take the best result.
  - Not recommended: This is a marginal gain for a high cost of hardware resources.
  - Use the Benchmarker during development to determine the most appropriate algorithm, although that's only on average.
  - Use multithreaded incremental solving instead.
- **Partitioned Search**: Split 1 dataset in multiple parts and solve them independently.
  - Configure a Partitioned Search.

- **Multithreaded incremental solving**: solve 1 dataset with multiple threads without sacrificing incremental score calculation.
  - Donate a portion of your CPU cores to OptaPlanner to scale up the score calculation speed and get the same results in fraction of the time.
  - Configure multithreaded incremental solving.





A logging level of `debug` or `trace` might cause congestion multithreaded solving and slow down the score calculation speed.

9.13.1. `@PlanningId`

For some functionality (such as multithreaded solving and real-time planning), OptaPlanner needs to map problem facts and planning entities to an ID. OptaPlanner uses that ID to *rebase* a move from one thread's solution state to another's.

To enable such functionality, specify the `@PlanningId` annotation on the identification field or getter method, for example on the database ID:

```
public class CloudComputer {

    @PlanningId
    private Long id;
```

```
    ...
}
```

Or alternatively, on another type of ID:

```
public class User {

    @PlanningId
    private String username;

    ...
}
```

A `@PlanningId` property must be:

- Unique for that specific class
  - It does not need to be unique across different problem fact classes (unless in that rare case that those classes are mixed in the same value range or planning entity collection).
- An instance of a type that implements `Object.hashCode()` and `Object.equals()`.
  - It's recommended to use the type `Integer`, `int`, `Long`, `long`, `String` or `UUID`.
- Never `null` by the time `Solver.solve()` is called.

9.13.2. Custom thread factory (WildFly, Android, GAE, ...)

The `threadFactoryClass` allows to plug in a custom `ThreadFactory` for environments where arbitrary thread creation should be avoided, such as most application servers (including WildFly), Android, or Google App Engine.

Configure the `ThreadFactory` on the solver to create the move threads and the Partition Search threads with it:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <threadFactoryClass>...MyAppServerThreadFactory</threadFactoryClass>
  ...
</solver>
```

9.13.3. Multithreaded incremental solving

Enable multithreaded incremental solving by adding a @PlanningId annotation on every planning entity class and planning value class. Then configure a `moveThreadCount`:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <moveThreadCount>AUTO</moveThreadCount>
  ...
</solver>
```

That one extra line heavily improves the score calculation speed, presuming that your machine has enough free CPU cores.

Advanced configuration:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <moveThreadCount>4</moveThreadCount>
  <moveThreadBufferSize>10</moveThreadBufferSize>
  <threadFactoryClass>...MyAppServerThreadFactory</threadFactoryClass>
  ...
</solver>
```

A `moveThreadCount` of `4` saturates almost `5` CPU cores: the 4 move threads fill up 4 CPU cores completely and the solver thread uses most of another CPU core.

The following `moveThreadCount`s are supported:

- `NONE` (default): Don't run any move threads. Use the single threaded code.
- `AUTO`: Let OptaPlanner decide how many move threads to run in parallel. On machines or containers with little or no CPUs, this falls back to the single threaded code.
- Static number: The number of move threads to run in parallel.

  ```
  <moveThreadCount>4</moveThreadCount>
  ```

  This can be `1` to enforce running the multithreaded code with only 1 move thread (which is less efficient than `NONE`).

It is counter-effective to set a `moveThreadCount` that is higher than the number of available CPU cores, as that will slow down the score calculation speed. One good reason to do it anyway, is to reproduce a bug of a high-end production machine.



Multithreaded solving is *still reproducible*, as long as the resolved `moveThreadCount` is stable. A run of the same solver configuration on 2 machines with a different number of CPUs, is still reproducible, unless the `moveThreadCount` is set to `AUTO` or a function of `availableProcessorCount`.

The `moveThreadBufferSize` power tweaks the number of moves that are selected but won't be foraged. Setting it too low reduces performance, but setting it too high too. Unless you're deeply familiar with the inner workings of multithreaded solving, don't configure this parameter.

To run in an environment that doesn't like arbitrary thread creation, use `threadFactoryClass` to plug in a custom thread factory.

Chapter 10. Move and neighborhood selection

10.1. Move and neighborhood introduction

10.1.1. What is a `Move`?

A `Move` is a change (or set of changes) from a solution A to a solution B. For example, the move below changes queen `C` from row `0` to row `2`:



The new solution is called a *neighbor* of the original solution, because it can be reached in a single `Move`. Although a single move can change multiple queens, the neighbors of a solution should always be a very small subset of all possible solutions. For example, on that original solution, these are all possible `changeMove`s:



If we ignore the four `changeMove`s that have no impact and are therefore not doable, we can see that the number of moves is `n * (n - 1) = 12`. This is far less than the number of possible solutions, which is `n ^ n = 256`. As the problem scales out, the number of possible moves increases far less than the number of possible solutions.

Yet, in four `changeMove`s or less we can reach any solution. For example we can reach a very different solution in three `changeMove`s:





There are many other types of moves besides `changeMove`s. Many move types are included out-of-the-box, but you can also implement custom moves.

A `Move` can affect multiple entities or even create/delete entities. But it must not change the problem facts.

All optimization algorithms use `Move`s to transition from one solution to a neighbor solution. Therefore, all the optimization algorithms are confronted with `Move` selection: the craft of creating and iterating moves efficiently and the art of finding the most promising subset of random moves to evaluate first.

10.1.2. What is a `MoveSelector`?

A `MoveSelector`'s main function is to create `Iterator<Move>` when needed. An optimization algorithm will iterate through a subset of those moves.

Here's an example how to configure a `changeMoveSelector` for the optimization algorithm Local Search:

```
<localSearch>
  <changeMoveSelector/>
  ...
</localSearch>
```

Out of the box, this works and all properties of the `changeMoveSelector` are defaulted sensibly (unless that fails fast due to ambiguity). On the other hand, the configuration can be customized significantly for specific use cases. For example: you might want to configure a filter to discard pointless moves.

10.1.3. Subselecting of entities, values, and other moves

To create a `Move`, a `MoveSelector` needs to select one or more planning entities and/or planning values to move. Just like `MoveSelector`s, `EntitySelector`s and `ValueSelector`s need to support a similar feature set (such as scalable just-in-time selection). Therefore, they all implement a common interface `Selector` and they are configured similarly.

A MoveSelector is often composed out of `EntitySelector`s, `ValueSelector`s or even other `MoveSelector`s, which can be configured individually if desired:

```
<unionMoveSelector>
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <entitySelector>
      ...
    </entitySelector>
    <valueSelector>
      ...
    </valueSelector>
    ...
  </changeMoveSelector>
  <swapMoveSelector>
    ...
  </swapMoveSelector>
</unionMoveSelector>
```

Together, this structure forms a `Selector` tree:



The root of this tree is a `MoveSelector` which is injected into the optimization algorithm implementation to be (partially) iterated in every step.

10.2. Generic `MoveSelectors`

10.2.1. Generic `MoveSelectors` overview

Name	Description	`toString()` example
Change move	Change 1 entity's variable	`Process-A {Computer-1 -> Computer-2}`
Swap move	Swap all variables of 2 entities	`Process-A {Computer-1} <-> Process-B {Computer-2}`
Pillar change move	Change a set of entities with the same value	`[Process-A, Process-B, Process-C] {Computer-1 -> Computer-2}`
Pillar swap move	Swap 2 sets of entities with the same values	`[Process-A, Process-B, Process-C] {Computer-1} <-> [Process-E, Process-F] {Computer-2}`
SubList change move	Move a list variable subList from one entity to another	`|2| {Vehicle-2[1..3] -> Vehicle-4[1]}`
SubList swap move	Swap 2 list variable subLists between 2 entities	`{Vehicle-5[1..3]} <-> {Vehicle-1[1..6]}`
Tail chain swap move	Swap 2 tails chains	`Visit-A5 {Visit-A4} <-tailChainSwap-> Visit-B3 {Visit-B2}`
Sub chain change move	Cut a subchain and paste it into another chain	`[Visit-A5..Visit-A8] {Visit-A4 -> Visit-B2}`
Sub chain swap move	Swap 2 subchains	`[Visit-A5..Visit-A8] {Visit-A4} <-> [Visit-B3..Visit-B9] {Visit-B2}`

10.2.2. `ChangeMoveSelector`

For one planning variable, the `ChangeMove` selects one planning entity and one planning value and assigns the entity's variable to that value.



Simplest configuration:

```
<changeMoveSelector/>
```

If there are multiple entity classes or multiple planning variables for one entity class, a simple configuration will automatically unfold into a union of `ChangeMove` selectors for every planning variable.

Advanced configuration:

```
<changeMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <entitySelector>
    <entityClass>...Lecture</entityClass>
    ...
  </entitySelector>
  <valueSelector variableName="room">
    ...
    <nearbySelection>...</nearbySelection>
  </valueSelector>
</changeMoveSelector>
```

A ChangeMove is the finest grained move.



Almost every moveSelector configuration injected into a metaheuristic algorithm should include a changeMoveSelector. This guarantees that every possible solution can be reached in theory through applying a number of moves in sequence. Of course, normally it is unioned with other, more coarse grained move selectors.

This move selector only supports phase or solver caching if it doesn't apply on a chained variable.

10.2.3. SwapMoveSelector

The SwapMove selects two different planning entities and swaps the planning values of all their planning variables.



Although a SwapMove on a single variable is essentially just two ChangeMoves, it's often the winning step in cases that the first of the two ChangeMoves would not win because it leaves the solution in a state with broken hard constraints. For example: swapping the room of two lectures doesn't bring the solution in an intermediate state where both lectures are in the same room which breaks a hard constraint.

Simplest configuration:

```
<swapMoveSelector/>
```

If there are multiple entity classes, a simple configuration will automatically unfold into a union of `SwapMove` selectors for every entity class.

Advanced configuration:

```
<swapMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <entitySelector>
    <entityClass>...Lecture</entityClass>
    ...
  </entitySelector>
  <secondaryEntitySelector>
    <entityClass>...Lecture</entityClass>
    ...
    <nearbySelection>...</nearbySelection>
  </secondaryEntitySelector>
  <variableNameIncludes>
    <variableNameInclude>room</variableNameInclude>
    <variableNameInclude>...</variableNameInclude>
  </variableNameIncludes>
</swapMoveSelector>
```

The `secondaryEntitySelector` is rarely needed: if it is not specified, entities from the same `entitySelector` are swapped.

If one or more `variableNameInclude` properties are specified, not all planning variables will be swapped, but only those specified. For example for course scheduling, specifying only `variableNameInclude` room will make it only swap room, not period.

This move selector only supports phase or solver caching if it doesn't apply on any chained variables.

10.2.4. Pillar-based move selectors

A *pillar* is a set of planning entities which have the same planning value(s) for their planning variable(s).

10.2.4.1. `PillarChangeMoveSelector`

The `PillarChangeMove` selects one entity pillar (or subset of those) and changes the value of one variable (which is the same for all entities) to another value.



In the example above, queen A and C have the same value (row 0) and are moved to row 2. Also the yellow and blue process have the same value (computer Y) and are moved to computer X.

Simplest configuration:

```
    <pillarChangeMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
    <pillarChangeMoveSelector>
      <subPillarType>SEQUENCE</subPillarType>

<subPillarSequenceComparatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nurserostering.domain.Shift
AssignmentComparator</subPillarSequenceComparatorClass>
      ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
      <pillarSelector>
        <entitySelector>
          <entityClass>...ShiftAssignment</entityClass>
          ...
        </entitySelector>
        <minimumSubPillarSize>1</minimumSubPillarSize>
        <maximumSubPillarSize>1000</maximumSubPillarSize>
      </pillarSelector>
```

```
      <valueSelector variableName="room">
        ...
      </valueSelector>
    </pillarChangeMoveSelector>
```

For a description of `subPillarType` and related properties, please refer to Subpillars.

The other properties are explained in changeMoveSelector. This move selector does not support phase or solver caching and step caching scales badly memory wise.

10.2.4.2. `PillarSwapMoveSelector`

The `PillarSwapMove` selects two different entity pillars and swaps the values of all their variables for all their entities.



Simplest configuration:

```
    <pillarSwapMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
    <pillarSwapMoveSelector>
      <subPillarType>SEQUENCE</subPillarType>
```

```
<subPillarSequenceComparatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nurserostering.domain.Shift
AssignmentComparator</subPillarSequenceComparatorClass>
      ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
      <pillarSelector>
        <entitySelector>
          <entityClass>...ShiftAssignment</entityClass>
          ...
        </entitySelector>
        <minimumSubPillarSize>1</minimumSubPillarSize>
        <maximumSubPillarSize>1000</maximumSubPillarSize>
      </pillarSelector>
      <secondaryPillarSelector>
        <entitySelector>
          ...
        </entitySelector>
        ...
      </secondaryPillarSelector>
      <variableNameIncludes>
        <variableNameInclude>employee</variableNameInclude>
        <variableNameInclude>...</variableNameInclude>
      </variableNameIncludes>
    </pillarSwapMoveSelector>
```

For a description of `subPillarType` and related properties, please refer to sub pillars.

The `secondaryPillarSelector` is rarely needed: if it is not specified, entities from the same `pillarSelector` are swapped.

The other properties are explained in swapMoveSelector and pillarChangeMoveSelector. This move selector does not support phase or solver caching and step caching scales badly memory wise.

10.2.4.3. Sub pillars

A sub pillar is a subset of entities that share the same value(s) for their variable(s). For example if queen A, B, C and D are all located on row 0, they are a pillar and `[A, D]` is one of the many sub pillars.

There are several ways how sub pillars can be selected by the `subPillarType` property:

- `ALL` (default) selects all possible sub pillars.
- `SEQUENCE` limits selection of sub pillars to Sequential sub pillars.
- `NONE` never selects any sub pillars.

If sub pillars are enabled, the pillar itself is also included and the properties `minimumSubPillarSize` (defaults to `1`) and `maximumSubPillarSize` (defaults to `infinity`) limit the size of the selected (sub) pillar.



The number of sub pillars of a pillar is exponential to the size of the pillar. For

example a pillar of size 32 has `(2^32 - 1)` subpillars. Therefore a `pillarSelector` only supports JIT random selection (which is the default).

10.2.4.3.1. Sequential sub pillars

Sub pillars can be sorted with a `Comparator`. A sequential sub pillar is a continuous subset of its sorted base pillar.

For example if a nurse has shifts on Monday (`M`), Tuesday (`T`), and Wednesday (`W`), they are a pillar and only the following are its sequential sub pillars: `[M], [T], [W], [M, T], [T, W], [M, T, W]`. But `[M, W]` is not a sub pillar in this case, as there is a gap on Tuesday.

Sequential sub pillars apply to both Pillar change move and Pillar swap move. A minimal configuration looks like this:

```
    <pillar...MoveSelector>
      <subPillarType>SEQUENCE</subPillarType>
    </pillar...MoveSelector>
```

In this case, the entity being operated on must implement the `Comparable` interface. The size of sub pillars will not be limited in any way.

An advanced configuration looks like this:

```
    <pillar...MoveSelector>
      ...
      <subPillarType>SEQUENCE</subPillarType>

<subPillarSequenceComparatorClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nurserostering.domain.Shift
AssignmentComparator</subPillarSequenceComparatorClass>
      <pillarSelector>
        ...
        <minimumSubPillarSize>1</minimumSubPillarSize>
        <maximumSubPillarSize>1000</maximumSubPillarSize>
      </pillarSelector>
      ...
    </pillar...MoveSelector>
```

In this case, the entity being operated on need not be `Comparable`. The given `subPillarSequenceComparatorClass` is used to establish the sequence instead. Also, the size of the sub pillars is limited in length of up to 1000 entities.

10.2.5. Move selectors for list variables

A *subList* is a sequence of elements in a specific entity's list variable between `fromIndex` and `toIndex`.

10.2.5.1. SubListChangeMoveSelector

The SubListChangeMoveSelector selects a source subList by selecting a source entity and the source subList's fromIndex and toIndex. Then it selects a destination entity and a destinationIndex in the destination entity's list variable. Selecting these parameters results in a SubListChangeMove that removes the source subList elements from the source entity and adds them to the destination entity's list variable at the destinationIndex.

Simplest configuration:

```
<subListChangeMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<subListChangeMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <minimumSubListSize>2</minimumSubListSize>
  <maximumSubListSize>40</maximumSubListSize>
  <selectReversingMoveToo>true</selectReversingMoveToo>
</subListChangeMoveSelector>
```

10.2.5.2. SubListSwapMoveSelector

The SubListSwapMoveSelector selects a left subList by selecting a left entity and the left subList's fromIndex and toIndex. Then it selects a right subList by selecting a right entity and the right subList's fromIndex and toIndex. Selecting these parameters results in a SubListSwapMove that swaps the right and left subLists between right and left entities.

Simplest configuration:

```
<subListSwapMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<subListSwapMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <minimumSubListSize>2</minimumSubListSize>
  <maximumSubListSize>40</maximumSubListSize>
  <selectReversingMoveToo>true</selectReversingMoveToo>
</subListSwapMoveSelector>
```

10.2.5.3. KOptListMoveSelector

The KOptListMoveSelector considers the list variable to be a graph whose edges are the consecutive elements of the list (with the last element being consecutive to the first element). A KOptListMove selects an entity, remove k edges from its list variable, and add k new edges from the removed

edges' endpoints. This move may reverse segments of the graph.



Simplest configuration:

```
<kOptListMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<kOptListMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <minimumK>2</minimumK>
  <maximumK>4</maximumK>
</kOptListMoveSelector>
```

10.2.6. Move selectors for chained variables

10.2.6.1. `TailChainSwapMoveSelector` or 2-opt

A *tailChain* is a set of planning entities with a chained planning variable which form the last part of a chain. The `tailChainSwapMove` selects a tail chain and swaps it with the tail chain of another planning value (in a different or the same anchor chain). If the targeted planning value, doesn't have a tail chain, it swaps with nothing (resulting in a change like move). If it occurs within the

same anchor chain, a partial chain reverse occurs. In academic papers, this is often called a 2-opt move.

Simplest configuration:

```
<tailChainSwapMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<tailChainSwapMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <entitySelector>
    <entityClass>...Customer</entityClass>
    ...
  </entitySelector>
  <valueSelector variableName="previousStandstill">
    ...
    <nearbySelection>...</nearbySelection>
  </valueSelector>
</tailChainSwapMoveSelector>
```

The `entitySelector` selects the start of the tail chain that is being moved. The `valueSelector` selects to where that tail chain is moved. If it has a tail chain itself, that is moved to the location of the original tail chain. It uses a `valueSelector` instead of a `secondaryEntitySelector` to be able to include all possible 2opt moves (such as moving to the end of a tail) and to work correctly with nearby selection (because of asymmetric distances and also swapped entity distance gives an incorrect selection probability).



Although `subChainChangeMoveSelector` and `subChainSwapMoveSelector` include almost every possible `tailChainSwapMove`, experiments have shown that focusing on `tailChainSwapMove`s increases efficiency.

This move selector does not support phase or solver caching.

10.2.6.2. SubChainChangeMoveSelector

A *subChain* is a set of planning entities with a chained planning variable which form part of a chain. The `subChainChangeMoveSelector` selects a subChain and moves it to another place (in a different or the same anchor chain).

Simplest configuration:

```
<subChainChangeMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<subChainChangeMoveSelector>
  ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
  <entityClass>...Customer</entityClass>
  <subChainSelector>
    <valueSelector variableName="previousStandstill">
      ...
    </valueSelector>
    <minimumSubChainSize>2</minimumSubChainSize>
    <maximumSubChainSize>40</maximumSubChainSize>
  </subChainSelector>
  <valueSelector variableName="previousStandstill">
    ...
  </valueSelector>
  <selectReversingMoveToo>true</selectReversingMoveToo>
</subChainChangeMoveSelector>
```

The `subChainSelector` selects a number of entities, no less than `minimumSubChainSize` (defaults to `1`) and no more than `maximumSubChainSize` (defaults to `infinity`).



If `minimumSubChainSize` is `1` (which is the default), this selector might select the same move as a `ChangeMoveSelector`, at a far lower selection probability (because each move *type* has the same selection chance by default (not every move instance) and there are far more `SubChainChangeMove` instances than `ChangeMove` instances). However, don't just remove the `ChangeMoveSelector`, because experiments show that it's good to focus on `ChangeMove`s.

Furthermore, in a `SubChainSwapMoveSelector`, setting `minimumSubChainSize` prevents swapping a subchain of size `1` with a subchain of size `2` or more.

The `selectReversingMoveToo` property (defaults to true) enables selecting the reverse of every subchain too.

This move selector does not support phase or solver caching and step caching scales badly memory wise.

10.2.6.3. `SubChainSwapMoveSelector`

The `subChainSwapMoveSelector` selects two different subChains and moves them to another place in a different or the same anchor chain.

Simplest configuration:

```
<subChainSwapMoveSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<subChainSwapMoveSelector>
```

```
    ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
    <entityClass>...Customer</entityClass>
    <subChainSelector>
      <valueSelector variableName="previousStandstill">
        ...
      </valueSelector>
      <minimumSubChainSize>2</minimumSubChainSize>
      <maximumSubChainSize>40</maximumSubChainSize>
    </subChainSelector>
    <secondarySubChainSelector>
      <valueSelector variableName="previousStandstill">
        ...
      </valueSelector>
      <minimumSubChainSize>2</minimumSubChainSize>
      <maximumSubChainSize>40</maximumSubChainSize>
    </secondarySubChainSelector>
    <selectReversingMoveToo>true</selectReversingMoveToo>
  </subChainSwapMoveSelector>
```

The `secondarySubChainSelector` is rarely needed: if it is not specified, entities from the same `subChainSelector` are swapped.

The other properties are explained in subChainChangeMoveSelector. This move selector does not support phase or solver caching and step caching scales badly memory wise.

10.3. Combining multiple `MoveSelector`s

10.3.1. `unionMoveSelector`

A `unionMoveSelector` selects a `Move` by selecting one of its `MoveSelector` children to supply the next `Move`.

Simplest configuration:

```
  <unionMoveSelector>
    <...MoveSelector/>
    <...MoveSelector/>
    <...MoveSelector/>
    ...
  </unionMoveSelector>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
  <unionMoveSelector>
    ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <fixedProbabilityWeight>...</fixedProbabilityWeight>
      ...
```

```
      </changeMoveSelector>
      <swapMoveSelector>
        <fixedProbabilityWeight>...</fixedProbabilityWeight>
        ...
      </swapMoveSelector>
      <...MoveSelector>
        <fixedProbabilityWeight>...</fixedProbabilityWeight>
        ...
      </...MoveSelector>
      ...
      <selectorProbabilityWeightFactoryClass>
...ProbabilityWeightFactory</selectorProbabilityWeightFactoryClass>
    </unionMoveSelector>
```

The `selectorProbabilityWeightFactory` determines in `selectionOrder` `RANDOM` how often a `MoveSelector` child is selected to supply the next Move. By default, each `MoveSelector` child has the same chance of being selected.



Change the `fixedProbabilityWeight` of such a child to select it more often. For example, the `unionMoveSelector` can return a `SwapMove` twice as often as a `ChangeMove`:

```
    <unionMoveSelector>
      <changeMoveSelector>
        <fixedProbabilityWeight>1.0</fixedProbabilityWeight>
```

```
      ...
    </changeMoveSelector>
    <swapMoveSelector>
      <fixedProbabilityWeight>2.0</fixedProbabilityWeight>
      ...
    </swapMoveSelector>
  </unionMoveSelector>
```

The number of possible `ChangeMove`s is very different from the number of possible `SwapMove`s and furthermore it's problem dependent. To give each individual `Move` the same selection chance (as opposed to each `MoveSelector`), use the `FairSelectorProbabilityWeightFactory`:

```
  <unionMoveSelector>
    <changeMoveSelector/>
    <swapMoveSelector/>

<selectorProbabilityWeightFactoryClass>org.optaplanner.core.impl.heuristic.selector.co
mmon.decorator.FairSelectorProbabilityWeightFactory</selectorProbabilityWeightFactoryC
lass>
  </unionMoveSelector>
```

10.3.2. `cartesianProductMoveSelector`

A `cartesianProductMoveSelector` selects a new `CompositeMove`. It builds that `CompositeMove` by selecting one `Move` per `MoveSelector` child and adding it to the `CompositeMove`.

Simplest configuration:

```
  <cartesianProductMoveSelector>
    <...MoveSelector/>
    <...MoveSelector/>
    <...MoveSelector/>
    ...
  </cartesianProductMoveSelector>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
  <cartesianProductMoveSelector>
    ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
    <changeMoveSelector>
      ...
    </changeMoveSelector>
    <swapMoveSelector>
      ...
    </swapMoveSelector>
    <...MoveSelector>
      ...
```

```
      </...MoveSelector>
      ...
      <ignoreEmptyChildIterators>true</ignoreEmptyChildIterators>
    </cartesianProductMoveSelector>
```

The `ignoreEmptyChildIterators` property (true by default) will ignore every empty `childMoveSelector` to avoid returning no moves. For example: a cartesian product of `changeMoveSelector` A and B, for which B is empty (because all it's entities are pinned) returns no move if `ignoreEmptyChildIterators` is `false` and the moves of A if `ignoreEmptyChildIterators` is `true`.

To enforce that two child selectors use the same entity or value efficiently, use mimic selection, not move filtering.

10.4. EntitySelector

Simplest configuration:

```
      <entitySelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
      <entitySelector>
        ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
        <entityClass>
org.optaplanner.examples.curriculumcourse.domain.Lecture</entityClass>
      </entitySelector>
```

The `entityClass` property is only required if it cannot be deduced automatically because there are multiple entity classes.

10.5. ValueSelector

Simplest configuration:

```
      <valueSelector/>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
      <valueSelector variableName="room">
        ... <!-- Normal selector properties -->
      </valueSelector>
```

The `variableName` property is only required if it cannot be deduced automatically because there are multiple variables (for the related entity class).

In exotic Construction Heuristic configurations, the `entityClass` from the `EntitySelector` sometimes needs to be downcasted, which can be done with the property `downcastEntityClass`:

```
    <valueSelector variableName="period">
      <downcastEntityClass>...LeadingExam</downcastEntityClass>
    </valueSelector>
```

If a selected entity cannot be downcasted, the `ValueSelector` is empty for that entity.

10.6. General `Selector` features

10.6.1. `CacheType`: create moves ahead of time or just in time

A `Selector`'s `cacheType` determines when a selection (such as a `Move`, an entity, a value, ...) is created and how long it lives.

Almost every `Selector` supports setting a `cacheType`:

```
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
    ...
  </changeMoveSelector>
```

The following `cacheType`s are supported:

- `JUST_IN_TIME` (default, recommended): Not cached. Construct each selection (`Move`, ...) just before it's used. This scales up well in memory footprint.
- `STEP`: Cached. Create each selection (`Move`, ...) at the beginning of a step and cache them in a list for the remainder of the step. This scales up badly in memory footprint.
- `PHASE`: Cached. Create each selection (`Move`, ...) at the beginning of a solver phase and cache them in a list for the remainder of the phase. Some selections cannot be phase cached because the list changes every step. This scales up badly in memory footprint, but has a slight performance gain.
- `SOLVER`: Cached. Create each selection (`Move`, ...) at the beginning of a `Solver` and cache them in a list for the remainder of the `Solver`. Some selections cannot be solver cached because the list changes every step. This scales up badly in memory footprint, but has a slight performance gain.

A `cacheType` can be set on composite selectors too:

```
  <unionMoveSelector>
    <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
    <changeMoveSelector/>
    <swapMoveSelector/>
    ...
```

```
    </unionMoveSelector>
```

Nested selectors of a cached selector cannot be configured to be cached themselves, unless it's a higher `cacheType`. For example: a `STEP` cached `unionMoveSelector` can contain a `PHASE` cached `changeMoveSelector`, but it cannot contain a `STEP` cached `changeMoveSelector`.

10.6.2. `SelectionOrder`: original, sorted, random, shuffled, or probabilistic

A `Selector`'s `selectionOrder` determines the order in which the selections (such as `Move`s, entities, values, ...) are iterated. An optimization algorithm will usually only iterate through a subset of its `MoveSelector`'s selections, starting from the start, so the `selectionOrder` is critical to decide which `Move`s are actually evaluated.

Almost every `Selector` supports setting a `selectionOrder`:

```
    <changeMoveSelector>
      ...
      <selectionOrder>RANDOM</selectionOrder>
      ...
    </changeMoveSelector>
```

The following `selectionOrder`s are supported:

- `ORIGINAL`: Select the selections (`Move`s, entities, values, ...) in default order. Each selection will be selected only once.
  - For example: A0, A1, A2, A3, ..., B0, B1, B2, B3, ..., C0, C1, C2, C3, ...
- SORTED: Select the selections (`Move`s, entities, values, ...) in sorted order. Each selection will be selected only once. Requires `cacheType >= STEP`. Mostly used on an `entitySelector` or `valueSelector` for construction heuristics. See sorted selection.
  - For example: A0, B0, C0, ..., A2, B2, C2, ..., A1, B1, C1, ...
- RANDOM (default): Select the selections (`Move`s, entities, values, ...) in non-shuffled random order. A selection might be selected multiple times. This scales up well in performance because it does not require caching.
  - For example: C2, A3, B1, C2, A0, C0, ...
- SHUFFLED: Select the selections (`Move`s, entities, values, ...) in shuffled random order. Each selection will be selected only once. Requires `cacheType >= STEP`. This scales up badly in performance, not just because it requires caching, but also because a random number is generated for each element, even if it's not selected (which is the grand majority when scaling up).
  - For example: C2, A3, B1, A0, C0, ...
- PROBABILISTIC: Select the selections (`Move`s, entities, values, ...) in random order, based on the selection probability of each element. A selection with a higher probability has a higher chance to be selected than elements with a lower probability. A selection might be selected multiple times. Requires `cacheType >= STEP`. Mostly used on an `entitySelector` or `valueSelector`. See

probabilistic selection.

- For example: B1, B1, A1, B2, B1, C2, B1, B1, ...

A `selectionOrder` can be set on composite selectors too.



When a `Selector` is cached, all of its nested `Selector`s will naturally default to `selectionOrder ORIGINAL`. Avoid overwriting the `selectionOrder` of those nested `Selector`s.

10.6.3. Recommended combinations of `CacheType` and `SelectionOrder`

10.6.3.1. Just in time random selection (default)

This combination is great for big use cases (10 000 entities or more), as it scales up well in memory footprint and performance. Other combinations are often not even viable on such sizes. It works for smaller use cases too, so it's a good way to start out. It's the default, so this explicit configuration of `cacheType` and `selectionOrder` is actually obsolete:

```
    <unionMoveSelector>
      <cacheType>JUST_IN_TIME</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>RANDOM</selectionOrder>

      <changeMoveSelector/>
      <swapMoveSelector/>
    </unionMoveSelector>
```

Here's how it works. When `Iterator<Move>.next()` is called, a child `MoveSelector` is randomly selected (1), which creates a random `Move` (2, 3, 4) and is then returned (5):



Notice that **it never creates a list of `Move`s** and it generates random numbers only for `Move`s that are actually selected.

10.6.3.2. Cached shuffled selection

This combination often wins for small use cases (1000 entities or less). Beyond that size, it scales up badly in memory footprint and performance.

```
<unionMoveSelector>
  <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
  <selectionOrder>SHUFFLED</selectionOrder>

  <changeMoveSelector/>
  <swapMoveSelector/>
</unionMoveSelector>
```

Here's how it works: At the start of the phase (or step depending on the `cacheType`), all moves are created (1) and cached (2). When `MoveSelector.iterator()` is called, the moves are shuffled (3). When `Iterator<Move>.next()` is called, the next element in the shuffled list is returned (4):



Notice that **each `Move` will only be selected once**, even though they are selected in random order.

Use cacheType PHASE if none of the (possibly nested) Selectors require `STEP`. Otherwise, do something like this:

```
<unionMoveSelector>
  <cacheType>STEP</cacheType>
  <selectionOrder>SHUFFLED</selectionOrder>

  <changeMoveSelector>
    <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
  </changeMoveSelector>
  <swapMoveSelector>
    <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
  </swapMoveSelector>
  <pillarSwapMoveSelector/><!-- Does not support cacheType PHASE -->
</unionMoveSelector>
```

10.6.3.3. Cached random selection

This combination is often a worthy competitor for medium use cases, especially with fast stepping optimization algorithms (such as Simulated Annealing). Unlike cached shuffled selection, it doesn't waste time shuffling the moves list at the beginning of every step.

```
    <unionMoveSelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>RANDOM</selectionOrder>

      <changeMoveSelector/>
      <swapMoveSelector/>
    </unionMoveSelector>
```

10.6.4. Filtered selection

There can be certain moves that you don't want to select, because:

- The move is pointless and would only waste CPU time. For example, swapping two lectures of the same course will result in the same score and the same schedule because all lectures of one course are interchangeable (same teacher, same students, same topic).
- Doing the move would break a built-in hard constraint, so the solution would be infeasible but the score function doesn't check built-in hard constraints for performance reasons. For example, don't change a gym lecture to a room which is not a gym room. It's usually better to not use move filtering for such cases, because it allows the metaheuristics to temporarily break hard constraints to escape local optima.



Any built-in hard constraint must probably be filtered on every move type of every solver phase. For example if it filters the change move of Local Search, it must also filter the swap move that swaps the room of a gym lecture with another lecture for which the other lecture's original room isn't a gym room. Furthermore, it must also filter the change moves of the Construction Heuristics (which requires an advanced configuration).

If a move is unaccepted by the filter, it's not executed and the score isn't calculated.



Filtering uses the interface `SelectionFilter`:

```
public interface SelectionFilter<Solution_, T> {

    boolean accept(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector, T selection);

}
```

Implement the `accept` method to return `false` on a discarded `selection` (see below). Filtered selection can happen on any Selector in the selector tree, including any `MoveSelector`, `EntitySelector` or `ValueSelector`. It works with any `cacheType` and `selectionOrder`.



Apply the filter on the lowest level possible. In most cases, you'll need to know both the entity and the value involved so you'll have to apply it on the move selector.



`SelectionFilter` implementations are expected to be stateless. The solver may choose to reuse them in different contexts.

10.6.4.1. Filtered move selection

Unaccepted moves will not be selected and will therefore never have their `doMove()` method called:

```
public class DifferentCourseSwapMoveFilter implements SelectionFilter<CourseSchedule,
SwapMove> {

    @Override
    public boolean accept(ScoreDirector<CourseSchedule> scoreDirector, SwapMove move)
{
        Lecture leftLecture = (Lecture) move.getLeftEntity();
        Lecture rightLecture = (Lecture) move.getRightEntity();
        return !leftLecture.getCourse().equals(rightLecture.getCourse());
    }

}
```

Configure the `filterClass` on every targeted `moveSelector` (potentially both in the Local Search and the Construction Heuristics if it filters `ChangeMove`s):

```
    <swapMoveSelector>

<filterClass>org.optaplanner.examples.curriculumcourse.solver.move.DifferentCourseSwap
MoveFilter</filterClass>
    </swapMoveSelector>
```

10.6.4.2. Filtered entity selection

Unaccepted entities will not be selected and will therefore never be used to create a move.

```
public class LongLectureSelectionFilter implements SelectionFilter<CourseSchedule,
Lecture> {

    @Override
    public boolean accept(ScoreDirector<CourseSchedule> scoreDirector, Lecture
lecture) {
        return lecture.isLong();
    }

}
```

Configure the `filterClass` on every targeted `entitySelector` (potentially both in the Local Search and the Construction Heuristics):

```
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <entitySelector>

<filterClass>org.optaplanner.examples.curriculumcourse.solver.move.LongLectureSelectio
nFilter</filterClass>
      </entitySelector>
```

```
    </changeMoveSelector>
```

If that filter should apply on all entities, configure it as a global pinningFilter instead.

10.6.4.3. Filtered value selection

Unaccepted values will not be selected and will therefore never be used to create a move.

```
public class LongPeriodSelectionFilter implements SelectionFilter<CourseSchedule,
Period> {

    @Override
    public boolean accept(ScoreDirector<CourseSchedule> scoreDirector, Period period)
{
        return period();
    }

}
```

Configure the `filterClass` on every targeted `valueSelector` (potentially both in the Local Search and the Construction Heuristics):

```
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <valueSelector>

<filterClass>org.optaplanner.examples.curriculumcourse.solver.move.LongPeriodSelection
Filter</filterClass>
      </valueSelector>
    </changeMoveSelector>
```

10.6.5. Sorted selection

Sorted selection can happen on any Selector in the selector tree, including any `MoveSelector`, `EntitySelector` or `ValueSelector`. It does not work with `cacheType JUST_IN_TIME` and it only works with `selectionOrder SORTED`.

It's mostly used in construction heuristics.



If the chosen construction heuristic implies sorting, for example `FIRST_FIT_DECREASING` implies that the `EntitySelector` is sorted, there is no need to explicitly configure a `Selector` with sorting. If you do explicitly configure the `Selector`, it overwrites the default settings of that construction heuristic.

10.6.5.1. Sorted selection by `SorterManner`

Some `Selector` types implement a `SorterManner` out of the box:

- `EntitySelector` supports:
  - `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY`: Sorts the planning entities according to decreasing planning entity difficulty. Requires that planning entity difficulty is annotated on the domain model.

```
    <entitySelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
      <sorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY</sorterManner>
    </entitySelector>
```

- `ValueSelector` supports:
  - `INCREASING_STRENGTH`: Sorts the planning values according to increasing planning value strength. Requires that planning value strength is annotated on the domain model.

```
    <valueSelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
      <sorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH</sorterManner>
    </valueSelector>
```

10.6.5.2. Sorted selection by `Comparator`

An easy way to sort a `Selector` is with a plain old `Comparator`:

```
public class CloudProcessDifficultyComparator implements Comparator<CloudProcess> {

    public int compare(CloudProcess a, CloudProcess b) {
        return new CompareToBuilder()
                .append(a.getRequiredMultiplicand(), b.getRequiredMultiplicand())
                .append(a.getId(), b.getId())
                .toComparison();
    }

}
```

You'll also need to configure it (unless it's annotated on the domain model and automatically applied by the optimization algorithm):

```
    <entitySelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
      <sorterComparatorClass>
...CloudProcessDifficultyComparator</sorterComparatorClass>
      <sorterOrder>DESCENDING</sorterOrder>
    </entitySelector>
```



`Comparator` implementations are expected to be stateless. The solver may choose to reuse them in different contexts.

10.6.5.3. Sorted selection by `SelectionSorterWeightFactory`

If you need the entire solution to sort a `Selector`, use a `SelectionSorterWeightFactory` instead:

```
public interface SelectionSorterWeightFactory<Solution_, T> {

    Comparable createSorterWeight(Solution_ solution, T selection);

}
```

```
public class QueenDifficultyWeightFactory implements SelectionSorterWeightFactory
<NQueens, Queen> {

    public QueenDifficultyWeight createSorterWeight(NQueens nQueens, Queen queen) {
        int distanceFromMiddle = calculateDistanceFromMiddle(nQueens.getN(), queen
.getColumnIndex());
        return new QueenDifficultyWeight(queen, distanceFromMiddle);
    }

    ...

    public static class QueenDifficultyWeight implements Comparable
<QueenDifficultyWeight> {

        private final Queen queen;
        private final int distanceFromMiddle;

        public QueenDifficultyWeight(Queen queen, int distanceFromMiddle) {
            this.queen = queen;
            this.distanceFromMiddle = distanceFromMiddle;
        }

        public int compareTo(QueenDifficultyWeight other) {
            return new CompareToBuilder()
                    // The more difficult queens have a lower distance to the middle
                    .append(other.distanceFromMiddle, distanceFromMiddle) //
Decreasing
                    // Tie breaker
                    .append(queen.getColumnIndex(), other.queen.getColumnIndex())
                    .toComparison();
        }

    }

}
```

You'll also need to configure it (unless it's annotated on the domain model and automatically applied by the optimization algorithm):

```
    <entitySelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
      <sorterWeightFactoryClass>
...QueenDifficultyWeightFactory</sorterWeightFactoryClass>
      <sorterOrder>DESCENDING</sorterOrder>
    </entitySelector>
```



`SelectionSorterWeightFactory` implementations are expected to be stateless. The solver may choose to reuse them in different contexts.

10.6.5.4. Sorted selection by `SelectionSorter`

Alternatively, you can also use the interface `SelectionSorter` directly:

```
public interface SelectionSorter<Solution_, T> {

    void sort(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector, List<T> selectionList);

}
```

```
    <entitySelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
      <sorterClass>...MyEntitySorter</sorterClass>
    </entitySelector>
```



`SelectionSorter` implementations are expected to be stateless. The solver may choose to reuse them in different contexts.

10.6.6. Probabilistic selection

Probabilistic selection can happen on any Selector in the selector tree, including any `MoveSelector`, `EntitySelector` or `ValueSelector`. It does not work with `cacheType JUST_IN_TIME` and it only works with `selectionOrder PROBABILISTIC`.



Each selection has a `probabilityWeight`, which determines the chance that selection will be selected:

```
public interface SelectionProbabilityWeightFactory<Solution_, T> {

    double createProbabilityWeight(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector, T
selection);

}
```

```
    <entitySelector>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>PROBABILISTIC</selectionOrder>
      <probabilityWeightFactoryClass>
...MyEntityProbabilityWeightFactoryClass</probabilityWeightFactoryClass>
    </entitySelector>
```

For example, if there are three entities: process A (probabilityWeight 2.0), process B (probabilityWeight 0.5) and process C (probabilityWeight 0.5), then process A will be selected four times more than B and C.



`SelectionProbabilityWeightFactory` implementations are expected to be stateless. The solver may choose to reuse them in different contexts.

10.6.7. Limited selection

Selecting all possible moves sometimes does not scale well enough, especially for construction heuristics (which don't support acceptedCountLimit).

To limit the number of selected selection per step, apply a `selectedCountLimit` on the selector:

```
<changeMoveSelector>
  <selectedCountLimit>100</selectedCountLimit>
</changeMoveSelector>
```



To scale Local Search, setting acceptedCountLimit is usually better than using `selectedCountLimit`.

10.6.8. Mimic selection (record/replay)

During mimic selection, one normal selector records its selection and one or multiple other special selectors replay that selection. The recording selector acts as a normal selector and supports all other configuration properties. A replaying selector mimics the recording selection and supports no other configuration properties.

The recording selector needs an `id`. A replaying selector must reference a recorder's id with a `mimicSelectorRef`:

```
<cartesianProductMoveSelector>
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <entitySelector id="entitySelector"/>
    <valueSelector variableName="period"/>
  </changeMoveSelector>
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <entitySelector mimicSelectorRef="entitySelector"/>
    <valueSelector variableName="room"/>
  </changeMoveSelector>
</cartesianProductMoveSelector>
```

Mimic selection is useful to create a composite move from two moves that affect the same entity.

10.6.9. Nearby selection

In some use cases (such as TSP and VRP, but also in non-chained variable cases), changing entities to nearby values or swapping nearby entities can **heavily increase scalability** and improve solution quality.



Nearby selection increases the probability of selecting an entity or value which is nearby to the first entity being moved in that move.



The distance between two entities or values is domain specific. Therefore, implement the `NearbyDistanceMeter` interface:

```
public interface NearbyDistanceMeter<O, D> {

    double getNearbyDistance(O origin, D destination);

}
```

It returns a `double` which represents the distance:

```
public class CustomerNearbyDistanceMeter implements NearbyDistanceMeter<Customer,
Standstill> {

    public double getNearbyDistance(Customer origin, Standstill destination) {
        return origin.getDistanceTo(destination);
    }

}
```



`NearbyDistanceMeter` implementations are expected to be stateless. The solver may choose to reuse them in different contexts.

To configure nearby selection, add a `nearbySelection` element in the `entitySelector` or `valueSelector` and use mimic selection to specify which entity should be near by the selection.

```
    <unionMoveSelector>
      <changeMoveSelector>
        <entitySelector id="entitySelector1"/>
        <valueSelector>
          <nearbySelection>
            <originEntitySelector mimicSelectorRef="entitySelector1"/>
            <nearbyDistanceMeterClass>
...CustomerNearbyDistanceMeter</nearbyDistanceMeterClass>
            <parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>40</parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>
          </nearbySelection>
        </valueSelector>
      </changeMoveSelector>
      <swapMoveSelector>
        <entitySelector id="entitySelector2"/>
        <secondaryEntitySelector>
          <nearbySelection>
            <originEntitySelector mimicSelectorRef="entitySelector2"/>
            <nearbyDistanceMeterClass>
...CustomerNearbyDistanceMeter</nearbyDistanceMeterClass>
            <parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>40</parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>
          </nearbySelection>
        </secondaryEntitySelector>
      </swapMoveSelector>
      <tailChainSwapMoveSelector>
        <entitySelector id="entitySelector3"/>
        <valueSelector>
          <nearbySelection>
            <originEntitySelector mimicSelectorRef="entitySelector3"/>
            <nearbyDistanceMeterClass>
...CustomerNearbyDistanceMeter</nearbyDistanceMeterClass>
            <parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>40</parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>
          </nearbySelection>
        </valueSelector>
      </tailChainSwapMoveSelector>
    </unionMoveSelector>
```

A `distributionSizeMaximum` parameter should not be 1 because if the nearest is already the planning value of the current entity, then the only move that is selectable is not doable.

To allow every element to be selected, regardless of the number of entities, only set the distribution type (so without a `distributionSizeMaximum` parameter):

```
  <nearbySelection>
    <nearbySelectionDistributionType>
PARABOLIC_DISTRIBUTION</nearbySelectionDistributionType>
```

```
  </nearbySelection>
```

The following NearbySelectionDistributionTypes are supported:

- BLOCK_DISTRIBUTION: Only the n nearest are selected, with an equal probability. For example, select the 20 nearest:

```
<nearbySelection>
  <blockDistributionSizeMaximum>20</blockDistributionSizeMaximum>
</nearbySelection>
```

- LINEAR_DISTRIBUTION: Nearest elements are selected with a higher probability. The probability decreases linearly.

```
<nearbySelection>
  <linearDistributionSizeMaximum>40</linearDistributionSizeMaximum>
</nearbySelection>
```

- PARABOLIC_DISTRIBUTION (recommended): Nearest elements are selected with a higher probability.

```
<nearbySelection>
  <parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>80</parabolicDistributionSizeMaximum>
</nearbySelection>
```

- BETA_DISTRIBUTION: Selection according to a beta distribution. Slows down the solver significantly.

```
<nearbySelection>
  <betaDistributionAlpha>1</betaDistributionAlpha>
  <betaDistributionBeta>5</betaDistributionBeta>
</nearbySelection>
```

As always, use the Benchmarker to tweak values if desired.

10.7. Custom moves

10.7.1. Which move types might be missing in my implementation?

To determine which move types might be missing in your implementation, run a Benchmarker *for a short amount of time* and configure it to write the best solutions to disk. Take a look at such a best solution: it will likely be a local optima. Try to figure out if there's a move that could get out of that local optima faster.

If you find one, implement that coarse-grained move, mix it with the existing moves and benchmark it against the previous configurations to see if you want to keep it.

10.7.2. Custom moves introduction

Instead of using the generic `Move`s (such as `ChangeMove`) you can also implement your own `Move`. Generic and custom `MoveSelector`s can be combined as desired.

A custom `Move` can be tailored to work to the advantage of your constraints. For example in examination scheduling, changing the period of an exam A would also change the period of all the other exams that need to coincide with exam A.

A custom `Move` is far more work to implement and much harder to avoid bugs than a generic `Move`. After implementing a custom `Move`, turn on `environmentMode FULL_ASSERT` to check for score corruptions.

10.7.3. The `Move` interface

All moves implement the `Move` interface:

```
public interface Move<Solution_> {

    boolean isMoveDoable(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector);

    Move<Solution_> doMove(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector);

    ...
}
```

To implement a custom move, it's recommended to extend `AbstractMove` instead implementing `Move` directly. OptaPlanner calls `AbstractMove.doMove(ScoreDirector)`, which calls `doMoveOnGenuineVariables(ScoreDirector)`. For example in cloud balancing, this move changes one process to another computer:

```
public class CloudComputerChangeMove extends AbstractMove<CloudBalance> {

    private CloudProcess cloudProcess;
    private CloudComputer toCloudComputer;

    public CloudComputerChangeMove(CloudProcess cloudProcess, CloudComputer
toCloudComputer) {
        this.cloudProcess = cloudProcess;
        this.toCloudComputer = toCloudComputer;
    }

    @Override
    protected void doMoveOnGenuineVariables(ScoreDirector<CloudBalance> scoreDirector)
{
```

```
        scoreDirector.beforeVariableChanged(cloudProcess, "computer");
        cloudProcess.setComputer(toCloudComputer);
        scoreDirector.afterVariableChanged(cloudProcess, "computer");
    }

    // ...

}
```

The implementation must notify the `ScoreDirector` of any changes it makes to planning entity's variables: Call the `scoreDirector.beforeVariableChanged(Object, String)` and `scoreDirector.afterVariableChanged(Object, String)` methods directly before and after modifying an entity's planning variable.

The example move above is a fine-grained move because it changes only one planning variable. On the other hand, a coarse-grained move changes multiple entities or multiple planning variables in a single move, usually to avoid breaking hard constraints by making multiple related changes at once. For example, a swap move is really just two change moves, but it keeps those two changes together.



A `Move` can only change/add/remove planning entities, it must not change any of the problem facts as that will cause score corruption. Use real-time planning to change problem facts while solving.

OptaPlanner automatically filters out *non doable moves* by calling the `isMoveDoable(ScoreDirector)` method on each selected move. A *non doable move* is:

- A move that changes nothing on the current solution. For example, moving process `P1` on computer `X` to computer `X` is not doable, because it is already there.
- A move that is impossible to do on the current solution. For example, moving process `P1` to computer `Q` (when `Q` isn't in the list of computers) is not doable because it would assign a planning value that's not inside the planning variable's value range.

In the cloud balancing example, a move which assigns a process to the computer it's already assigned to is not doable:

```
    @Override
    public boolean isMoveDoable(ScoreDirector<CloudBalance> scoreDirector) {
        return !Objects.equals(cloudProcess.getComputer(), toCloudComputer);
    }
```

We don't need to check if `toCloudComputer` is in the value range, because we only generate moves for which that is the case. A move that is currently not doable can become doable when the working solution changes in a later step, otherwise we probably shouldn't have created it in the first place.

Each move has an *undo move*: a move (normally of the same type) which does the exact opposite. In the cloud balancing example the undo move of `P1 {X → Y}` is the move `P1 {Y → X}`. The undo move of a move is created when the `Move` is being done on the current solution, before the genuine

variables change:

```
    @Override
    public CloudComputerChangeMove createUndoMove(ScoreDirector<CloudBalance>
scoreDirector) {
        return new CloudComputerChangeMove(cloudProcess, cloudProcess.getComputer());
    }
```

Notice that if `P1` would have already been moved to `Y`, the undo move would create the move `P1 {Y → Y}`, instead of the move `P1 {Y → X}`.

A solver phase might do and undo the same `Move` more than once. In fact, many solver phases will iteratively do and undo a number of moves to evaluate them, before selecting one of those and doing that move again (without undoing it the last time).

Always implement the `toString()` method to keep OptaPlanner's logs readable. Keep it non-verbose and make it consistent with the generic moves:

```
    public String toString() {
        return cloudProcess + " {" + cloudProcess.getComputer() + " -> " +
toCloudComputer + "}";
    }
```

Optionally, implement the `getSimpleMoveTypeDescription()` method to support picked move statistics:

```
    @Override
    public String getSimpleMoveTypeDescription() {
        return "CloudComputerChangeMove(CloudProcess.computer)";
    }
```

10.7.3.1. Custom move: `rebase()`

For multithreaded incremental solving, the custom move must implement the `rebase()` method:

```
    @Override
    public CloudComputerChangeMove rebase(ScoreDirector<CloudBalance>
destinationScoreDirector) {
        return new CloudComputerChangeMove(destinationScoreDirector
.lookUpWorkingObject(cloudProcess),
                destinationScoreDirector.lookUpWorkingObject(toCloudComputer));
    }
```

Rebasing a move takes a move generated of one working solution and creates a new move that does the same change as the original move, but rewired as if was generated off of the destination working solution. This allows multithreaded solving to migrate moves from one thread to another.

The `lookUpWorkingObject()` method translates a planning entity instance or problem fact instance from one working solution to that of the destination's working solution. Internally it often uses a mapping technique based on the planning ID.

To rebase lists or arrays in bulk, use `rebaseList()` and `rebaseArray()` on `AbstractMove`.

10.7.3.2. Custom move: `getPlanningEntities()` and `getPlanningValues()`

A custom move should also implement the `getPlanningEntities()` and `getPlanningValues()` methods. Those are used by entity tabu and value tabu respectively. They are called after the `Move` has already been done.

```
    @Override
    public Collection<? extends Object> getPlanningEntities() {
        return Collections.singletonList(cloudProcess);
    }

    @Override
    public Collection<? extends Object> getPlanningValues() {
        return Collections.singletonList(toCloudComputer);
    }
```

If the `Move` changes multiple planning entities, such as in a swap move, return all of them in `getPlanningEntities()` and return all their values (to which they are changing) in `getPlanningValues()`.

```
    @Override
    public Collection<? extends Object> getPlanningEntities() {
        return Arrays.asList(leftCloudProcess, rightCloudProcess);
    }

    @Override
    public Collection<? extends Object> getPlanningValues() {
        return Arrays.asList(leftCloudProcess.getComputer(), rightCloudProcess
.getComputer());
    }
```

10.7.3.3. Custom move: `equals()` and `hashCode()`

A `Move` must implement the `equals()` and `hashCode()` methods for move tabu. Two moves which make the same change on a solution, should be equal ideally.

```
    @Override
    public boolean equals(Object o) {
        if (this == o) {
            return true;
        } else if (o instanceof CloudComputerChangeMove) {
            CloudComputerChangeMove other = (CloudComputerChangeMove) o;
```

```
            return new EqualsBuilder()
                    .append(cloudProcess, other.cloudProcess)
                    .append(toCloudComputer, other.toCloudComputer)
                    .isEquals();
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }

    @Override
    public int hashCode() {
        return new HashCodeBuilder()
                .append(cloudProcess)
                .append(toCloudComputer)
                .toHashCode();
    }
```

Notice that it checks if the other move is an instance of the same move type. This `instanceof` check is important because a move are compared to a move of another move type. For example a `ChangeMove` and `SwapMove` are compared.

10.7.4. Generating custom moves

Now, let's generate instances of this custom `Move` class. There are 2 ways:

10.7.4.1. `MoveListFactory`: the easy way to generate custom moves

The easiest way to generate custom moves is by implementing the interface `MoveListFactory`:

```
public interface MoveListFactory<Solution_> {

    List<Move> createMoveList(Solution_ solution);

}
```

For example:

```
public class CloudComputerChangeMoveFactory implements MoveListFactory<CloudBalance> {

    @Override
    public List<CloudComputerChangeMove> createMoveList(CloudBalance cloudBalance) {
        List<CloudComputerChangeMove> moveList = new ArrayList<>();
        List<CloudComputer> cloudComputerList = cloudBalance.getComputerList();
        for (CloudProcess cloudProcess : cloudBalance.getProcessList()) {
            for (CloudComputer cloudComputer : cloudComputerList) {
                moveList.add(new CloudComputerChangeMove(cloudProcess, cloudComputer)
);
            }
```

```
        }
        return moveList;
    }

}
```

Simple configuration (which can be nested in a `unionMoveSelector` just like any other `MoveSelector`):

```
    <moveListFactory>

<moveListFactoryClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.solver.move.Clo
udComputerChangeMoveFactory</moveListFactoryClass>
    </moveListFactory>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
    <moveListFactory>
      ... <!-- Normal moveSelector properties -->

<moveListFactoryClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.solver.move.Clo
udComputerChangeMoveFactory</moveListFactoryClass>
      <moveListFactoryCustomProperties>
        ...<!-- Custom properties -->
      </moveListFactoryCustomProperties>
    </moveListFactory>
```

Because the `MoveListFactory` generates all moves at once in a `List<Move>`, it does not support `cacheType JUST_IN_TIME`. Therefore, `moveListFactory` uses `cacheType STEP` by default and it scales badly.

To configure values of a `MoveListFactory` dynamically in the solver configuration (so the Benchmarker can tweak those parameters), add the `moveListFactoryCustomProperties` element and use custom properties.



A custom `MoveListFactory` implementation must ensure that it does not move pinned entities.

10.7.4.2. `MoveIteratorFactory`: generate Custom moves just in time

Use this advanced form to generate custom moves Just In Time by implementing the `MoveIteratorFactory` interface:

```
public interface MoveIteratorFactory<Solution_> {

    long getSize(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector);

    Iterator<Move> createOriginalMoveIterator(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector);
```

```
    Iterator<Move> createRandomMoveIterator(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector,
Random workingRandom);

}
```

The `getSize()` method must return an estimation of the size. It doesn't need to be correct, but it's better too big than too small. The `createOriginalMoveIterator` method is called if the `selectionOrder` is `ORIGINAL` or if it is cached. The `createRandomMoveIterator` method is called for `selectionOrder` `RANDOM` combined with cacheType `JUST_IN_TIME`.



Don't create a collection (array, list, set or map) of `Move`s when creating the `Iterator<Move>`: the whole purpose of `MoveIteratorFactory` over `MoveListFactory` is to create a `Move` just in time in a custom `Iterator.next()`.

Simple configuration (which can be nested in a `unionMoveSelector` just like any other `MoveSelector`):

```
<moveIteratorFactory>
  <moveIteratorFactoryClass>...</moveIteratorFactoryClass>
</moveIteratorFactory>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<moveIteratorFactory>
  ... <!-- Normal moveSelector properties -->
  <moveIteratorFactoryClass>...</moveIteratorFactoryClass>
  <moveIteratorFactoryCustomProperties>
    ...<!-- Custom properties -->
  </moveIteratorFactoryCustomProperties>
</moveIteratorFactory>
```

To configure values of a `MoveIteratorFactory` dynamically in the solver configuration (so the Benchmarker can tweak those parameters), add the `moveIteratorFactoryCustomProperties` element and use custom properties.



A custom `MoveIteratorFactory` implementation must ensure that it does not move pinned entities.

Chapter 11. Exhaustive search

11.1. Overview

Exhaustive Search will always find the global optimum and recognize it too. That being said, it doesn't scale (not even beyond toy data sets) and is therefore mostly useless.

11.2. Brute force

11.2.1. Algorithm description

The Brute Force algorithm creates and evaluates every possible solution.



Notice that it creates a search tree that explodes exponentially as the problem size increases, so it hits a scalability wall.



Brute Force is mostly unusable for a real-world problem due to time limitations, as shown in scalability of Exhaustive Search.

11.2.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration of Brute Force:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <exhaustiveSearch>
    <exhaustiveSearchType>BRUTE_FORCE</exhaustiveSearchType>
  </exhaustiveSearch>
</solver>
```

11.3. Branch and bound

11.3.1. Algorithm description

Branch And Bound also explores nodes in an exponential search tree, but it investigates more promising nodes first and prunes away worthless nodes.

For each node, Branch And Bound calculates the optimistic bound: the best possible score to which that node can lead to. If the optimistic bound of a node is lower or equal to the global pessimistic bound, then it prunes away that node (including the entire branch of all its subnodes).



Academic papers use the term lower bound instead of optimistic bound (and the term upper bound instead of pessimistic bound), because they minimize the score.

OptaPlanner maximizes the score (because it supports combining negative and positive constraints). Therefore, for clarity, it uses different terms, as it would be confusing to use the term lower bound for a bound which is always higher.

For example: at index 14, it sets the global pessimistic bound to `-2`. Because all solutions reachable from the node visited at index 11 will have a score lower or equal to `-2` (the node's optimistic bound), they can be pruned away.



Notice that Branch And Bound (much like Brute Force) creates a search tree that explodes exponentially as the problem size increases. So it hits the same scalability wall, only a little bit later.



Branch And Bound is mostly unusable for a real-world problem due to time limitations, as shown in scalability of Exhaustive Search.

11.3.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration of Branch And Bound:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  ...
  <exhaustiveSearch>
    <exhaustiveSearchType>BRANCH_AND_BOUND</exhaustiveSearchType>
  </exhaustiveSearch>
</solver>
```



For the pruning to work with the default `ScoreBounder`, the InitializingScoreTrend should be set. Especially an InitializingScoreTrend of `ONLY_DOWN` (or at least has

> `ONLY_DOWN` in the leading score levels) prunes a lot.

Advanced configuration:

```
<exhaustiveSearch>
  <exhaustiveSearchType>BRANCH_AND_BOUND</exhaustiveSearchType>
  <nodeExplorationType>DEPTH_FIRST</nodeExplorationType>
  <entitySorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY_IF_AVAILABLE</entitySorterManner>
  <valueSorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE</valueSorterManner>
</exhaustiveSearch>
```

The `nodeExplorationType` options are:

- `DEPTH_FIRST` (default): Explore deeper nodes first (and then a better score and then a better optimistic bound). Deeper nodes (especially leaf nodes) often improve the pessimistic bound. A better pessimistic bound allows pruning more nodes to reduce the search space.

  ```
  <exhaustiveSearch>
    <exhaustiveSearchType>BRANCH_AND_BOUND</exhaustiveSearchType>
    <nodeExplorationType>DEPTH_FIRST</nodeExplorationType>
  </exhaustiveSearch>
  ```

- `BREADTH_FIRST` (not recommended): Explore nodes layer by layer (and then a better score and then a better optimistic bound). Scales terribly in memory (and usually in performance too).

  ```
  <exhaustiveSearch>
    <exhaustiveSearchType>BRANCH_AND_BOUND</exhaustiveSearchType>
    <nodeExplorationType>BREADTH_FIRST</nodeExplorationType>
  </exhaustiveSearch>
  ```

- `SCORE_FIRST`: Explore nodes with a better score first (and then a better optimistic bound and then deeper nodes first). Might scale as terribly as `BREADTH_FIRST` in some cases.

  ```
  <exhaustiveSearch>
    <exhaustiveSearchType>BRANCH_AND_BOUND</exhaustiveSearchType>
    <nodeExplorationType>SCORE_FIRST</nodeExplorationType>
  </exhaustiveSearch>
  ```

- `OPTIMISTIC_BOUND_FIRST`: Explore nodes with a better optimistic bound first (and then a better score and then deeper nodes first). Might scale as terribly as `BREADTH_FIRST` in some cases.

  ```
  <exhaustiveSearch>
    <exhaustiveSearchType>BRANCH_AND_BOUND</exhaustiveSearchType>
    <nodeExplorationType>OPTIMISTIC_BOUND_FIRST</nodeExplorationType>
  ```

```
  </exhaustiveSearch>
```

The `entitySorterManner` options are:

- `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY`: Initialize the more difficult planning entities first. This usually increases pruning (and therefore improves scalability). Requires the model to support planning entity difficulty comparison.
- `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY_IF_AVAILABLE` (default): If the model supports planning entity difficulty comparison, behave like `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY`, else like `NONE`.
- `NONE`: Initialize the planning entities in original order.

The `valueSorterManner` options are:

- `INCREASING_STRENGTH`: Evaluate the planning values in increasing strength. Requires the model to support planning value strength comparison.
- `INCREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE` (default): If the model supports planning value strength comparison, behave like `INCREASING_STRENGTH`, else like `NONE`.
- `DECREASING_STRENGTH`: Evaluate the planning values in decreasing strength. Requires the model to support planning value strength comparison.
- `DECREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE`: If the model supports planning value strength comparison, behave like `DECREASING_STRENGTH`, else like `NONE`.
- `NONE`: Try the planning values in original order.

11.4. Scalability of exhaustive search

Exhaustive Search variants suffer from two big scalability issues:

- They scale terribly memory wise.
- They scale horribly performance wise.

As shown in these time spent graphs from the Benchmarker, Brute Force and Branch And Bound both hit a performance scalability wall. For example, on N queens it hits wall at a few dozen queens:



In most use cases, such as Cloud Balancing, the wall appears out of thin air:



Exhaustive Search hits this wall on small datasets already, so in production these optimizations algorithms are mostly useless. Use Construction Heuristics with Local Search instead: those can handle thousands of queens/computers easily.



Throwing hardware at these scalability issues has no noticeable impact. Newer and more hardware are just a drop in the ocean. Moore's law cannot win against the onslaught of a few more planning entities in the dataset.

Chapter 12. Construction heuristics

12.1. Overview

A construction heuristic builds a pretty good initial solution in a finite length of time. Its solution isn't always feasible, but it finds it fast so metaheuristics can finish the job.

Construction heuristics terminate automatically, so there's usually no need to configure a `Termination` on the construction heuristic phase specifically.

12.2. First fit

12.2.1. Algorithm description

The First Fit algorithm cycles through all the planning entities (in default order), initializing one planning entity at a time. It assigns the planning entity to the best available planning value, taking the already initialized planning entities into account. It terminates when all planning entities have been initialized. It never changes a planning entity after it has been assigned.



Notice that it starts with putting `Queen` A into row 0 (and never moving it later), which makes it impossible to reach the optimal solution. Suffixing this construction heuristic with metaheuristics can remedy that.

12.2.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate Entity From Queue.

12.3. First fit decreasing

12.3.1. Algorithm description

Like First Fit, but assigns the more difficult planning entities first, because they are less likely to fit in the leftovers. So it sorts the planning entities on decreasing difficulty.



Requires the model to support planning entity difficulty comparison.



One would expect that this algorithm has better results than First Fit. That's usually the case, but not always.

12.3.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate Entity From Queue.

12.4. Weakest fit

12.4.1. Algorithm description

Like First Fit, but uses the weaker planning values first, because the strong planning values are more likely to be able to accommodate later planning entities. So it sorts the planning values on increasing strength.

Requires the model to support planning value strength comparison.



Do not presume that this algorithm has better results than First Fit. That's often not the case.

12.4.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>WEAKEST_FIT</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>WEAKEST_FIT</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate Entity From Queue.

12.5. Weakest fit decreasing

12.5.1. Algorithm description

Combines First Fit Decreasing and Weakest Fit. So it sorts the planning entities on decreasing difficulty and the planning values on increasing strength.

Requires the model to support planning entity difficulty comparison and planning value strength comparison.



Do not presume that this algorithm has better results than First Fit Decreasing. That's often not the case. However, it is usually better than Weakest Fit.

12.5.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>WEAKEST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>WEAKEST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate Entity From Queue.

12.6. Strongest fit

12.6.1. Algorithm description

Like First Fit, but uses the strong planning values first, because the strong planning values are more likely to have a lower soft cost to use. So it sorts the planning values on decreasing strength.

Requires the model to support planning value strength comparison.



Do not presume that this algorithm has better results than First Fit or Weakest Fit. That's often not the case.

12.6.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>STRONGEST_FIT</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>STRONGEST_FIT</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate Entity From Queue.

12.7. Strongest fit decreasing

12.7.1. Algorithm description

Combines First Fit Decreasing and Strongest Fit. So it sorts the planning entities on decreasing difficulty and the planning values on decreasing strength.

Requires the model to support planning entity difficulty comparison and planning value strength comparison.



Do not presume that this algorithm has better results than First Fit Decreasing or Weakest Fit Decreasing. That's often not the case. However, it is usually better than Strongest Fit.

12.7.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>STRONGEST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>STRONGEST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate Entity From Queue.

12.8. Allocate entity from queue

12.8.1. Algorithm description

Allocate Entity From Queue is a versatile, generic form of First Fit, First Fit Decreasing, Weakest Fit, Weakest Fit Decreasing, Strongest Fit and Strongest Fit Decreasing. It works like this:

1. Put all entities in a queue.
2. Assign the first entity (from that queue) to the best value.
3. Repeat until all entities are assigned.

12.8.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>ALLOCATE_ENTITY_FROM_QUEUE</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Verbose simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>ALLOCATE_ENTITY_FROM_QUEUE</constructionHeuristicType>
  <entitySorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY_IF_AVAILABLE</entitySorterManner>
  <valueSorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE</valueSorterManner>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

The `entitySorterManner` options are:

- `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY`: Initialize the more difficult planning entities first. This usually increases pruning (and therefore improves scalability). Requires the model to support planning entity difficulty comparison.
- `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY_IF_AVAILABLE` (default): If the model supports planning entity difficulty comparison, behave like `DECREASING_DIFFICULTY`, else like `NONE`.
- `NONE`: Initialize the planning entities in original order.

The `valueSorterManner` options are:

- `INCREASING_STRENGTH`: Evaluate the planning values in increasing strength. Requires the model to support planning value strength comparison.
- `INCREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE` (default): If the model supports planning value strength comparison, behave like `INCREASING_STRENGTH`, else like `NONE`.
- `DECREASING_STRENGTH`: Evaluate the planning values in decreasing strength. Requires the model to support planning value strength comparison.

- `DECREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE`: If the model supports planning value strength comparison, behave like `DECREASING_STRENGTH`, else like `NONE`.
- `NONE`: Try the planning values in original order.

Advanced configuration with Weakest Fit Decreasing for a single entity class with one variable:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <queuedEntityPlacer>
    <entitySelector id="placerEntitySelector">
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
      <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
      <sorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY</sorterManner>
    </entitySelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <entitySelector mimicSelectorRef="placerEntitySelector"/>
      <valueSelector>
        <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
        <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
        <sorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH</sorterManner>
      </valueSelector>
    </changeMoveSelector>
  </queuedEntityPlacer>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Per step, the `QueuedEntityPlacer` selects one uninitialized entity from the `EntitySelector` and applies the winning `Move` (out of all the moves for that entity generated by the `MoveSelector`). The mimic selection ensures that the winning `Move` changes only the selected entity.

To customize the entity or value sorting, see sorted selection. For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics.

If there are multiple planning variables, there's one `ChangeMoveSelector` per planning variable, which are either in a cartesian product or in sequential steps, similar to the less verbose configuration.

12.8.3. Multiple entity classes

The easiest way to deal with multiple entity classes is to run a separate Construction Heuristic for each entity class:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <queuedEntityPlacer>
    <entitySelector id="placerEntitySelector">
      <entityClass>...DogEntity</entityClass>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
    </entitySelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <entitySelector mimicSelectorRef="placerEntitySelector"/>
```

```
    </changeMoveSelector>
  </queuedEntityPlacer>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
<constructionHeuristic>
  <queuedEntityPlacer>
    <entitySelector id="placerEntitySelector">
      <entityClass>...CatEntity</entityClass>
      <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
    </entitySelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <entitySelector mimicSelectorRef="placerEntitySelector"/>
    </changeMoveSelector>
  </queuedEntityPlacer>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

12.8.4. Pick early type

There are several pick early types for Construction Heuristics:

- NEVER: Evaluate all the selected moves to initialize the variable(s). This is the default if the InitializingScoreTrend is not ONLY_DOWN.

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  ...
  <forager>
    <pickEarlyType>NEVER</pickEarlyType>
  </forager>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

- FIRST_NON_DETERIORATING_SCORE: Initialize the variable(s) with the first move that doesn't deteriorate the score, ignore the remaining selected moves. This is the default if the InitializingScoreTrend is ONLY_DOWN.

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  ...
  <forager>
    <pickEarlyType>FIRST_NON_DETERIORATING_SCORE</pickEarlyType>
  </forager>
</constructionHeuristic>
```



If there are only negative constraints, but the InitializingScoreTrend is strictly not ONLY_DOWN, it can sometimes make sense to apply FIRST_NON_DETERIORATING_SCORE. Use the Benchmarker to decide if the score quality loss is worth the time gain.

- `FIRST_FEASIBLE_SCORE`: Initialize the variable(s) with the first move that has a feasible score.

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  ...
  <forager>
    <pickEarlyType>FIRST_FEASIBLE_SCORE</pickEarlyType>
  </forager>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

If the InitializingScoreTrend is `ONLY_DOWN`, use `FIRST_FEASIBLE_SCORE_OR_NON_DETERIORATING_HARD` instead, because that's faster without any disadvantages.

- `FIRST_FEASIBLE_SCORE_OR_NON_DETERIORATING_HARD`: Initialize the variable(s) with the first move that doesn't deteriorate the feasibility of the score any further.

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  ...
  <forager>
    <pickEarlyType>FIRST_FEASIBLE_SCORE_OR_NON_DETERIORATING_HARD</pickEarlyType>
  </forager>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

12.9. Allocate to value from queue

12.9.1. Algorithm description

Allocate To Value From Queue works like this:

1. Put all values in a round-robin queue.
2. Assign the best entity to the first value (from that queue).
3. Repeat until all entities are assigned.

12.9.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
  <constructionHeuristic>
    <constructionHeuristicType>
ALLOCATE_TO_VALUE_FROM_QUEUE</constructionHeuristicType>
  </constructionHeuristic>
```

Verbose simple configuration:

```
  <constructionHeuristic>
    <constructionHeuristicType>
```

```
ALLOCATE_TO_VALUE_FROM_QUEUE</constructionHeuristicType>
    <entitySorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY_IF_AVAILABLE</entitySorterManner>
    <valueSorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE</valueSorterManner>
  </constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration for a single entity class with a single variable:

```
  <constructionHeuristic>
    <queuedValuePlacer>
      <valueSelector id="placerValueSelector">
        <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
        <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
        <sorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH</sorterManner>
      </valueSelector>
      <changeMoveSelector>
        <entitySelector>
          <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
          <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
          <sorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY</sorterManner>
        </entitySelector>
        <valueSelector mimicSelectorRef="placerValueSelector"/>
      </changeMoveSelector>
    </queuedValuePlacer>
  </constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics.

12.10. Cheapest insertion

12.10.1. Algorithm description

The Cheapest Insertion algorithm cycles through all the planning values for all the planning entities, initializing one planning entity at a time. It assigns a planning entity to the best available planning value (out of all the planning entities and values), taking the already initialized planning entities into account. It terminates when all planning entities have been initialized. It never changes a planning entity after it has been assigned.



Cheapest Insertion scales considerably worse than First Fit, etc.

12.10.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>CHEAPEST_INSERTION</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>CHEAPEST_INSERTION</constructionHeuristicType>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  <...MoveSelector/>
  ...
</constructionHeuristic>
```

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics. For a very advanced configuration, see Allocate from pool.

12.11. Regret insertion

12.11.1. Algorithm description

The Regret Insertion algorithm behaves like the Cheapest Insertion algorithm. It also cycles through all the planning values for all the planning entities, initializing one planning entity at a time. But instead of picking the entity-value combination with the best score, it picks the entity which has the largest score loss between its best and second best value assignment. It then assigns that entity to its best value, to avoid regretting not having done that.

12.11.2. Configuration

This algorithm has not been implemented yet.

12.12. Allocate from pool

12.12.1. Algorithm description

Allocate From Pool is a versatile, generic form of Cheapest Insertion and Regret Insertion. It works like this:

1. Put all entity-value combinations in a pool.
2. Assign the best entity to best value.
3. Repeat until all entities are assigned.

12.12.2. Configuration

Simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>ALLOCATE_FROM_POOL</constructionHeuristicType>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Verbose simple configuration:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>ALLOCATE_FROM_POOL</constructionHeuristicType>
  <entitySorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY_IF_AVAILABLE</entitySorterManner>
  <valueSorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH_IF_AVAILABLE</valueSorterManner>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

The `entitySorterManner` and `valueSorterManner` options are described in Allocate Entity From Queue.

Advanced configuration with Cheapest Insertion for a single entity class with a single variable:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <pooledEntityPlacer>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <entitySelector id="placerEntitySelector">
        <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
        <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
        <sorterManner>DECREASING_DIFFICULTY</sorterManner>
      </entitySelector>
      <valueSelector>
        <cacheType>PHASE</cacheType>
        <selectionOrder>SORTED</selectionOrder>
        <sorterManner>INCREASING_STRENGTH</sorterManner>
      </valueSelector>
    </changeMoveSelector>
  </pooledEntityPlacer>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

Per step, the `PooledEntityPlacer` applies the winning `Move` (out of all the moves for that entity generated by the `MoveSelector`).

To customize the entity or value sorting, see sorted selection. Other `Selector` customization (such as filtering and limiting) is supported too.

For scaling out, see scaling construction heuristics.

12.13. Scaling construction heuristics

If the Construction Heuristic takes a long time to solve and create an initial solution, there is too little time left for Local Search to reach a near optimal solution.

Ideally, a Construction Heuristic should take less than 20 seconds from scratch and less than 50 milliseconds in real-time planning, so there is plenty of time left for Local Search. If the Benchmarker proves that this is not the case, there's a number of improvements that can be done:

12.13.1. InitializingScoreTrend shortcuts

If the InitializingScoreTrend is `ONLY_DOWN`, a Construction Heuristic algorithm (such as First Fit) is faster: for an entity, it picks the first move for which the score does not deteriorate the last step score, ignoring all subsequent moves in that step.

It can take that shortcut without reducing solution quality, because a down trend guarantees that initializing any additional planning variable can only make the score the same or worse. So if a move has the same score as before the planning variable was initialized, then no other move can have a better score.

12.13.2. Scaling multiple planning variables in construction heuristics

There are two ways to deal with multiple planning variables, depending on how their `ChangeMove`s

are combined:

- **Cartesian product** (default): All variables of the selected entity are assigned together. This usually results in a better solution quality, but it scales poorly because it tries every combination of variables. For example:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
  <cartesianProductMoveSelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <valueSelector variableName="period"/>
    </changeMoveSelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <valueSelector variableName="room"/>
    </changeMoveSelector>
  </cartesianProductMoveSelector>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

- **Sequential**: One variable is assigned at a time. Scales better, at the cost of solution quality. The order of the planning variables matters. For example:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <valueSelector variableName="period"/>
  </changeMoveSelector>
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <valueSelector variableName="room"/>
  </changeMoveSelector>
</constructionHeuristic>
```

The second way scales better, so it can be worth to switch to it. For example, in a course scheduling example with 200 rooms and 40 periods, a cartesian product selects 8 000 moves per entity (1 step per entity). On the other hand, a sequential approach only selects 240 moves per entity (2 steps per entity), ending the Construction Heuristic 3 times faster. Especially for three or more planning variables, the scaling difference is huge. For example, with three variables of 1 000 values each, a cartesian product selects 1 000 000 000 moves per entity (1 step per entity). A sequential approach only selects 3 000 moves per entity (3 steps per entity), ending the Construction Heuristic 300 000 times faster.





The order of the variables is important, especially in the sequential technique. In the sequential example above, it's better to select the `period` first and the `room` second (instead of the other way around), because there are more hard constraints that do not involve the room, such as *no teacher should teach two lectures at the same time*.

Let the Benchmarker guide you.

With three or more variables, it's possible to combine the cartesian product and sequential techniques:

```
<constructionHeuristic>
  <constructionHeuristicType>FIRST_FIT_DECREASING</constructionHeuristicType>
  <cartesianProductMoveSelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <valueSelector variableName="period"/>
    </changeMoveSelector>
    <changeMoveSelector>
      <valueSelector variableName="room"/>
    </changeMoveSelector>
  </cartesianProductMoveSelector>
  <changeMoveSelector>
    <valueSelector variableName="teacher"/>
  </changeMoveSelector>
```

```
  </constructionHeuristic>
```

12.13.3. Other scaling techniques in construction heuristics

Partitioned Search reduces the number of moves per step. On top of that, it runs the Construction Heuristic on the partitions in parallel. It is supported to only partition the Construction Heuristic phase.

Other `Selector` customizations can also reduce the number of moves generated by step:

- Filtered selection
- Limited selection

Chapter 13. Local search

13.1. Overview

Local Search starts from an initial solution and evolves that single solution into a mostly better and better solution. It uses a single search path of solutions, not a search tree. At each solution in this path it evaluates a number of moves on the solution and applies the most suitable move to take the step to the next solution. It does that for a high number of iterations until it's terminated (usually because its time has run out).

Local Search acts a lot like a human planner: it uses a single search path and moves facts around to find a good feasible solution. Therefore it's pretty natural to implement.

Local Search needs to start from an initialized solution, therefore it's usually required to configure a Construction Heuristic phase before it.

13.2. Local search concepts

13.2.1. Step by step

A step is the winning `Move`. Local Search tries a number of moves on the current solution and picks the best accepted move as the step:



Figure 6. Decide the next step at step 0 (four queens example)

Because the move *B0 to B3* has the highest score (`-3`), it is picked as the next step. If multiple moves have the same highest score, one is picked randomly, in this case *B0 to B3*. Note that *C0 to C3* (not shown) could also have been picked because it also has the score `-3`.

The step is applied on the solution. From that new solution, Local Search tries every move again, to decide the next step after that. It continually does this in a loop, and we get something like this:



Figure 7. All steps (four queens example)

Notice that Local Search doesn't use a search tree, but a search path. The search path is highlighted by the green arrows. At each step it tries all selected moves, but unless it's the step, it doesn't investigate that solution further. This is one of the reasons why Local Search is very scalable.

As shown above, Local Search solves the four queens problem by starting with the starting solution and make the following steps sequentially:

1. *B0 to B3*
2. *D0 to D2*
3. *A0 to A1*

Turn on `debug` logging for the category `org.optaplanner` to show those steps in the log:

```
INFO  Solving started: time spent (0), best score (-6), environment mode
(REPRODUCIBLE), random (JDK with seed 0).
DEBUG     LS step (0), time spent (20), score (-3), new best score (-3),
accepted/selected move count (12/12), picked move (Queen-1 {Row-0 -> Row-3}).
DEBUG     LS step (1), time spent (31), score (-1), new best score (-1),
accepted/selected move count (12/12), picked move (Queen-3 {Row-0 -> Row-2}).
DEBUG     LS step (2), time spent (40), score (0), new best score (0),
accepted/selected move count (12/12), picked move (Queen-0 {Row-0 -> Row-1}).
INFO  Local Search phase (0) ended: time spent (41), best score (0), score calculation
speed (5000/sec), step total (3).
INFO  Solving ended: time spent (41), best score (0), score calculation speed
(5000/sec), phase total (1), environment mode (REPRODUCIBLE).
```

Notice that a log message includes the `toString()` method of the `Move` implementation which returns for example "`Queen-1 {Row-0 → Row-3}`".

A naive Local Search configuration solves the four queens problem in three steps, by evaluating only 37 possible solutions (three steps with 12 moves each + one starting solution), which is only a fraction of all 256 possible solutions. It solves 16 queens in 31 steps, by evaluating only 7441 out of 18446744073709551616 possible solutions. By using a Construction Heuristics phase first, it's even a lot more efficient.

13.2.2. Decide the next step

Local Search decides the next step with the aid of three configurable components:

- A `MoveSelector` which selects the possible moves of the current solution. See the chapter move and neighborhood selection.
- An `Acceptor` which filters out unacceptable moves.
- A `Forager` which gathers accepted moves and picks the next step from them.

The solver phase configuration looks like this:

```
<localSearch>
  <unionMoveSelector>
    ...
  </unionMoveSelector>
  <acceptor>
    ...
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    ...
  </forager>
</localSearch>
```

In the example below, the `MoveSelector` generated the moves shown with the blue lines, the `Acceptor` accepted all of them and the `Forager` picked the move *B0 to B3*.



Turn on `trace` logging to show the decision making in the log:

```
INFO  Solver started: time spent (0), score (-6), new best score (-6), random (JDK
with seed 0).
TRACE         Move index (0) not doable, ignoring move (Queen-0 {Row-0 -> Row-0}).
TRACE         Move index (1), score (-4), accepted (true), move (Queen-0 {Row-0 ->
Row-1}).
TRACE         Move index (2), score (-4), accepted (true), move (Queen-0 {Row-0 ->
Row-2}).
TRACE         Move index (3), score (-4), accepted (true), move (Queen-0 {Row-0 ->
Row-3}).
...
TRACE         Move index (6), score (-3), accepted (true), move (Queen-1 {Row-0 ->
Row-3}).
...
TRACE         Move index (9), score (-3), accepted (true), move (Queen-2 {Row-0 ->
Row-3}).
...
TRACE         Move index (12), score (-4), accepted (true), move (Queen-3 {Row-0 ->
Row-3}).
DEBUG     LS step (0), time spent (6), score (-3), new best score (-3),
accepted/selected move count (12/12), picked move (Queen-1 {Row-0 -> Row-3}).
...
```

Because the last solution can degrade (for example in Tabu Search), the `Solver` remembers the best solution it has encountered through the entire search path. Each time the current solution is better than the last best solution, the current solution is cloned and referenced as the new best solution.



13.2.3. Acceptor

Use an `Acceptor` (together with a `Forager`) to activate Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing, Late Acceptance, … For each move it checks whether it is accepted or not.

By changing a few lines of configuration, you can easily switch from Tabu Search to Simulated Annealing or Late Acceptance and back.

You can implement your own `Acceptor`, but the built-in acceptors should suffice for most needs. You can also combine multiple acceptors.

13.2.4. Forager

A `Forager` gathers all accepted moves and picks the move which is the next step. Normally it picks the accepted move with the highest score. If several accepted moves have the highest score, one is picked randomly to break the tie. Breaking ties randomly leads to better results.



It is possible to disable breaking ties randomly by explicitly setting `breakTieRandomly` to `false`, but that's almost never a good idea:

- If an earlier move is better than a later move with the same score, the score calculator should add an extra softer score level to score the first move as slightly better. Don't rely on move selection order to enforce that.

- Random tie breaking does not affect reproducibility.

13.2.4.1. Accepted count limit

When there are many possible moves, it becomes inefficient to evaluate all of them at every step. To evaluate only a random subset of all the moves, use:

- An `acceptedCountLimit` integer, which specifies how many accepted moves should be evaluated during each step. By default, all accepted moves are evaluated at every step.

```
<forager>
  <acceptedCountLimit>1000</acceptedCountLimit>
</forager>
```

Unlike the n queens problem, real world problems require the use of `acceptedCountLimit`. Start from an `acceptedCountLimit` that takes a step in less than two seconds. Turn on INFO logging to see the step times. Use the Benchmarker to tweak the value.



With a low `acceptedCountLimit` (so a fast stepping algorithm), it is recommended to avoid using `selectionOrder` SHUFFLED because the shuffling generates a random number for every element in the selector, taking up a lot of time, but only a few elements are actually selected.

13.2.4.2. Pick early type

A forager can pick a move early during a step, ignoring subsequent selected moves. There are three pick early types for Local Search:

- `NEVER`: A move is never picked early: all accepted moves are evaluated that the selection allows. This is the default.

```
<forager>
  <pickEarlyType>NEVER</pickEarlyType>
</forager>
```

- `FIRST_BEST_SCORE_IMPROVING`: Pick the first accepted move that improves the best score. If none improve the best score, it behaves exactly like the pickEarlyType NEVER.

```
<forager>
  <pickEarlyType>FIRST_BEST_SCORE_IMPROVING</pickEarlyType>
</forager>
```

- `FIRST_LAST_STEP_SCORE_IMPROVING`: Pick the first accepted move that improves the last step score. If none improve the last step score, it behaves exactly like the pickEarlyType NEVER.

```
<forager>
```

```
      <pickEarlyType>FIRST_LAST_STEP_SCORE_IMPROVING</pickEarlyType>
    </forager>
```

13.3. Hill climbing (simple local search)

13.3.1. Algorithm description

Hill Climbing tries all selected moves and then takes the best move, which is the move which leads to the solution with the highest score. That best move is called the step move. From that new solution, it again tries all selected moves and takes the best move and continues like that iteratively. If multiple selected moves tie for the best move, one of them is randomly chosen as the best move.



Notice that once a queen has moved, it can be moved again later. This is a good thing, because in an NP-complete problem it's impossible to predict what will be the optimal final value for a planning variable.

13.3.2. Stuck in local optima

Hill climbing always takes improving moves. This may seem like a good thing, but it's not: **Hill Climbing can easily get stuck in a local optimum.** This happens when it reaches a solution for which all the moves deteriorate the score. Even if it picks one of those moves, the next step might go back to the original solution and which case chasing its own tail:



Improvements upon Hill Climbing (such as Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing and Late Acceptance) address the problem of being stuck in local optima. Therefore, it's recommended to never use Hill Climbing, unless you're absolutely sure there are no local optima in your planning problem.

13.3.3. Configuration

Simplest configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  <localSearchType>HILL_CLIMBING</localSearchType>
</localSearch>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  ...
  <acceptor>
    <acceptorType>HILL_CLIMBING</acceptorType>
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
  </forager>
```

```
</localSearch>
```

13.4. Tabu search

13.4.1. Algorithm description

Tabu Search is a Local Search that maintains a tabu list to avoid getting stuck in local optima. The tabu list holds recently used objects that are *taboo* to use for now. Moves that involve an object in the tabu list, are not accepted. The tabu list objects can be anything related to the move, such as the planning entity, planning value, move, solution, ... Here's an example with entity tabu for four queens, so the queens are put in the tabu list:





It's called Tabu Search, not Taboo Search. There is no spelling error.

Scientific paper: *Tabu Search - Part 1 and Part 2* by Fred Glover (1989 - 1990)

13.4.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  <localSearchType>TABU_SEARCH</localSearchType>
```

```
  </localSearch>
```

When Tabu Search takes steps it creates one or more tabus. For a number of steps, it does not accept a move if that move breaks tabu. That number of steps is the tabu size. Advanced configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  ...
  <acceptor>
    <entityTabuSize>7</entityTabuSize>
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    <acceptedCountLimit>1000</acceptedCountLimit>
  </forager>
</localSearch>
```



A Tabu Search acceptor should be combined with a high `acceptedCountLimit`, such as `1000`.

OptaPlanner implements several tabu types:

- *Planning entity tabu* (recommended) makes the planning entities of recent steps tabu. For example, for N queens it makes the recently moved queens tabu. It's recommended to start with this tabu type.

  ```
  <acceptor>
    <entityTabuSize>7</entityTabuSize>
  </acceptor>
  ```

  To avoid hard coding the tabu size, configure a tabu ratio, relative to the number of entities, for example 2%:

  ```
  <acceptor>
    <entityTabuRatio>0.02</entityTabuRatio>
  </acceptor>
  ```

- *Planning value tabu* makes the planning values of recent steps tabu. For example, for N queens it makes the recently moved to rows tabu.

  ```
  <acceptor>
    <valueTabuSize>7</valueTabuSize>
  </acceptor>
  ```

  To avoid hard coding the tabu size, configure a tabu ratio, relative to the number of values, for example 2%:

```
    <acceptor>
      <valueTabuRatio>0.02</valueTabuRatio>
    </acceptor>
```

- *Move tabu* makes recent steps tabu. It does not accept a move equal to one of those steps.

```
    <acceptor>
      <moveTabuSize>7</moveTabuSize>
    </acceptor>
```

- *Undo move tabu* makes the undo move of recent steps tabu.

```
    <acceptor>
      <undoMoveTabuSize>7</undoMoveTabuSize>
    </acceptor>
```



When using move tabu and undo move tabu with custom moves, make sure that the planning entities do not include planning variables in their `hashCode` methods. Failure to do so results in runtime exceptions being thrown due to the `hashCode` not being constant, as the entities have their values changed by the local search algorithm.

Sometimes it's useful to combine tabu types:

```
  <acceptor>
    <entityTabuSize>7</entityTabuSize>
    <valueTabuSize>3</valueTabuSize>
  </acceptor>
```

If the tabu size is too small, the solver can still get stuck in a local optimum. On the other hand, if the tabu size is too large, the solver can be inefficient by bouncing off the walls. Use the Benchmarker to fine tweak your configuration.

13.5. Simulated annealing

13.5.1. Algorithm description

Simulated Annealing evaluates only a few moves per step, so it steps quickly. In the classic implementation, the first accepted move is the winning step. A move is accepted if it doesn't decrease the score or - in case it does decrease the score - it passes a random check. The chance that a decreasing move passes the random check decreases relative to the size of the score decrement and the time the phase has been running (which is represented as the temperature).



Simulated Annealing does not always pick the move with the highest score, neither does it evaluate many moves per step. At least at first. Instead, it gives non improving moves also a chance to be picked, depending on its score and the time gradient of the `Termination`. In the end, it gradually turns into Hill Climbing, only accepting improving moves.

13.5.2. Configuration

Start with a `simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature` set to the maximum score delta a single move can cause. Use the Benchmarker to tweak the value. Advanced configuration:

```
  <localSearch>
    ...
    <acceptor>
      <simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature>
2hard/100soft</simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature>
    </acceptor>
    <forager>
      <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
    </forager>
  </localSearch>
```

Simulated Annealing should use a low `acceptedCountLimit`. The classic algorithm uses an `acceptedCountLimit` of `1`, but often `4` performs better.

Simulated Annealing can be combined with a tabu acceptor at the same time. That gives Simulated Annealing salted with a bit of Tabu. Use a lower tabu size than in a pure Tabu Search configuration.

```
  <localSearch>
    ...
    <acceptor>
      <entityTabuSize>5</entityTabuSize>
      <simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature>
2hard/100soft</simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature>
    </acceptor>
    <forager>
      <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
    </forager>
  </localSearch>
```

13.6. Late acceptance

13.6.1. Algorithm description

Late Acceptance (also known as Late Acceptance Hill Climbing) also evaluates only a few moves per step. A move is accepted if it does not decrease the score, or if it leads to a score that is at least the late score (which is the winning score of a fixed number of steps ago).



Scientific paper: The Late Acceptance Hill-Climbing Heuristic by Edmund K. Burke, Yuri Bykov (2012)

13.6.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  <localSearchType>LATE_ACCEPTANCE</localSearchType>
</localSearch>
```

Late Acceptance accepts any move that has a score which is higher than the best score of a number of steps ago. That number of steps is the `lateAcceptanceSize`. Advanced configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  ...
  <acceptor>
    <lateAcceptanceSize>400</lateAcceptanceSize>
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
  </forager>
</localSearch>
```

Late Acceptance should use a low `acceptedCountLimit`.

Late Acceptance can be combined with a tabu acceptor at the same time. That gives Late Acceptance salted with a bit of Tabu. Use a lower tabu size than in a pure Tabu Search configuration.

```
<localSearch>
  ...
  <acceptor>
    <entityTabuSize>5</entityTabuSize>
    <lateAcceptanceSize>400</lateAcceptanceSize>
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
  </forager>
</localSearch>
```

13.7. Great Deluge

13.7.1. Algorithm description

Great Deluge algorithm is similar to the Simulated Annealing algorithm, it evaluates only a few

moves per steps, so it steps quickly. The first accepted move is the winning step. A move is accepted only if it is not lower than the score value (water level) that we are working with. It means Great Deluge is deterministic and opposite of Simulated Annealing has no randomization in it. The water level is increased after every step either about the fixed value or by percentual value. A gradual increase in water level gives Great Deluge more time to escape from local maxima.

13.7.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration:

```
  <localSearch>
    <localSearchType>GREAT_DELUGE</localSearchType>
  </localSearch>
```

Great Deluge takes as starting water level best score from construction heuristic and uses default rain speed ratio. Advanced configuration:

```
  <localSearch>
    ...
    <acceptor>
      <greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementRatio>
0.00000005</greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementRatio>
    </acceptor>
    <forager>
      <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
    </forager>
  </localSearch>
```

OptaPlanner implements two water level increment options:

If `greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementScore` is set, the water level is increased by a constant value.

```
<acceptor>
  <greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementScore>10</greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementScore>
</acceptor>
```

To avoid hard coding the water level increment, configure a `greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementRatio` (recommended) when the water level is increased by percentual value, so there is no need to know the size of the problem or value of a scoring function.

```
<acceptor>
  <greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementRatio>
0.00000005</greatDelugeWaterLevelIncrementRatio>
</acceptor>
```

The algorithm takes as starting value the best score from the construction heuristic. Use the

Benchmarker to fine-tune tweak your configuration.

13.8. Step counting hill climbing

13.8.1. Algorithm description

Step Counting Hill Climbing also evaluates only a few moves per step. For a number of steps, it keeps the step score as a threshold. A move is accepted if it does not decrease the score, or if it leads to a score that is at least the threshold score.

Scientific paper: An initial study of a novel Step Counting Hill Climbing heuristic applied to timetabling problems by Yuri Bykov, Sanja Petrovic (2013)

13.8.2. Configuration

Step Counting Hill Climbing accepts any move that has a score which is higher than a threshold score. Every number of steps (specified by `stepCountingHillClimbingSize`), the threshold score is set to the step score.

```
<localSearch>
  ...
  <acceptor>
    <stepCountingHillClimbingSize>400</stepCountingHillClimbingSize>
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    <acceptedCountLimit>1</acceptedCountLimit>
  </forager>
</localSearch>
```

Step Counting Hill Climbing should use a low `acceptedCountLimit`.

Step Counting Hill Climbing can be combined with a tabu acceptor at the same time, similar as shown in the Late Acceptance section.

13.9. Strategic oscillation

13.9.1. Algorithm description

Strategic Oscillation is an add-on, which works especially well with Tabu Search. Instead of picking the accepted move with the highest score, it employs a different mechanism: If there's an improving move, it picks it. If there's no improving move however, it prefers moves which improve a softer score level, over moves which break a harder score level less.

13.9.2. Configuration

Configure a `finalistPodiumType`, for example in a Tabu Search configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  ...
  <acceptor>
    <entityTabuSize>7</entityTabuSize>
  </acceptor>
  <forager>
    <acceptedCountLimit>1000</acceptedCountLimit>
    <finalistPodiumType>STRATEGIC_OSCILLATION</finalistPodiumType>
  </forager>
</localSearch>
```

The following `finalistPodiumType`s are supported:

- `HIGHEST_SCORE` (default): Pick the accepted move with the highest score.
- `STRATEGIC_OSCILLATION`: Alias for the default strategic oscillation variant.
- `STRATEGIC_OSCILLATION_BY_LEVEL`: If there is an accepted improving move, pick it. If no such move exists, prefer an accepted move which improves a softer score level over one that doesn't (even if it has a better harder score level). A move is improving if it's better than the last completed step score.
- `STRATEGIC_OSCILLATION_BY_LEVEL_ON_BEST_SCORE`: Like `STRATEGIC_OSCILLATION_BY_LEVEL`, but define improving as better than the best score (instead of the last completed step score).

13.10. Variable neighborhood descent

13.10.1. Algorithm description

Variable Neighborhood Descent iteratively tries multiple move selectors in original order (depleting each selector entirely before trying the next one), picking the first improving move (which also resets the iterator back to the first move selector).



Despite that VND has a name that ends with *descent* (from the research papers), the implementation will ascend to a higher score (which is a better score).

13.10.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  <localSearchType>VARIABLE_NEIGHBORHOOD_DESCENT</localSearchType>
</localSearch>
```

Advanced configuration:

```
<localSearch>
  <unionMoveSelector>
```

```
      <selectionOrder>ORIGINAL</selectionOrder>
      <changeMoveSelector/>
      <swapMoveSelector/>
      ...
    </unionMoveSelector>
    <acceptor>
      <acceptorType>HILL_CLIMBING</acceptorType>
    </acceptor>
    <forager>
      <pickEarlyType>FIRST_LAST_STEP_SCORE_IMPROVING</pickEarlyType>
    </forager>
  </localSearch>
```

Variable Neighborhood Descent doesn't scale well, but it is useful in some use cases with a very erratic score landscape.

13.11. Using a custom `Termination`, `MoveSelector`, `EntitySelector`, `ValueSelector`, or `Acceptor`

Plug in a custom `Termination`, `MoveSelector`, `EntitySelector`, `ValueSelector` or `Acceptor` by extending the abstract class and also the related `\*Config` class.



Extending `Config` classes is not covered by the backwards compatibility guarantee. Whenever possible, it's better to just use custom properties instead.

For example, to use a custom `Termination`, extend the `AbstractTermination` class, extend the `TerminationConfig` class and configure it in the solver configuration.

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <termination class="...MyTerminationConfig">
    <myProperty>myValue</myProperty>
  </termination>
</solver>
```



It's not possible to inject a `Termination`, ... instance directly (to avoid extending a `Config` class too) because:

- A `SolverFactory` can build multiple `Solver` instances, which each require a distinct `Termination`, ... instance.
- A solver configuration needs to be serializable from and to XML. This makes benchmarking with `PlannerBenchmark` particularly easy because you can configure different `Solver` variants in XML.
- A `Config` class is often easier and clearer to configure. For example:

> `TerminationConfig` translates `minutesSpentLimit` and `secondsSpentLimit` into `timeMillisSpentLimit`.

If you write a custom implementation of any of those classes, let us know *why* on our forum. If it's not domain specific, you might want to consider contributing it back as a pull request on github: we'll optimize it and take it along in future refactorings.

Chapter 14. Evolutionary algorithms

14.1. Overview

Evolutionary Algorithms work on a population of solutions and evolve that population.

14.2. Evolutionary strategies

This algorithm has not been implemented yet.

14.3. Genetic algorithms

This algorithm has not been implemented yet.



A good Genetic Algorithms prototype in OptaPlanner was written some time ago, but it wasn't practical to merge and support it at the time. The results of Genetic Algorithms were consistently and seriously inferior to all the Local Search variants (except Hill Climbing) on all use cases tried. Nevertheless, a future version of OptaPlanner will add support for Genetic Algorithms, so you can easily benchmark Genetic Algorithms on your use case too.

Chapter 15. Hyperheuristics

15.1. Overview

A hyperheuristic automates the decision which heuristic(s) to use on a specific data set.

A future version of OptaPlanner will have native support for hyperheuristics. Meanwhile, it's possible to implement it yourself: Based on the size or difficulty of a data set (which is a criterion), use a different `Solver` configuration (or adjust the default configuration using the Solver configuration API). The Benchmarker can help to identify such criteria.

Chapter 16. Partitioned search

16.1. Algorithm description

It is often more efficient to partition large data sets (usually above 5000 planning entities) into smaller pieces and solve them separately. Partition Search is multithreaded, so it provides a performance boost on multi-core machines due to higher CPU utilization. Additionally, even when only using one CPU, it finds an initial solution faster, because the search space sum of a partitioned Construction Heuristic is far less than its non-partitioned variant.

However, **partitioning does lead to suboptimal results**, even if the pieces are solved optimally, as shown below:



It effectively trades a short term gain in solution quality for long term loss. One way to compensate for this loss, is to run a non-partitioned Local Search after the Partitioned Search phase.



Not all use cases can be partitioned. Partitioning only works for use cases where the planning entities and value ranges can be split into n partitions, without any of the constraints crossing boundaries between partitions.

16.2. Configuration

Simplest configuration:

```
  <partitionedSearch>

<solutionPartitionerClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.partitioner
.CloudBalancePartitioner</solutionPartitionerClass>
  </partitionedSearch>
```

Also add a @PlanningId annotations on every planning entity class and planning value class. There are several ways to partition a solution.

Advanced configuration:

```
  <partitionedSearch>
    ...

<solutionPartitionerClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.partitioner
.CloudBalancePartitioner</solutionPartitionerClass>
    <runnablePartThreadLimit>4</runnablePartThreadLimit>

    <constructionHeuristic>...</constructionHeuristic>
    <localSearch>...</localSearch>
  </partitionedSearch>
```

The `runnablePartThreadLimit` allows limiting CPU usage to avoid hanging your machine, see below.

To run in an environment that doesn't like arbitrary thread creation, plug in a custom thread factory.



A logging level of `debug` or `trace` causes congestion in multithreaded Partitioned Search and slows down the score calculation speed.

Just like a `<solver>` element, the `<partitionedSearch>` element can contain one or more phases. Each of those phases will be run on each partition.

A common configuration is to first run a Partitioned Search phase (which includes a Construction Heuristic and a Local Search) followed by a non-partitioned Local Search phase:

```
  <partitionedSearch>
    <solutionPartitionerClass>...CloudBalancePartitioner</solutionPartitionerClass>

    <constructionHeuristic/>
    <localSearch>
      <termination>
        <secondsSpentLimit>60</secondsSpentLimit>
```

```
      </termination>
    </localSearch>
  </partitionedSearch>
  <localSearch/>
```

16.3. Partitioning a solution

16.3.1. Custom `SolutionPartitioner`

To use a custom `SolutionPartitioner`, configure one on the Partitioned Search phase:

```
  <partitionedSearch>

<solutionPartitionerClass>org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.optional.partitioner
.CloudBalancePartitioner</solutionPartitionerClass>
  </partitionedSearch>
```

Implement the `SolutionPartitioner` interface:

```
public interface SolutionPartitioner<Solution_> {

    List<Solution_> splitWorkingSolution(ScoreDirector<Solution_> scoreDirector,
Integer runnablePartThreadLimit);

}
```

The `size()` of the returned `List` is the `partCount` (the number of partitions). This can be decided dynamically, for example, based on the size of the non-partitioned solution. The `partCount` is unrelated to the `runnablePartThreadLimit`.

For example:

```
public class CloudBalancePartitioner implements SolutionPartitioner<CloudBalance> {

    private int partCount = 4;
    private int minimumProcessListSize = 75;

    @Override
    public List<CloudBalance> splitWorkingSolution(ScoreDirector<CloudBalance>
scoreDirector, Integer runnablePartThreadLimit) {
        CloudBalance originalSolution = scoreDirector.getWorkingSolution();
        List<CloudComputer> originalComputerList = originalSolution.getComputerList();
        List<CloudProcess> originalProcessList = originalSolution.getProcessList();
        int partCount = this.partCount;
        if (originalProcessList.size() / partCount < minimumProcessListSize) {
            partCount = originalProcessList.size() / minimumProcessListSize;
```

```
        }
        List<CloudBalance> partList = new ArrayList<>(partCount);
        for (int i = 0; i < partCount; i++) {
            CloudBalance partSolution = new CloudBalance(originalSolution.getId(),
                    new ArrayList<>(originalComputerList.size() / partCount + 1),
                    new ArrayList<>(originalProcessList.size() / partCount + 1));
            partList.add(partSolution);
        }

        int partIndex = 0;
        Map<Long, Pair<Integer, CloudComputer>> idToPartIndexAndComputerMap = new
HashMap<>(originalComputerList.size());
        for (CloudComputer originalComputer : originalComputerList) {
            CloudBalance part = partList.get(partIndex);
            CloudComputer computer = new CloudComputer(
                    originalComputer.getId(),
                    originalComputer.getCpuPower(), originalComputer.getMemory(),
                    originalComputer.getNetworkBandwidth(), originalComputer.getCost(
));
            part.getComputerList().add(computer);
            idToPartIndexAndComputerMap.put(computer.getId(), Pair.of(partIndex,
computer));
            partIndex = (partIndex + 1) % partList.size();
        }

        partIndex = 0;
        for (CloudProcess originalProcess : originalProcessList) {
            CloudBalance part = partList.get(partIndex);
            CloudProcess process = new CloudProcess(
                    originalProcess.getId(),
                    originalProcess.getRequiredCpuPower(), originalProcess
.getRequiredMemory(),
                    originalProcess.getRequiredNetworkBandwidth());
            part.getProcessList().add(process);
            if (originalProcess.getComputer() != null) {
                Pair<Integer, CloudComputer> partIndexAndComputer =
idToPartIndexAndComputerMap.get(
                        originalProcess.getComputer().getId());
                if (partIndexAndComputer == null) {
                    throw new IllegalStateException("The initialized process (" +
originalProcess
                            + ") has a computer (" + originalProcess.getComputer()
                            + ") which doesn't exist in the originalSolution (" +
originalSolution + ").");
                }
                if (partIndex != partIndexAndComputer.getLeft().intValue()) {
                    throw new IllegalStateException("The initialized process (" +
originalProcess
                            + ") with partIndex (" + partIndex
                            + ") has a computer (" + originalProcess.getComputer()
                            + ") which belongs to another partIndex (" +
```

```
partIndexAndComputer.getLeft() + ").");
                }
                process.setComputer(partIndexAndComputer.getRight());
            }
            partIndex = (partIndex + 1) % partList.size();
        }
        return partList;
    }

}
```

To configure values of a `SolutionPartitioner` dynamically in the solver configuration (so the Benchmarker can tweak those parameters), add the `solutionPartitionerCustomProperties` element and use custom properties:

```
  <partitionedSearch>
    <solutionPartitionerClass>...CloudBalancePartitioner</solutionPartitionerClass>
    <solutionPartitionerCustomProperties>
      <property name="myPartCount" value="8"/>
      <property name="myMinimumProcessListSize" value="100"/>
    </solutionPartitionerCustomProperties>
  </partitionedSearch>
```

16.4. Runnable part thread limit

When running a multithreaded solver, such as Partitioned Search, CPU power can quickly become a scarce resource, which can cause other processes or threads to hang or freeze. However, OptaPlanner has a system to prevent CPU starving of other processes (such as an SSH connection in production or your IDE in development) or other threads (such as the servlet threads that handle REST requests).

As explained in sizing hardware and software, each solver (including each child solver) does no IO during `solve()` and therefore saturates one CPU core completely. In Partitioned Search, every partition always has its own thread, called a part thread. It is impossible for two partitions to share a thread, because of asynchronous termination: the second thread would never run. Every part thread will try to consume one CPU core entirely, so if there are more partitions than CPU cores, this will probably hang the system. `Thread.setPriority()` is often too weak to solve this hogging problem, so another approach is used.

The `runnablePartThreadLimit` parameter specifies how many part threads are runnable at the same time. The other part threads will temporarily block and therefore will not consume any CPU power. **This parameter basically specifies how many CPU cores are donated to OptaPlanner.** All part threads share the CPU cores in a round-robin manner to consume (more or less) the same number of CPU cycles:



The following `runnablePartThreadLimit` options are supported:

- `UNLIMITED`: Allow OptaPlanner to occupy all CPU cores, do not avoid hogging. Useful if a no hogging CPU policy is configured on the OS level.
- `AUTO` (default): Let OptaPlanner decide how many CPU cores to occupy. This formula is based on experience. It does not hog all CPU cores on a multi-core machine.
- Static number: The number of CPU cores to consume. For example:

```
<runnablePartThreadLimit>2</runnablePartThreadLimit>
```



If the `runnablePartThreadLimit` is equal to or higher than the number of available processors, the host is likely to hang or freeze, unless there is an OS specific policy in place to avoid OptaPlanner from hogging all the CPU processors.

Chapter 17. Benchmarking and tweaking

17.1. Find the best solver configuration

OptaPlanner supports several optimization algorithms, so you're probably wondering which is the best one? Although some optimization algorithms generally perform better than others, it really depends on your problem domain. Most solver phases have parameters which can be tweaked. Those parameters can influence the results a lot, even though most solver phases work pretty well out-of-the-box.

Luckily, OptaPlanner includes a benchmarker, which allows you to play out different solver phases with different settings against each other in development, so you can use the best configuration for your planning problem in production.



17.2. Benchmark configuration

17.2.1. Add a dependency on `optaplanner-benchmark`

The benchmarker is in a separate artifact called `optaplanner-benchmark`.

If you use Maven, add a dependency in your `pom.xml` file:

```
    <dependency>
      <groupId>org.optaplanner</groupId>
      <artifactId>optaplanner-benchmark</artifactId>
    </dependency>
```

This is similar for Gradle, Ivy and Buildr. The version must be exactly the same as the `optaplanner-core` version used (which is automatically the case if you import `optaplanner-bom`).

If you use ANT, you've probably already copied the required jars from the download zip's *binaries* directory.

17.2.2. Run a simple benchmark

To quickly setup a benchmark, create a `PlannerBenchmarkFactory` from your solver configuration XML, load a few datasets and benchmark them. For example, with 3 datasets:

```
PlannerBenchmarkFactory benchmarkFactory = PlannerBenchmarkFactory
.createFromSolverConfigXmlResource(
        "
org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/solver/cloudBalancingSolverConfig.xml");

CloudBalance dataset1 = ...;
CloudBalance dataset2 = ...;
CloudBalance dataset3 = ...;
PlannerBenchmark benchmark = benchmarkFactory.buildPlannerBenchmark(
        dataset1, dataset2, dataset3);
benchmark.benchmarkAndShowReportInBrowser();
```

This generates a benchmark report in `local/benchmarkReport` and shows it in your browser when it's finished. The `SolverFactory`'s solver configuration needs a termination to limit how long each dataset runs. To configure a different benchmark directory, pass a `File` parameter to `createFromSolverConfigXmlResource()`.

The generated benchmark report already contains interesting information, but it doesn't compare solver configurations to find the best algorithm. To do that, set up an explicit benchmark configuration:

17.2.3. Configure and run an advanced benchmark

Build a `PlannerBenchmark` instance with a `PlannerBenchmarkFactory`. Configure it with a benchmark configuration XML file, provided as a classpath resource:

```
PlannerBenchmarkFactory benchmarkFactory = PlannerBenchmarkFactory
.createFromXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/benchmark/cloudBalancingBenchmarkConfig.xml")
;
```

```
PlannerBenchmark benchmark = benchmarkFactory.buildPlannerBenchmark();
benchmark.benchmarkAndShowReportInBrowser();
```

Alternatively, create a `PlannerBenchmarkFactory` programmatically from a `PlannerBenchmarkConfig`.

A benchmark configuration XML file looks like this:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  <benchmarkDirectory>local/data/nqueens</benchmarkDirectory>

  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    <solver>
      ...<!-- Common solver configuration -->
    </solver>
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <inputSolutionFile>data/cloudbalancing/unsolved/100computers-
300processes.json</inputSolutionFile>
      <inputSolutionFile>data/cloudbalancing/unsolved/200computers-
600processes.json</inputSolutionFile>
    </problemBenchmarks>
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>

  <solverBenchmark>
    <name>Tabu Search</name>
    <solver>
      ...<!-- Tabu Search specific solver configuration -->
    </solver>
  </solverBenchmark>
  <solverBenchmark>
    <name>Simulated Annealing</name>
    <solver>
      ...<!-- Simulated Annealing specific solver configuration -->
    </solver>
  </solverBenchmark>
  <solverBenchmark>
    <name>Late Acceptance</name>
    <solver>
      ...<!-- Late Acceptance specific solver configuration -->
    </solver>
  </solverBenchmark>
</plannerBenchmark>
```

This `PlannerBenchmark` tries three configurations (Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing and Late Acceptance) on two data sets (`100computers-300processes` and `200computers-600processes`), so it runs

six solvers.

Every `<solverBenchmark>` element contains a solver configuration and one or more `<inputSolutionFile>` elements. It runs the solver configuration on each of those unsolved solution files. The element `name` is optional, because it is generated if absent. The `inputSolutionFile` is read by a SolutionFileIO, relative to the working directory.



Use a forward slash (/) as the file separator (for example in the element `<inputSolutionFile>`). That will work on any platform (including Windows).

Do not use backslash (\) as the file separator: that breaks portability because it does not work on Linux and Mac.

The benchmark report is written in the directory specified by the `<benchmarkDirectory>` element (relative to the working directory).



It's recommended that the `benchmarkDirectory` is a directory that is ignored for source control and not cleaned by your build system. This way the generated files are not bloating your source control and they aren't lost when doing a clean build. For example in git, it should be added to `.gitignore`. Usually that directory is called `local`.

If an `Exception` or `Error` occurs in a single benchmark, the entire Benchmarker does not fail-fast (unlike everything else in OptaPlanner). Instead, the Benchmarker continues to run all other benchmarks, write the benchmark report and then fail (if there is at least one failing single benchmark). The failing benchmarks are clearly marked as such in the benchmark report.

17.2.3.1. Inherited solver benchmark

To lower verbosity, the common parts of multiple `<solverBenchmark>` elements are extracted to the `<inheritedSolverBenchmark>` element. Every property can still be overwritten per `<solverBenchmark>` element. Note that inherited solver phases such as `<constructionHeuristic>` or `<localSearch>` are not overwritten but instead are added to the tail of the solver phases list.

17.2.4. `SolutionFileIO`: input and output of solution files

17.2.4.1. `SolutionFileIO` interface

The benchmarker needs to be able to read the input files to load a problem. Also, it optionally writes the best solution of each benchmark to an output file. It does that through the `SolutionFileIO` interface which has a read and write method:

```
public interface SolutionFileIO<Solution_> {
    ...

    Solution_ read(File inputSolutionFile);
    void write(Solution_ solution, File outputSolutionFile);
```

```
}
```

The `SolutionFileIO` interface is in the `optaplanner-persistence-common` jar (which is a dependency of the `optaplanner-benchmark` jar). There are several ways to serialize a solution.

17.2.4.2. `JacksonSolutionFileIO`: serialize to and from an JSON format

To read and write solutions in JSON format via Jackson, extend the `JacksonSolutionFileIO`:

```
public class NQueensJsonSolutionFileIO extends JacksonSolutionFileIO<NQueens> {
    public NQueensJsonSolutionFileIO() {
        // NQueens is the @PlanningSolution class.
        super(NQueens.class);
    }
}
```

If the JSON file requires specific Jackson modules and features to be enabled/disabled. You could create your desired object mapper as a dependency to the JacksonSolutionFileIO as follows:

```
public class NQueensJsonSolutionFileIO extends JacksonSolutionFileIO<NQueens> {
    public NQueensJsonSolutionFileIO() {
        // NQueens is the @PlanningSolution class.
        super(NQueens.class,
                new ObjectMapper()
                        .registerModule(new JavaTimeModule())
                        .disable(SerializationFeature.WRITE_DATES_AS_TIMESTAMPS)
        );
    }

}
```

Then use it in the benchmark configuration like so:

```
    <problemBenchmarks>

<solutionFileIOClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.persistence.NQueensJsonSolutionF
ileIO</solutionFileIOClass>
      <inputSolutionFile>data/nqueens/unsolved/32queens.json</inputSolutionFile>
      ...
    </problemBenchmarks>
```

17.2.4.3. `JaxbSolutionFileIO`: serialize to and from an XML format

To read and write solutions in the XML format via Java Architecture for XML Binding (JAXB), extend the `JaxbSolutionFileIO`:

```
public class NQueensXmlSolutionFileIO extends JaxbSolutionFileIO<NQueens> {
    public NQueensXmlSolutionFileIO() {
        // NQueens is the @PlanningSolution class.
        super(NQueens.class);
    }
}
```

and use it in the benchmark configuration:

```
    <problemBenchmarks>

<solutionFileIOClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.persistence.NQueensSolutionFileI
O</solutionFileIOClass>
      <inputSolutionFile>data/nqueens/unsolved/32queens.xml</inputSolutionFile>
      ...
    </problemBenchmarks>
```

Add JAXB annotations (such as `@XmlElement`) on your domain classes to use a less verbose XML format. Regardless, XML is still a very verbose format. Reading or writing large datasets in this format can cause an `OutOfMemoryError`, `StackOverflowError` or large performance degradation.

17.2.4.4. Custom `SolutionFileIO`: serialize to and from a custom format

Implement your own `SolutionFileIO` implementation and configure it with the `solutionFileIOClass` element to write to a custom format (such as a txt or a binary format):

```
    <problemBenchmarks>

<solutionFileIOClass>org.optaplanner.examples.machinereassignment.persistence.MachineR
eassignmentFileIO</solutionFileIOClass>
      <inputSolutionFile>
data/machinereassignment/import/model_a1_1.txt</inputSolutionFile>
      ...
    </problemBenchmarks>
```

It's recommended that output files can be read as input files, which implies that `getInputFileExtension()` and `getOutputFileExtension()` return the same value.



A `SolutionFileIO` implementation must be thread-safe.

17.2.4.5. Reading an input solution from a database or other storage

There are two options if your dataset is in a relational database or another type of repository:

- Extract the datasets from the database and serialize them to a local file, for example as JSON with `JacksonSolutionFileIO`. Then use those files in `<inputSolutionFile>` elements.

- The benchmarks are now more reliable because they run offline.
- Each dataset is only loaded just in time.

- Load all the datasets in advance and pass them to the `buildPlannerBenchmark()` method:

```
        PlannerBenchmark plannerBenchmark = benchmarkFactory.buildPlannerBenchmark
(dataset1, dataset2, dataset3);
```

17.2.5. Warming up the HotSpot compiler

Without a warm up, the results of the first (or first few) benchmarks are not reliable because they lose CPU time on HotSpot JIT compilation.

To avoid that distortion, the benchmarker runs some of the benchmarks for 30 seconds, before running the real benchmarks. That default warm up of 30 seconds usually suffices. Change it, for example to give it 60 seconds:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <warmUpSecondsSpentLimit>60</warmUpSecondsSpentLimit>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```

Turn off the warm up phase altogether by setting it to zero:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <warmUpSecondsSpentLimit>0</warmUpSecondsSpentLimit>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```



The warm up time budget does not include the time it takes to load the datasets. With large datasets, this can cause the warm up to run considerably longer than specified in the configuration.

17.2.6. Benchmark blueprint: a predefined configuration

To quickly configure and run a benchmark for typical solver configs, use a `solverBenchmarkBluePrint` instead of `solverBenchmark`s:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  <benchmarkDirectory>local/data/nqueens</benchmarkDirectory>

  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    <solver>
      <solutionClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.domain.NQueens</solutionClass>
      <entityClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.domain.Queen</entityClass>
      <scoreDirectorFactory>

<constraintProviderClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.score.NQueensConstraintProvi
der</constraintProviderClass>
        <initializingScoreTrend>ONLY_DOWN</initializingScoreTrend>
      </scoreDirectorFactory>
      <termination>
        <minutesSpentLimit>1</minutesSpentLimit>
      </termination>
    </solver>
    <problemBenchmarks>

<solutionFileIOClass>org.optaplanner.examples.nqueens.persistence.NQueensSolutionFileI
O</solutionFileIOClass>
      <inputSolutionFile>data/nqueens/unsolved/32queens.json</inputSolutionFile>
      <inputSolutionFile>data/nqueens/unsolved/64queens.json</inputSolutionFile>
    </problemBenchmarks>
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>

  <solverBenchmarkBluePrint>

<solverBenchmarkBluePrintType>EVERY_CONSTRUCTION_HEURISTIC_TYPE_WITH_EVERY_LOCAL_SEARC
H_TYPE</solverBenchmarkBluePrintType>
  </solverBenchmarkBluePrint>
</plannerBenchmark>
```

The following `SolverBenchmarkBluePrintType`s are supported:

- `CONSTRUCTION_HEURISTIC_WITH_AND_WITHOUT_LOCAL_SEARCH`: Run the default Construction Heuristic type with and without the default Local Search type.
- `EVERY_CONSTRUCTION_HEURISTIC_TYPE`: Run every Construction Heuristic type (First Fit, First Fit Decreasing, Cheapest Insertion, ...).
- `EVERY_LOCAL_SEARCH_TYPE`: Run every Local Search type (Tabu Search, Late Acceptance, ...) with the default Construction Heuristic.
- `EVERY_CONSTRUCTION_HEURISTIC_TYPE_WITH_EVERY_LOCAL_SEARCH_TYPE`: Run every Construction Heuristic type with every Local Search type.

17.2.7. Write the output solution of benchmark runs

The best solution of each benchmark run can be written in the `benchmarkDirectory`. By default, this is disabled, because the files are rarely used and considered bloat. Also, on large datasets, writing the best solution of each single benchmark can take quite some time and memory (causing an `OutOfMemoryError`), especially in a verbose format like XML.

To write those solutions in the `benchmarkDirectory`, enable `writeOutputSolutionEnabled`:

```
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <writeOutputSolutionEnabled>true</writeOutputSolutionEnabled>
      ...
    </problemBenchmarks>
```

17.2.8. Benchmark logging

Benchmark logging is configured like solver logging.

To separate the log messages of each single benchmark run into a separate file, use the MDC with key `subSingleBenchmark.name` in a sifting appender. For example with Logback in `logback.xml`:

```
  <appender name="fileAppender" class="ch.qos.logback.classic.sift.SiftingAppender">
    <discriminator>
      <key>subSingleBenchmark.name</key>
      <defaultValue>app</defaultValue>
    </discriminator>
    <sift>
      <appender name="fileAppender.${subSingleBenchmark.name}" class="...FileAppender
">
        <file>local/log/optaplannerBenchmark-${subSingleBenchmark.name}.log</file>
        ...
      </appender>
    </sift>
  </appender>
```

17.3. Benchmark report

17.3.1. HTML report

After running a benchmark, an HTML report will be written in the `benchmarkDirectory` with the `index.html` filename. Open it in your browser. It has a nice overview of your benchmark including:

- Summary statistics: graphs and tables
- Problem statistics per `inputSolutionFile`: graphs and CSV
- Each solver configuration (ranked): Handy to copy and paste

- Benchmark information: settings, hardware, ...



Graphs are generated by the excellent JFreeChart library.

The HTML report will use your default locale to format numbers. If you share the benchmark report with people from another country, consider overwriting the `locale` accordingly:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <benchmarkReport>
    <locale>en_US</locale>
  </benchmarkReport>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```

17.3.2. Ranking the solvers

The benchmark report automatically ranks the solvers. The `Solver` with rank `0` is called the favorite `Solver`: it performs best overall, but it might not be the best on every problem. It's recommended to use that favorite `Solver` in production.

However, there are different ways of ranking the solvers. Configure it like this:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <benchmarkReport>
    <solverRankingType>TOTAL_SCORE</solverRankingType>
  </benchmarkReport>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```

The following `solverRankingType`s are supported:

- `TOTAL_SCORE` (default): Maximize the overall score, so minimize the overall cost if all solutions would be executed.
- `WORST_SCORE`: Minimize the worst case scenario.
- `TOTAL_RANKING`: Maximize the overall ranking. Use this if your datasets differ greatly in size or difficulty, producing a difference in `Score` magnitude.

`Solver`s with at least one failed single benchmark do not get a ranking. `Solver`s with not fully initialized solutions are ranked worse.

To use a custom ranking, implement a `Comparator`:

```
  <benchmarkReport>
    <solverRankingComparatorClass>
...TotalScoreSolverRankingComparator</solverRankingComparatorClass>
  </benchmarkReport>
```

Or by implementing a weight factory:

```
  <benchmarkReport>
    <solverRankingWeightFactoryClass>
...TotalRankSolverRankingWeightFactory</solverRankingWeightFactoryClass>
  </benchmarkReport>
```

17.4. Summary statistics

17.4.1. Best score summary (graph and table)

Shows the best score per `inputSolutionFile` for each solver configuration.

Useful for visualizing the best solver configuration.



Figure 8. Best score summary statistic

17.4.2. Best score scalability summary (graph)

Shows the best score per problem scale for each solver configuration.

Useful for visualizing the scalability of each solver configuration.



The problem scale will report `0` if any `@ValueRangeProvider` method signature returns ValueRange (instead of `CountableValueRange` or `Collection`).

17.4.3. Best score distribution summary (graph)

Shows the best score distribution per `inputSolutionFile` for each solver configuration.

Useful for visualizing the reliability of each solver configuration.



Figure 9. Best Score Distribution Summary Statistic

Enable statistical benchmarking to use this summary.

17.4.4. Winning score difference summary (graph And table)

Shows the winning score difference per `inputSolutionFile` for each solver configuration. The winning score difference is the score difference with the score of the winning solver configuration for that particular `inputSolutionFile`.

Useful for zooming in on the results of the best score summary.

17.4.5. Worst score difference percentage (ROI) summary (graph And table)

Shows the return on investment (ROI) per `inputSolutionFile` for each solver configuration if you'd upgrade from the worst solver configuration for that particular `inputSolutionFile`.

Useful for visualizing the return on investment (ROI) to decision makers.

17.4.6. Score calculation speed summary (graph And table)

Shows the score calculation speed: a count per second per problem scale for each solver configuration.

Useful for comparing different score calculators and/or constraint implementations (presuming

that the solver configurations do not differ otherwise). Also useful to measure the scalability cost of an extra constraint.

17.4.7. Time spent summary (graph And table)

Shows the time spent per `inputSolutionFile` for each solver configuration. This is pointless if it's benchmarking against a fixed time limit.

Useful for visualizing the performance of construction heuristics (presuming that no other solver phases are configured).

17.4.8. Time spent scalability summary (graph)

Shows the time spent per problem scale for each solver configuration. This is pointless if it's benchmarking against a fixed time limit.

Useful for extrapolating the scalability of construction heuristics (presuming that no other solver phases are configured).

17.4.9. Best score per time spent summary (graph)

Shows the best score per time spent for each solver configuration. This is pointless if it's benchmarking against a fixed time limit.

Useful for visualizing trade-off between the best score versus the time spent for construction heuristics (presuming that no other solver phases are configured).

17.5. Statistic per dataset (graph and CSV)

17.5.1. Enable a problem statistic

The benchmarker supports outputting problem statistics as graphs and CSV (comma separated values) files to the `benchmarkDirectory`. To configure one or more, add a `problemStatisticType` line for each one:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  <benchmarkDirectory>local/data/nqueens/solved</benchmarkDirectory>
  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <problemStatisticType>BEST_SCORE</problemStatisticType>
      <problemStatisticType>SCORE_CALCULATION_SPEED</problemStatisticType>
    </problemBenchmarks>
    ...
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>
  ...
```

```
</plannerBenchmark>
```



These problem statistics can slow down the solvers noticeably, which affects the benchmark results. That's why they are optional and only `BEST_SCORE` is enabled by default. To disable that one too, use `problemStatisticEnabled`:

```
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <problemStatisticEnabled>false</problemStatisticEnabled>
    </problemBenchmarks>
```

The summary statistics do not slow down the solver and are always generated.

The following types are supported:

17.5.2. Best score over time statistic (graph and CSV)

Shows how the best score evolves over time. It is run by default. To run it when other statistics are configured, also add:

```
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <problemStatisticType>BEST_SCORE</problemStatisticType>
    </problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 10. Best Score Over Time Statistic



A time gradient based algorithm (such as Simulated Annealing) will have a different statistic if it's run with a different time limit configuration. That's because this Simulated Annealing implementation automatically determines its velocity based on the amount of time that can be spent. On the other hand, for the Tabu Search and Late Acceptance, what you see is what you'd get.

The best score over time statistic is very useful to detect abnormalities, such as a potential score trap which gets the solver temporarily stuck in a local optima.



17.5.3. Step score over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see how the step score evolves over time, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <problemStatisticType>STEP_SCORE</problemStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 11. Step Score Over Time Statistic

Compare the step score statistic with the best score statistic (especially on parts for which the best score flatlines). If it hits a local optima, the solver should take deteriorating steps to escape it. But it shouldn't deteriorate too much either.



The step score statistic has been seen to slow down the solver noticeably due to GC stress, especially for fast stepping algorithms (such as Simulated Annealing and Late Acceptance).

17.5.4. Score calculation speed over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see how fast the scores are calculated, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <problemStatisticType>SCORE_CALCULATION_SPEED</problemStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 12. Score Calculation Speed Statistic



The initial high calculation speed is typical during solution initialization: it's far easier to calculate the score of a solution if only a handful planning entities have been initialized, than when all the planning entities are initialized.

After those few seconds of initialization, the calculation speed is relatively stable, apart from an occasional stop-the-world garbage collector disruption.

17.5.5. Best solution mutation over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see how much each new best solution differs from the *previous best solution*, by counting the number of planning variables which have a different value (not including the variables that have changed multiple times but still end up with the same value), add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <problemStatisticType>BEST_SOLUTION_MUTATION</problemStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 13. Best Solution Mutation Over Time Statistic

Use Tabu Search - an algorithm that behaves like a human - to get an estimation on how difficult it would be for a human to improve the previous best solution to that new best solution.

17.5.6. Move count per step statistic (graph and CSV)

To see how the selected and accepted move count per step evolves over time, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <problemStatisticType>MOVE_COUNT_PER_STEP</problemStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 14. Move Count Per Step Statistic



This statistic has been seen to slow down the solver noticeably due to GC stress, especially for fast stepping algorithms (such as Simulated Annealing and Late Acceptance).

17.5.7. Memory use statistic (graph and CSV)

To see how much memory is used, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <problemStatisticType>MEMORY_USE</problemStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 15. Memory Use Statistic



== The memory use statistic has been seen to affect the solver noticeably. ==

17.6. Statistic per single benchmark (graph and CSV)

17.6.1. Enable a single statistic

A single statistic is static for one dataset for one solver configuration. Unlike a problem statistic, it does not aggregate over solver configurations.

The benchmarker supports outputting single statistics as graphs and CSV (comma separated values) files to the `benchmarkDirectory`. To configure one, add a `singleStatisticType` line:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  <benchmarkDirectory>local/data/nqueens/solved</benchmarkDirectory>
  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <problemStatisticType>...</problemStatisticType>
```

```
      <singleStatisticType>PICKED_MOVE_TYPE_BEST_SCORE_DIFF</singleStatisticType>
    </problemBenchmarks>
    ...
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```

Multiple `singleStatisticType` elements are allowed.



These statistic per single benchmark can slow down the solver noticeably, which affects the benchmark results. That's why they are optional and not enabled by default.

The following types are supported:

17.6.2. Constraint match total best score over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see which constraints are matched in the best score (and how much) over time, add:

```
    <problemBenchmarks>
      ...
      <singleStatisticType>CONSTRAINT_MATCH_TOTAL_BEST_SCORE</singleStatisticType>
    </problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 16. Constraint Match Total Best Score Diff Over Time Statistic

Requires the score calculation to support constraint matches. Constraint Streams and Drools score calculation (Deprecated) support constraint matches automatically, but incremental Java score calculation requires more work.



The constraint match total statistics affect the solver noticeably.

17.6.3. Constraint match total step score over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see which constraints are matched in the step score (and how much) over time, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <singleStatisticType>CONSTRAINT_MATCH_TOTAL_STEP_SCORE</singleStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 17. Constraint Match Total Step Score Diff Over Time Statistic

Also requires the score calculation to support constraint matches.



The constraint match total statistics affect the solver noticeably.

17.6.4. Picked move type best score diff over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see which move types improve the best score (and how much) over time, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <singleStatisticType>PICKED_MOVE_TYPE_BEST_SCORE_DIFF</singleStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 18. Picked Move Type Best Score Diff Over Time Statistic

17.6.5. Picked move type step score diff over time statistic (graph and CSV)

To see how much each winning step affects the step score over time, add:

```
<problemBenchmarks>
  ...
  <singleStatisticType>PICKED_MOVE_TYPE_STEP_SCORE_DIFF</singleStatisticType>
</problemBenchmarks>
```



Figure 19. Picked Move Type Step Score Diff Over Time Statistic

17.7. Advanced benchmarking

17.7.1. Benchmarking performance tricks

17.7.1.1. Parallel benchmarking on multiple threads

If you have multiple processors available on your computer, you can run multiple benchmarks in parallel on multiple threads to get your benchmarks results faster:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <parallelBenchmarkCount>AUTO</parallelBenchmarkCount>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```



Running too many benchmarks in parallel will affect the results of benchmarks negatively. Leave some processors unused for garbage collection and other

processes.

The following `parallelBenchmarkCount`s are supported:

- `1` (default): Run all benchmarks sequentially.
- `AUTO`: Let OptaPlanner decide how many benchmarks to run in parallel. This formula is based on experience. It's recommended to prefer this over the other parallel enabling options.
- Static number: The number of benchmarks to run in parallel.

```
<parallelBenchmarkCount>2</parallelBenchmarkCount>
```



The `parallelBenchmarkCount` is always limited to the number of available processors. If it's higher, it will be automatically decreased.



If you have a computer with slow or unreliable cooling, increasing the `parallelBenchmarkCount` above one (even on `AUTO`) may overheat your CPU.

The `sensors` command can help you detect if this is the case. It is available in the package `lm_sensors` or `lm-sensors` in most Linux distributions. There are several freeware tools available for Windows too.

The benchmarker uses a thread pool internally, but you can optionally plug in a custom `ThreadFactory`, for example when running benchmarks on an application server or a cloud platform:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <threadFactoryClass>...MyCustomThreadFactory</threadFactoryClass>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```



In the future, we will also support multi-JVM benchmarking. This feature is independent of multithreaded solving or multi-JVM solving.

17.7.2. Statistical benchmarking

To minimize the influence of your environment and the Random Number Generator on the benchmark results, configure the number of times each single benchmark run is repeated. The results of those runs are statistically aggregated. Each individual result is also visible in the report, as well as plotted in the best score distribution summary.

Just add a `<subSingleCount>` element to an `<inheritedSolverBenchmark>` element or in a

<solverBenchmark> element:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    ...
    <solver>
      ...
    </solver>
    <subSingleCount>10</subSingleCount>
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>
  ...
</plannerBenchmark>
```

The subSingleCount defaults to 1 (so no statistical benchmarking).



If subSingleCount is higher than 1, the benchmarker will automatically use a *different* Random seed for every sub single run, without losing reproducibility (for each sub single index) in EnvironmentMode REPRODUCIBLE and lower.

17.7.3. Template-based benchmarking and matrix benchmarking

Matrix benchmarking is benchmarking a combination of value sets. For example: benchmark four entityTabuSize values (5, 7, 11 and 13) combined with three acceptedCountLimit values (500, 1000 and 2000), resulting in 12 solver configurations.

To reduce the verbosity of such a benchmark configuration, you can use a Freemarker template for the benchmark configuration instead:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    ...
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>

<#list [5, 7, 11, 13] as entityTabuSize>
<#list [500, 1000, 2000] as acceptedCountLimit>
  <solverBenchmark>
    <name>Tabu Search entityTabuSize ${entityTabuSize} acceptedCountLimit
${acceptedCountLimit}</name>
    <solver>
```

```
      <localSearch>
        <unionMoveSelector>
          <changeMoveSelector/>
          <swapMoveSelector/>
        </unionMoveSelector>
        <acceptor>
          <entityTabuSize>${entityTabuSize}</entityTabuSize>
        </acceptor>
        <forager>
          <acceptedCountLimit>${acceptedCountLimit}</acceptedCountLimit>
        </forager>
      </localSearch>
    </solver>
  </solverBenchmark>
</#list>
</#list>
</plannerBenchmark>
```

To configure Matrix Benchmarking for Simulated Annealing (or any other configuration that involves a `Score` template variable), use the `replace()` method in the solver benchmark name element:

```
<plannerBenchmark xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/benchmark/benchmark.xsd">
  ...
  <inheritedSolverBenchmark>
    ...
  </inheritedSolverBenchmark>

<#list ["1hard/10soft", "1hard/20soft", "1hard/50soft", "1hard/70soft"] as
startingTemperature>
  <solverBenchmark>
    <name>Simulated Annealing startingTemperature ${startingTemperature?replace("/",
"_")}</name>
    <solver>
      <localSearch>
        <acceptor>
          <simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature>
${startingTemperature}</simulatedAnnealingStartingTemperature>
        </acceptor>
      </localSearch>
    </solver>
  </solverBenchmark>
</#list>
</plannerBenchmark>
```



A solver benchmark name doesn't allow some characters (such a `/`) because the

name is also used a file name.

And build it with the class `PlannerBenchmarkFactory`:

```
        PlannerBenchmarkFactory benchmarkFactory = PlannerBenchmarkFactory
.createFromFreemarkerXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/optional/benchmark/cloudBalancingBenchmarkCon
figTemplate.xml.ftl");
        PlannerBenchmark benchmark = benchmarkFactory.buildPlannerBenchmark();
```

17.7.4. Benchmark report aggregation

The `BenchmarkAggregator` takes one or more existing benchmarks and merges them into new benchmark report, without actually running the benchmarks again.



This is useful to:

- **Report on the impact of code changes**: Run the same benchmark configuration before and after the code changes, then aggregate a report.
- **Report on the impact of dependency upgrades**: Run the same benchmark configuration before and after upgrading the dependency, then aggregate a report.

- **Summarize a too verbose report**: Select only the interesting solver benchmarks from the existing report. This especially useful on template reports to make the graphs readable.
- **Partially rerun a benchmark**: Rerun part of an existing report (for example only the failed or invalid solvers), then recreate the original intended report with the new values.

Compose the aggregated report in the Benchmark aggregator UI:



To display that UI, provide a benchmark config to the `BenchmarkAggregatorFrame`:

```
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        BenchmarkAggregatorFrame.createAndDisplayFromXmlResource(

"org/optaplanner/examples/cloudbalancing/benchmark/cloudBalancingBenchmarkConfig.xml")
;
    }
```



Despite that it uses a benchmark configuration as input, it ignores all elements of that configuration, except for the elements `<benchmarkDirectory>` and `<benchmarkReport>`.

In the GUI, select the interesting benchmarks and click the button to generate the aggregated report.



All the input reports which are being merged should have been generated with the same OptaPlanner version (excluding hotfix differences) as the `BenchmarkAggregator`. Using reports from different OptaPlanner major or minor

> versions are not guaranteed to succeed and deliver correct information, because the benchmark report data structure often changes.

Chapter 18. Repeated planning

18.1. Introduction to repeated planning

The problem facts used to create a solution may change before or during the execution of that solution. Delaying planning in order to lower the risk of problem facts changing is not ideal, as an incomplete plan is preferable to no plan.

The following examples demonstrate situations where planning solutions need to be altered due to unpredictable changes:

- *Unforeseen fact changes*
  - An employee assigned to a shift calls in sick.
  - An airplane scheduled to take off has a technical delay.
  - One of the machines or vehicles break down.

  Unforeseen fact changes benefit from using *backup planning*.

- *Cannot assign all entities immediately*

  Leave some unassigned. For example:

  - There are 10 shifts at the same time to assign but only nine employees to handle shifts.

  For this type of planning, use *overconstrained planning*.

- *Unknown long term future facts*

  For example:

  - Hospital admissions for the next two weeks are reliable, but those for week three and four are less reliable, and for week five and beyond are not worth planning yet.

  This problem benefits from *continuous planning*.

- *Constantly changing problem facts*

  Use *real-time planning*.

More CPU time results in a better planning solution.

OptaPlanner allows you to start planning earlier, despite unforeseen changes, as the optimization algorithms support planning a solution that has already been partially planned. This is known as repeated planning.

18.2. Backup planning

Backup planning adds extra score constraints to create space in the planning for when things go wrong. That creates a backup plan within the plan itself.

An example of backup planning is as follows:

1. Create an extra score constraint. For example:
    - Assign an employee as the spare employee (one for every 10 shifts at the same time).
    - Keep one hospital bed open in each department.
2. Change the planning problem when an unforeseen event occurs.

    For example, if an employee calls in sick:

    - Delete the sick employee and leave their shifts unassigned.
    - Restart the planning, starting from that solution, which now has a different score.

The construction heuristics fills in the newly created gaps (probably with the spare employee) and the metaheuristics will improve it even further.

18.3. Overconstrained planning

When there is no feasible solution to assign all planning entities, it is preferable to assign as many entities as possible without breaking hard constraints. This is called overconstrained planning.

By default, OptaPlanner assigns all planning entities, overloads the planning values, and therefore breaks hard constraints. There are two ways to avoid this:

- Use nullable planning variables, so that some entities are unassigned.
- Add virtual values to catch the unassigned entities.

18.3.1. Overconstrained planning with nullable variables

If we handle overconstrained planning with nullable variables, the overload entities will be left unassigned:



To implement this:

1. Add a score level (usually a medium level between the hard and soft level) by switching `Score` type.
2. Make the planning variable nullable.
3. Add a score constraint on the new level (usually a medium constraint) to penalize the number of unassigned entities (or a weighted sum of them).

18.3.2. Overconstrained planning with virtual values

In overconstrained planning it is often useful to know which resources are lacking. In overconstrained planning with virtual values, the solution indicates which resources to buy.

To implement this:

1. Add an additional score level (usually a medium level between the hard and soft level) by switching `Score` type.
2. Add a number of virtual values. It can be difficult to determine a good formula to calculate that number:
    - Do not add too many, as that will decrease solver efficiency.
    - Importantly, do not add too few as that will lead to an infeasible solution.
3. Add a score constraint on the new level (usually a medium constraint) to penalize the number

of virtual assigned entities (or a weighted sum of them).

4. Optionally, change all soft constraints to ignore virtual assigned entities.

18.4. Continuous planning (windowed planning)

Continuous planning is the technique of planning one or more upcoming planning periods at the same time and repeating that process monthly, weekly, daily, hourly, or even more frequently. However, as time is infinite, planning all future time periods is impossible.



In the employee rostering example above, we re-plan every four days. Each time, we actually plan a window of 12 days, but we only publish the first four days, which is stable enough to share with the employees, so they can plan their social life accordingly.



In the hospital bed planning example above, notice the difference between the original planning of November 1st and the new planning of November 5th: some problem facts (F, H, I, J, K) changed in the meantime, which results in unrelated planning entities (G) changing too.

The planning window can be split up in several stages:

- *History*

  Immutable past time periods. It contains only pinned entities.

  - Recent historic entities can also affect score constraints that apply to movable entities. For example, in nurse rostering, a nurse that has worked the last three historic weekends in a row should not be assigned to three more weekends in a row, because she requires a one free weekend per month.
  - Do not load all historic entities in memory: even though pinned entities do not affect solving performance, they can cause out of memory problems when the data grows to years. Only load those that might still affect the current constraints with a good safety margin.

- *Published*

  Upcoming time periods that have been published. They contain only pinned and/or semi-movable planning entities.

  - The published schedule has been shared with the business. For example, in nurse rostering, the nurses will use this schedule to plan their personal lives, so they require a publish notice

of for example 3 weeks in advance. Normal planning will not change that part of schedule.

Changing that schedule later is disruptive, but were exceptions force us to do them anyway (for example someone calls in sick), do change this part of the planning while minimizing disruption with non-disruptive replanning.

- *Draft*

  Upcoming time periods after the published time periods that can change freely. They contain movable planning entities, except for any that are pinned for other reasons (such as being pinned by a user).

  - The first part of the draft, called *the final draft*, will be published, so these planning entities can change one last time. The publishing frequency, for example once per week, determines the number of time periods that change from *draft* to *published*.
  - The latter time periods of the *draft* are likely change again in later planning efforts, especially if some of the problem facts change by then (for example nurse Ann doesn't want to work on one of those days).

    Despite that these latter planning entities might still change a lot, we can't leave them out for later, because we would risk *painting ourselves into a corner*. For example, in employee rostering we could have all our rare skilled employees working the last 5 days of the week that gets published, which won't reduce the score of that week, but will make it impossible for us to deliver a feasible schedule the next week. So the draft length needs to be longer than the part that will be published first.

  - That draft part is usually not shared with the business yet, because it is too volatile and it would only raise false expectations. However, it is stored in the database and used as a starting point for the next solver.

- *Unplanned* (out of scope)

  Planning entities that are not in the current planning window.

  - If the planning window is too small to plan all entities, you're dealing with overconstrained planning.
  - If time is a planning variable, the size of the planning window is determined dynamically, in which case the *unplanned* stage is not applicable.



18.4.1. Pinned planning entities

A pinned planning entity doesn't change during solving. This is commonly used by users to pin down one or more specific assignments and force OptaPlanner to schedule around those fixed assignments.

18.4.1.1. Pin down planning entities with `@PlanningPin`

To pin some planning entities down, add an `@PlanningPin` annotation on a boolean getter or field of the planning entity class. That boolean is `true` if the entity is pinned down to its current planning values and `false` otherwise.

1. Add the `@PlanningPin` annotation on a `boolean`:

```
@PlanningEntity
public class Lecture {

    private boolean pinned;
    ...

    @PlanningPin
    public boolean isPinned() {
        return pinned;
    }
```

```
    ...
}
```

In the example above, if `pinned` is `true`, the lecture will not be assigned to another period or room (even if the current period and rooms fields are `null`).

18.4.1.2. Configure a `PinningFilter`

Alternatively, to pin some planning entities down, add a `PinningFilter` that returns `true` if an entity is pinned, and `false` if it is movable. This is more flexible and more verbose than the `@PlanningPin` approach.

For example on the nurse rostering example:

1. Add the `PinningFilter`:

```
public class ShiftAssignmentPinningFilter implements PinningFilter<NurseRoster,
ShiftAssignment> {

    @Override
    public boolean accept(NurseRoster nurseRoster, ShiftAssignment shiftAssignment)
{
        ShiftDate shiftDate = shiftAssignment.getShift().getShiftDate();
        return nurseRoster.getNurseRosterInfo().isInPlanningWindow(shiftDate);
    }

}
```

2. Configure the `PinningFilter`:

```
@PlanningEntity(pinningFilter = ShiftAssignmentPinningFilter.class)
public class ShiftAssignment {
    ...
}
```

18.4.2. Nonvolatile replanning to minimize disruption (semi-movable planning entities)

Replanning an existing plan can be very disruptive. If the plan affects humans (such as employees, drivers, ...), very disruptive changes are often undesirable. In such cases, nonvolatile replanning helps by restricting planning freedom: the gain of changing a plan must be higher than the disruption it causes. This is usually implemented by taxing all planning entities that change.



In the machine reassignment example, the entity has both the planning variable `machine` and its original value `originalMachine`:

```
@PlanningEntity(...)
public class ProcessAssignment {

    private MrProcess process;
    private Machine originalMachine;
    private Machine machine;

    public Machine getOriginalMachine() {...}

    @PlanningVariable(...)
    public Machine getMachine() {...}

    public boolean isMoved() {
        return originalMachine != null && originalMachine != machine;
    }

    ...
}
```

During planning, the planning variable `machine` changes. By comparing it with the originalMachine,

a change in plan can be penalized:

```
rule "processMoved"
    when
        ProcessAssignment(moved == true)
    then
        scoreHolder.addSoftConstraintMatch(kcontext, -1000);
end
```

The soft penalty of `-1000` means that a better solution is only accepted if it improves the soft score for at least `1000` points per variable changed (or if it improves the hard score).

18.5. Real-time planning

To do real-time planning, combine the following planning techniques:

- Backup planning - adding extra score constraints to allow for unforeseen changes.
- Continuous planning - planning for one or more future planning periods.
- Short planning windows.

  This lowers the burden of real-time planning.

As time passes, the problem itself changes. Consider the vehicle routing use case:



In the example above, three customers are added at different times (`07:56`, `08:02` and `08:45`), after the original customer set finished solving at `07:55`, and in some cases, after the vehicles have already left.

OptaPlanner can handle such scenarios with `ProblemChange` (in combination with pinned planning entities).

18.5.1. `ProblemChange`

While the `Solver` is solving, one of the problem facts or planning entities may be changed by an outside event. For example, an airplane is delayed and needs the runway at a later time.



Do not change the problem fact instances used by the `Solver` while it is solving (from another thread or even in the same thread), as that will corrupt it.

Add a `ProblemChange` to the `Solver`, which it executes in the solver thread as soon as possible. For example:

```
public interface Solver<Solution_> {

    ...

    void addProblemChange(ProblemChange<Solution_> problemChange);
```

```
    boolean isEveryProblemChangeProcessed();

    ...

}
```

Similarly, you can pass the `ProblemChange` to the `SolverManager`:

```
public interface SolverManager<Solution_, ProblemId_> {

    ...

    CompletableFuture<Void> addProblemChange(ProblemId_ problemId, ProblemChange
<Solution_> problemChange);

    ...

}
```

and the `SolverJob`:

```
public interface SolverJob<Solution_, ProblemId_> {

    ...

    CompletableFuture<Void> addProblemChange(ProblemChange<Solution_> problemChange);

    ...

}
```

Notice the method returns `CompletableFuture<Void>`, which is completed when a user-defined `Consumer` accepts the best solution containing this problem change.

```
public interface ProblemChange<Solution_> {

    void doChange(Solution_ workingSolution, ProblemChangeDirector
problemChangeDirector);

}
```



The `ScoreDirector` must be updated with any change on the problem facts of planning entities in a `ProblemChange`.

To write a `ProblemChange` correctly, it is important to understand the behavior of a planning clone.

A planning clone of a solution must fulfill these requirements:

- The clone must represent the same planning problem. Usually it reuses the same instances of the problem facts and problem fact collections as the original.
- The clone must use different, cloned instances of the entities and entity collections. Changes to an original Solution entity's variables must not affect its clone.

18.5.1.1. Cloud balancing `ProblemChange` example

Consider the following example of a `ProblemChange` implementation in the cloud balancing use case:

```
    public void deleteComputer(final CloudComputer computer) {
        solver.addProblemChange((cloudBalance, problemChangeDirector) -> {
            CloudComputer workingComputer = problemChangeDirector.lookUpWorkingObject
(computer);
            if (workingComputer == null) {
                throw new IllegalStateException("A computer " + computer + " does not
exist. Maybe it has been already deleted.");
            }
            // First remove the problem fact from all planning entities that use it
            for (CloudProcess process : cloudBalance.getProcessList()) {
                if (process.getComputer() == workingComputer) {
                    problemChangeDirector.changeVariable(process, "computer",
                            workingProcess -> workingProcess.setComputer(null));
                }
            }
            // A SolutionCloner does not clone problem fact lists (such as
computerList)
            // Shallow clone the computerList so only workingSolution is affected, not
bestSolution or guiSolution
            ArrayList<CloudComputer> computerList = new ArrayList<>(cloudBalance
.getComputerList());
            cloudBalance.setComputerList(computerList);
            // Remove the problem fact itself
            problemChangeDirector.removeProblemFact(workingComputer, computerList:
:remove);
        });
    }
```

1. Any change in a `ProblemChange` must be done on the `@PlanningSolution` instance of `scoreDirector.getWorkingSolution()`.
2. The `workingSolution` is a planning clone of the `BestSolutionChangedEvent`'s `bestSolution`.
    - The `workingSolution` in the `Solver` is never the same solution instance as in the rest of your application: it is a planning clone.
    - A planning clone also clones the planning entities and planning entity collections.

      Thus, any change on the planning entities must happen on the `workingSolution` instance passed to the `ProblemChange.doChange(Solution_ workingSolution, ProblemChangeDirector`

`problemChangeDirector)` method.

3. Use the method `ProblemChangeDirector.lookUpWorkingObject()` to translate and retrieve the working solution's instance of an object. This requires annotating a property of that class as the `@PlanningId`.
4. A planning clone does not clone the problem facts, nor the problem fact collections. *Therefore the* `workingSolution` *and the* `bestSolution` *share the same problem fact instances and the same problem fact list instances.*

   Any problem fact or problem fact list changed by a `ProblemChange` must be problem cloned first (which can imply rerouting references in other problem facts and planning entities). Otherwise, if the `workingSolution` and `bestSolution` are used in different threads (for example a solver thread and a GUI event thread), a race condition can occur.

18.5.1.2. Cloning solutions to avoid race conditions in real-time planning

Many types of changes can leave a planning entity uninitialized, resulting in a partially initialized solution. This is acceptable, provided the first solver phase can handle it.

All construction heuristics solver phases can handle a partially initialized solution, so it is recommended to configure such a solver phase as the first phase.



The process occurs as follows:

1. The `Solver` stops.
2. Runs the `ProblemChange`.
3. **restarts**.

   This is a *warm start* because its initial solution is the adjusted best solution of the previous run.

4. Each solver phase runs again.

   This implies the construction heuristic runs again, but because little or no planning variables are uninitialized (unless you have a nullable planning variable), it finishes much quicker than in a cold start.

5. Each configured `Termination` resets (both in solver and phase configuration), but a previous call to `terminateEarly()` is not undone.

   `Termination` is not usually configured (except in daemon mode); instead, `Solver.terminateEarly()` is called when the results are needed. Alternatively, configure a `Termination` and use the daemon mode in combination with `BestSolutionChangedEvent` as described in the following section.

18.5.2. Daemon: `solve()` does not return

In real-time planning, it is often useful to have a solver thread wait when it runs out of work, and immediately resume solving a problem once new problem fact changes are added. Putting the `Solver` in daemon mode has the following effects:

- If the `Solver`'s `Termination` terminates, it does not return from `solve()`, but blocks its thread instead (which frees up CPU power).
  - Except for `terminateEarly()`, which does make it return from `solve()`, freeing up system resources and allowing an application to shutdown gracefully.
  - If a `Solver` starts with an empty planning entity collection, it waits in the blocked state immediately.
- If a `ProblemChange` is added, it goes into the running state, applies the `ProblemChange` and runs the `Solver` again.

To use the `Solver` in daemon mode:

1. Enable `daemon` mode on the `Solver`:

```
<solver xmlns="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver" xmlns:xsi=
"http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver
https://www.optaplanner.org/xsd/solver/solver.xsd">
  <daemon>true</daemon>
  ...
</solver>
```



Do not forget to call `Solver.terminateEarly()` when your application needs to shutdown to avoid killing the solver thread unnaturally.

2. Subscribe to the `BestSolutionChangedEvent` to process new best solutions found by the solver thread.

   A `BestSolutionChangedEvent` does not guarantee that every `ProblemChange` has been processed already, nor that the solution is initialized and feasible.

3. To ignore `BestSolutionChangedEvent`s with such invalid solutions, do the following:

```
public void bestSolutionChanged(BestSolutionChangedEvent<CloudBalance> event) {
    if (event.isEveryProblemChangeProcessed()
            // Ignore infeasible (including uninitialized) solutions
            && event.getNewBestSolution().getScore().isFeasible()) {
        ...
    }
}
```

4. Use `Score.isSolutionInitialized()` instead of `Score.isFeasible()` to only ignore uninitialized solutions, but do accept infeasible solutions too.

18.6. Multi-stage planning

In multi-stage planning, complex planning problems are broken down in multiple stages. A typical example is train scheduling, where one department decides where and when a train will arrive or depart and another department assigns the operators to the actual train cars or locomotives.

Each stage has its own solver configuration (and therefore its own `SolverFactory`):



Planning problems with different publication deadlines must use multi-stage planning. But problems with the same publication deadline, solved by different organizational groups are also initially better off with multi-stage planning, because of Conway's law and the high risk associated with unifying such groups.

Similarly to Partitioned Search, multi-stage planning leads to suboptimal results. Nevertheless, it might be beneficial in order to simplify the maintenance, ownership, and help to start a project.

Do not confuse multi-stage planning with multi-phase solving.

Chapter 19. Integration

19.1. Overview

OptaPlanner's input and output data (the planning problem and the best solution) are plain old JavaBeans (POJOs), so integration with other Java technologies is straightforward. For example:

- To read a planning problem from the database (and store the best solution in it), annotate the domain POJOs with JPA annotations.
- To read a planning problem from an XML file (and store the best solution in it), annotate the domain POJOs with JAXB annotations.
- To expose the Solver as a REST Service that reads the planning problem and responds with the best solution, annotate the domain POJOs with JAXB or Jackson annotations and hook the `Solver` in Camel or RESTEasy.



19.2. Persistent storage

19.2.1. Database: JPA and Hibernate

Enrich domain POJOs (solution, entities and problem facts) with JPA annotations to store them in a database by calling `EntityManager.persist()`.



Do not confuse JPA's `@Entity` annotation with OptaPlanner's `@PlanningEntity` annotation. They can appear both on the same class:

```
@PlanningEntity // OptaPlanner annotation
@Entity // JPA annotation
public class Talk {...}
```

Add a dependency to the `optaplanner-persistence-jpa` jar to take advantage of these extra integration features:

19.2.1.1. JPA and Hibernate: persisting a `Score`

When a `Score` is persisted into a relational database, JPA and Hibernate will default to Java serializing it to a `BLOB` column. This has several disadvantages:

- The Java serialization format of `Score` classes is currently not backwards compatible. Upgrading to a newer OptaPlanner version can break reading an existing database.
- The score is not easily readable for a query executed in the database console. This is annoying during development.
- The score cannot be used in a SQL or JPA-QL query to efficiently filter the results: for example to query all infeasible schedules.

To avoid these issues, configure it to instead use INTEGER (or other) columns, by using the appropriate `*ScoreHibernateType` for your `Score` type, for example for a `HardSoftScore`:

```
@PlanningSolution
@Entity
@TypeDef(defaultForType = HardSoftScore.class, typeClass = HardSoftScoreHibernateType
.class)
public class CloudBalance {

    @PlanningScore
    @Columns(columns = {@Column(name = "initScore"), @Column(name = "hardScore"),
@Column(name = "softScore")})
    protected HardSoftScore score;

    ...
}
```



Configure the same number of `@Column` annotations as the number of score levels in the score plus one (for the `initScore`), otherwise Hibernate will fail fast because a property mapping has the wrong number of columns.

In this case, the DDL will look like this:

```
CREATE TABLE CloudBalance(
```

```
    ...
    initScore INTEGER,
    hardScore INTEGER,
    softScore INTEGER
);
```

When using a `BigDecimal` based `Score`, specify the precision and scale of the columns to avoid silent rounding:

```
@PlanningSolution
@Entity
@TypeDef(defaultForType = HardSoftBigDecimalScore.class, typeClass =
HardSoftBigDecimalScoreHibernateType.class)
public class CloudBalance{

    @PlanningScore
    @Columns(columns = {
            @Column(name = "initScore")
            @Column(name = "hardScore", precision = 10, scale = 5),
            @Column(name = "softScore", precision = 10, scale = 5)})
    protected HardSoftBigDecimalScore score;

    ...
}
```

In this case, the DDL will look like this:

```
CREATE TABLE CloudBalance(
    ...
    initScore INTEGER,
    hardScore DECIMAL(10, 5),
    softScore DECIMAL(10, 5)
);
```

When using any type of bendable `Score`, specify the hard and soft level sizes as parameters:

```
@PlanningSolution
@Entity
@TypeDef(defaultForType = BendableScore.class, typeClass = BendableScoreHibernateType
.class, parameters = {
        @Parameter(name = "hardLevelsSize", value = "3"),
        @Parameter(name = "softLevelsSize", value = "2")})
public class Schedule {

    @PlanningScore
    @Columns(columns = {
            @Column(name = "initScore")
```

```
            @Column(name = "hard0Score"),
            @Column(name = "hard1Score"),
            @Column(name = "hard2Score"),
            @Column(name = "soft0Score"),
            @Column(name = "soft1Score")})
    protected BendableScore score;

    ...
}
```

All this support is Hibernate specific because currently JPA 2.1's converters do not support converting to multiple columns.

19.2.1.2. JPA and Hibernate: planning cloning

In JPA and Hibernate, there is usually a `@ManyToOne` relationship from most problem fact classes to the planning solution class. Therefore, the problem fact classes reference the planning solution class, which implies that when the solution is planning cloned, they need to be cloned too. Use an `@DeepPlanningClone` on each such problem fact class to enforce that:

```
@PlanningSolution // OptaPlanner annotation
@Entity // JPA annotation
public class Conference {

    @OneToMany(mappedBy="conference")
    private List<Room> roomList;

    ...
}
```

```
@DeepPlanningClone // OptaPlanner annotation: Force the default planning cloner to
planning clone this class too
@Entity // JPA annotation
public class Room {

    @ManyToOne
    private Conference conference; // Because of this reference, this problem fact
needs to be planning cloned too

}
```

Neglecting to do this can lead to persisting duplicate solutions, JPA exceptions or other side effects.

19.2.2. XML or JSON: JAXB

Enrich domain POJOs (solution, entities and problem facts) with JAXB annotations to serialize them to/from XML or JSON.

Add a dependency to the `optaplanner-persistence-jaxb` jar to take advantage of these extra integration features:

19.2.2.1. JAXB: marshalling a `Score`

When a `Score` is marshalled to XML or JSON by the default JAXB configuration, it's corrupted. To fix that, configure the appropriate `ScoreJaxbAdapter`:

```
@PlanningSolution
@XmlRootElement @XmlAccessorType(XmlAccessType.FIELD)
public class CloudBalance {

    @PlanningScore
    @XmlJavaTypeAdapter(HardSoftScoreJaxbAdapter.class)
    private HardSoftScore score;

    ...
}
```

For example, this generates pretty XML:

```
<cloudBalance>
   ...
   <score>0hard/-200soft</score>
</cloudBalance>
```

The same applies for a bendable score:

```
@PlanningSolution
@XmlRootElement @XmlAccessorType(XmlAccessType.FIELD)
public class Schedule {

    @PlanningScore
    @XmlJavaTypeAdapter(BendableScoreJaxbAdapter.class)
    private BendableScore score;

    ...
}
```

For example, with a `hardLevelsSize` of `2` and a `softLevelsSize` of `3`, that will generate:

```
<schedule>
   ...
   <score>[0/0]hard/[-100/-20/-3]soft</score>
</schedule>
```

The `hardLevelsSize` and `softLevelsSize` implied, when reading a bendable score from an XML element, must always be in sync with those in the solver.

19.2.3. JSON: Jackson

Enrich domain POJOs (solution, entities and problem facts) with Jackson annotations to serialize them to/from JSON.

Add a dependency to the `optaplanner-persistence-jackson` jar and register `OptaPlannerJacksonModule`:

```
ObjectMapper objectMapper = new ObjectMapper();
objectMapper.registerModule(OptaPlannerJacksonModule.createModule());
```

19.2.3.1. Jackson: marshalling a `Score`

When a `Score` is marshalled to/from JSON by the default Jackson configuration, it fails. The `OptaPlannerJacksonModule` fixes that, by using `HardSoftScoreJacksonSerializer`, `HardSoftScoreJacksonDeserializer`, etc.

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance {

    @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

    ...
}
```

For example, this generates:

```
{
   "score":"0hard/-200soft"
   ...
}
```



When reading a `BendableScore`, the `hardLevelsSize` and `softLevelsSize` implied in the JSON element, must always be in sync with those defined in the `@PlanningScore` annotation in the solution class.For example:

```
{
   "score":"[0/0]hard/[-100/-20/-3]soft"
   ...
}
```

This JSON implies the `hardLevelsSize` is 2 and the `softLevelsSize` is 3, which must be in sync with the `@PlanningScore` annotation:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class Schedule {

    @PlanningScore(bendableHardLevelsSize = 2, bendableSoftLevelsSize =
3)
    private BendableScore score;

    ...
}
```

When a field is the `Score` supertype (instead of a specific type such as `HardSoftScore`), it uses `PolymorphicScoreJacksonSerializer` and `PolymorphicScoreJacksonDeserializer` to record the score type in JSON too, otherwise it would be impossible to deserialize it:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance {

    @PlanningScore
    private Score score;

    ...
}
```

For example, this generates:

```
{
   "score":{"HardSoftScore":"0hard/-200soft"}
   ...
}
```

19.2.4. JSON: JSON-B

Enrich domain POJOs (solution, entities and problem facts) with JSON-B annotations to serialize them to/from JSON.

Add a dependency to the `optaplanner-persistence-jsonb` jar and use `OptaPlannerJsonbConfig` to create a `Jsonb` instance:

```
JsonbConfig config = OptaPlannerJsonbConfig.createConfig();
Jsonb jsonb = JsonbBuilder.create(config);
```

19.2.4.1. JSON-B: marshalling a `Score`

When a `Score` is marshalled to/from JSON by the default JSON-B configuration, it fails. The `OptaPlannerJsonbConfig` fixes that, by using adapters including `BendableScoreJsonbAdapter`, `HardSoftScoreJsonbAdapter`, etc.

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance {

    @PlanningScore
    private HardSoftScore score;

    ...
}
```

For example, this generates:

```
{"hardSoftScore":"0hard/-200soft"}
```

The same applies for a bendable score:

```
@PlanningSolution
public class CloudBalance {

    @PlanningScore
    private BendableScore score;

    ...
}
```

This generates:

```
{"bendableScore":"[0/0]hard/[-200/-20/0]soft"}
```

19.3. Quarkus

To use OptaPlanner with Quarkus, read the Quarkus Java quick start. If you are starting a new project, visit the code.quarkus.io and select the *OptaPlanner AI constraint solver* extension before generating your application.



Drools score calculation is incompatible with the `quarkus:dev` mode and deprecated.

Following properties are supported in the Quarkus `application.properties`:

quarkus.optaplanner.solver-manager.parallel-solver-count

The number of solvers that run in parallel. This directly influences CPU consumption. Defaults to `AUTO`.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver-config-xml

A classpath resource to read the solver configuration XML. Defaults to `solverConfig.xml`. If this property isn't specified, that file is optional.

quarkus.optaplanner.score-drl (deprecated)

A classpath resource to read the score DRL. Defaults to `constraints.drl`. Do not define this property when a `ConstraintProvider`, `EasyScoreCalculator` or `IncrementalScoreCalculator` class exists.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.environment-mode

Enable runtime assertions to detect common bugs in your implementation during development.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.daemon

Enable daemon mode. In daemon mode, non-early termination pauses the solver instead of stopping it, until the next problem fact change arrives. This is often useful for real-time planning. Defaults to `false`.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.move-thread-count

Enable multithreaded solving for a single problem, which increases CPU consumption. Defaults to `NONE`. See multithreaded incremental solving.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.domain-access-type

How OptaPlanner should access the domain model. See the domain access section for more details. Defaults to `GIZMO`. The other possible value is `REFLECTION`.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.constraint-stream-impl-type

What Constraint Stream implementation to use. See the variant implementation types section for more details. Defaults to `DROOLS`. The other possible value is `BAVET`.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit

How long the solver can run. For example: `30s` is 30 seconds. `5m` is 5 minutes. `2h` is 2 hours. `1d` is 1 day.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.unimproved-spent-limit

How long the solver can run without finding a new best solution after finding a new best solution. For example: `30s` is 30 seconds. `5m` is 5 minutes. `2h` is 2 hours. `1d` is 1 day.

quarkus.optaplanner.solver.termination.best-score-limit

Terminates the solver when a specific or higher score has been reached. For example: `0hard/-1000soft` terminates when the best score changes from `0hard/-1200soft` to `0hard/-900soft`. Wildcards are supported to replace numbers. For example: `0hard/*soft` to terminate when any feasible score is reached.

quarkus.optaplanner.benchmark.solver-benchmark-config-xml

A classpath resource to read the benchmark configuration XML. Defaults to solverBenchmarkConfig.xml. If this property isn't specified, that solverBenchmarkConfig.xml is optional.

quarkus.optaplanner.benchmark.result-directory

Where the benchmark results are written to. Defaults to target/benchmarks.

quarkus.optaplanner.benchmark.solver.termination.spent-limit

How long solver should be run in a benchmark run. For example: `30s` is 30 seconds. `5m` is 5 minutes. `2h` is 2 hours. `1d` is 1 day. Also supports ISO-8601 format, see Duration.

19.4. Spring Boot

To use OptaPlanner on Spring Boot, add the `optaplanner-spring-boot-starter` dependency and read the Spring Boot Java quick start.



Drools score calculation is currently incompatible with the dependency `spring-boot-devtools`: none of the DRL rules will fire, due to ClassLoader issues. Also, Drools score calculation is deprecated.

These properties are supported in Spring's `application.properties`:

optaplanner.solver-manager.parallel-solver-count

The number of solvers that run in parallel. This directly influences CPU consumption. Defaults to `AUTO`.

optaplanner.solver-config-xml

A classpath resource to read the solver configuration XML. Defaults to `solverConfig.xml`. If this property isn't specified, that file is optional.

optaplanner.score-drl (deprecated)

A classpath resource to read the score DRL. Defaults to `constraints.drl`. Do not define this property when a `ConstraintProvider`, `EasyScoreCalculator` or `IncrementalScoreCalculator` class exists.

optaplanner.solver.environment-mode

Enable runtime assertions to detect common bugs in your implementation during development.

optaplanner.solver.daemon

Enable daemon mode. In daemon mode, non-early termination pauses the solver instead of stopping it, until the next problem fact change arrives. This is often useful for real-time planning. Defaults to `false`.

optaplanner.solver.move-thread-count

Enable multithreaded solving for a single problem, which increases CPU consumption. Defaults to `NONE`. See multithreaded incremental solving.

optaplanner.solver.domain-access-type

How OptaPlanner should access the domain model. See the domain access section for more details. Defaults to `REFLECTION`. The other possible value is `GIZMO`.

optaplanner.solver.constraint-stream-impl-type

What Constraint Stream implementation to use. See the variant implementation types section for more details. Defaults to `DROOLS`. The other possible value is `BAVET`.

optaplanner.solver.termination.spent-limit

How long the solver can run. For example: `30s` is 30 seconds. `5m` is 5 minutes. `2h` is 2 hours. `1d` is 1 day.

optaplanner.solver.termination.unimproved-spent-limit

How long the solver can run without finding a new best solution after finding a new best solution. For example: `30s` is 30 seconds. `5m` is 5 minutes. `2h` is 2 hours. `1d` is 1 day.

optaplanner.solver.termination.best-score-limit

Terminates the solver when a specific or higher score has been reached. For example: `0hard/-1000soft` terminates when the best score changes from `0hard/-1200soft` to `0hard/-900soft`. Wildcards are supported to replace numbers. For example: `0hard/*soft` to terminate when any feasible score is reached.

optaplanner.benchmark.solver-benchmark-config-xml

A classpath resource to read the benchmark configuration XML. Defaults to solverBenchmarkConfig.xml. If this property isn't specified, that solverBenchmarkConfig.xml is optional.

optaplanner.benchmark.result-directory

Where the benchmark results are written to. Defaults to target/benchmarks.

optaplanner.benchmark.solver.termination.spent-limit

How long solver should be run in a benchmark run. For example: `30s` is 30 seconds. `5m` is 5 minutes. `2h` is 2 hours. `1d` is 1 day. Also supports ISO-8601 format, see Duration.

19.5. SOA and ESB

19.5.1. Camel and Karaf

Camel is an enterprise integration framework which includes support for OptaPlanner (starting from Camel 2.13). It can expose a use case as a REST service, a SOAP service, a JMS service, ...

Read the documentation for the camel-optaplanner component. That component works in Karaf too.

19.6. Other environments

19.6.1. Java platform module system (Jigsaw)

When using OptaPlanner from code on the modulepath (Java 9 and higher), *open* your packages that contain your domain objects, constraints and solver configuration *to all modules* in your `module-info.java` file:

```
module org.optaplanner.cloudbalancing {
    requires org.optaplanner.core;
    ...

    opens org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing; // Solver configuration
    opens org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.domain; // Domain classes
    opens org.optaplanner.examples.cloudbalancing.score; // Constraints
    ...
}
```

Otherwise OptaPlanner can't reach those classes or files, even if they are exported.

19.6.2. OSGi

Integration with OSGi is not supported.

19.6.3. Android

Android is not a complete JVM (because some JDK libraries are missing), but OptaPlanner works on Android with easy Java or incremental Java score calculation. The Drools rule engine does not work on Android yet, so Constraint Streams and Drools score calculation (Deprecated) doesn't work on Android and its dependencies need to be excluded.

Workaround to use OptaPlanner on Android:

1. Add a dependency to the `build.gradle` file in your Android project to exclude OptaPlanner's `optaplanner-constraint-streams-drools` and `optaplanner-constraint-drl` modules:

```
dependencies {
    ...
    implementation('org.optaplanner:optaplanner-core:...') {
        exclude group: 'org.optaplanner', module: 'optaplanner-constraint-streams-
drools'
        exclude group: 'org.optaplanner', module: 'optaplanner-constraint-drl'
    }
    ...
}
```

19.7. Integration with human planners (politics)

A good OptaPlanner implementation beats any good human planner for non-trivial datasets. Many

human planners fail to accept this, often because they feel threatened by an automated system.

But despite that, both can benefit if the human planner becomes the supervisor of OptaPlanner:

- **The human planner defines, validates and tweaks the score function.**
  - The human planner tweaks the constraint weights of the constraint configuration in a UI, as the business priorities change over time.
  - When the business changes, the score function often needs to change too. The human planner can notify the developers to add, change or remove score constraints.
- **The human planner is always in control of OptaPlanner.**
  - As shown in the course scheduling example, the human planner can pin down one or more planning variables to a specific planning value. Because they are pinned, OptaPlanner does not change them: it optimizes the planning around the enforcements made by the human. If the human planner pins down all planning variables, he/she sidelines OptaPlanner completely.
  - In a prototype implementation, the human planner occasionally uses pinning to intervene, but as the implementation matures, this should become obsolete. The feature should be kept available as a reassurance for the humans, and in the event that the business changes dramatically before the score constraints are adjusted accordingly.

For this reason, it is recommended that the human planner is actively involved in your project.



19.8. Sizing hardware and software

Before sizing a OptaPlanner service, first understand the typical behaviour of a `Solver.solve()` call:



Understand these guidelines to decide the hardware for a OptaPlanner service:

- **RAM memory**: Provision plenty, but no need to provide more.
  - The problem dataset, loaded before OptaPlanner is called, often consumes the most memory. It depends on the problem scale.
    - For example, in the Machine Reassignment example some datasets use over 1GB in memory. But in most examples, they use just a few MB.
    - If this is a problem, review the domain class structure: remove classes or fields that OptaPlanner doesn't need during solving.
    - OptaPlanner usually has up to three solution instances: the internal working solution, the best solution and the old best solution (when it's being replaced). However, these are all a planning clone of each other, so many problem fact instances are shared between those solution instances.
  - During solving, the memory is very volatile, because solving creates many short-lived objects. The Garbage Collector deletes these in bulk and therefore needs some heap space as a buffer.
  - The maximum size of the JVM heap space can be in three states:

        - **Insufficient**: An `OutOfMemoryException` is thrown (often because the Garbage Collector is using more than 98% of the CPU time).
        - **Narrow**: The heap buffer for those short-lived instances is too small, therefore the Garbage Collector needs to run more than it would like to, which causes a performance loss.
            - Profiling shows that in the heap chart, the used heap space frequently touches the max heap space during solving. It also shows that the Garbage Collector has a significant CPU usage impact.
            - Adding more heap space increases the score calculation speed.
        - **Plenty**: There is enough heap space. The Garbage Collector is active, but its CPU usage is low.
            - Adding more heap space does *not* increase performance.
            - Usually, this is around 300 to 500MB above the dataset size, *regardless of the problem scale* (except with nearby selection and caching move selector, neither are used by default).
- **CPU power**: More is better.
    - Improving CPU speed directly increases the score calculation speed.
        - If the CPU power is twice as fast, it takes half the time to find the same result. However, this does not guarantee that it finds a better result in the same time, nor that it finds a similar result for a problem twice as big in the same time.
        - Increasing CPU power usually does not resolve scaling issues, because planning problems scale exponentially. Power tweaking the solver configuration has far better results for scaling issues than throwing hardware at it.
    - During the `solve()` method, the CPU power will max out until it returns (except in daemon mode or if your SolverEventListener writes the best solution to disk or the network).
- **Number of CPU cores**: one CPU core per active Solver, plus at least one one for the operating system.
    - So in a multitenant application, which has one Solver per tenant, this means one CPU core per tenant, unless the number of solver threads is limited, as that limits the number of tenants being solved in parallel.
    - With Partitioned Search, presume one CPU core per partition (per active tenant), unless the number of partition threads is limited.
        - To reduce the number of used cores, it can be better to reduce the partition threads (so solve some partitions sequentially) than to reduce the number of partitions.
    - In use cases with many tenants (such as scheduling Software as a Service) or many partitions, it might not be affordable to provision that many CPUs.
        - Reduce the number of active Solvers at a time. For example: give each tenant only one minute of machine time and use a `ExecutorService` with a fixed thread pool to queue requests.
        - Distribute the Solver runs across the day (or night). This is especially an opportunity in SaaS that's used across the globe, due to timezones: UK and India can use the same CPU

core when scheduling at night.

    - The SolverManager will take care of the orchestration, especially in those underfunded environments in which solvers (and partitions) are forced to share CPU cores or wait in line.

- **I/O (network, disk, ...)**: Not used during solving.
    - OptaPlanner is not a web server: a solver thread does not block (unlike a servlet thread), each one fully drains a CPU.
        - A web server can handle 24 active servlets threads with eight cores without performance loss, because most servlets threads are blocking on I/O.
        - However, 24 active solver threads with eight cores will cause each solver's score calculation speed to be three times slower, causing a big performance loss.
    - Note that calling any I/O during solving, for example a remote service in your score calculation, causes a huge performance loss because it's called thousands of times per second, so it should complete in microseconds. So no good implementation does that.

Keep these guidelines in mind when selecting and configuring the software. See our blog archive for the details of our experiments, which use our diverse set of examples. Your mileage may vary.

- Operating System
    - No experimentally proven advice yet (but prefer Linux anyway).
- JDK
    - Version: Our benchmarks have consistently shown improvements in performance when comparing new JDK releases with their predecessors. It is therefore recommended using the latest available JDK. If you're interested in the performance comparisons of OptaPlanner running of different JDK releases, you can find them in the form of blog posts in our blog archive.
    - Garbage Collector: ParallelGC can be potentially between 5% and 35% faster than G1GC (the default). Unlike web servers, OptaPlanner needs a GC focused on throughput, not latency. Use `-XX:+UseParallelGC` to turn on ParallelGC.
- Logging can have a severe impact on performance.
    - Debug logging `org.drools` can reduce performance by a factor of 7.
    - Debug logging `org.optaplanner` can be between 0% and 15% slower than info logging. Trace logging can be between 5% and 70% slower than info logging.
    - Synchronous logging to a file has an additional significant impact for debug and trace logging (but not for info logging).
- Avoid a cloud environment in which you share your CPU core(s) with other virtual machines or containers. Performance (and therefore solution quality) can be unreliable when the available CPU power varies greatly.

Keep in mind that the perfect hardware/software environment will probably *not* solve scaling issues (even Moore's law is too slow). There is no need to follow these guidelines to the letter.

Chapter 20. Design patterns

20.1. Design patterns introduction

OptaPlanner design patterns are generic reusable solutions to common challenges in the model or architecture of projects that perform constraint solving. The design patterns in this section list and solve common design challenges.

20.2. Domain modeling guidelines

Follow the guidelines listed in this section to create a well thought-out model that can contribute significantly to the success of your planning.

1. **Draw a class diagram of your domain model.**
    a. Make sure there are no duplications in your data model and that relationships between objects are clearly defined.
    b. Create sample instances for each class. For example, in the employee rostering `Employee` class, create `Ann`, `Bert`, and `Carl`.
2. **Determine which relationships (or fields) change during planning and color them orange.** One side of these relationships will become a planning variable later on. For example, in employee rostering, the `Shift` to `Employee` relationship changes during planning, so it is orange. However, other relationships, such as from `Employee` to `Skill`, are immutable during planning because OptaPlanner cannot assign an extra skill to an employee.
3. **If there are multiple relationships (or fields), check for shadow variables**. A shadow variable changes during planning, but its value can be calculated based on one or more genuine planning variables, without dispute. Color shadow relationships (or fields) purple.



Only one side of a bi-directional relationship can be a genuine planning variable. The other side will become an inverse relation shadow variable later on. Keep bi-directional relationships orange.

4. **If the goal is to find an optimal order of elements**, use the Chained Through Time pattern.
5. **If there is an orange many-to-many relationship, replace it with a one-to-many and a many-to-one relationship to a new intermediate class.**



OptaPlanner does not currently support a `@PlanningVariable` annotation on a collection.

For example, in the Employee Rostering starter application the `ShiftAssignment` class is the many-to-many relationship between `Shift` and `Employee`. `Shift` contains every shift time that needs to be filled with an employee.



6. **Annotate a many-to-one relationship with a `@PlanningEntity` annotation.** Usually the *many* side of the relationship is the planning entity class that contains the planning variable. If the relationship is bi-directional, both sides are a planning entity class but usually the *many* side has the planning variable and the *one* side has the shadow variable. For example, in employee rostering, the `ShiftAssignment` class has an `@PlanningEntity` annotation.
7. **Make sure that the planning entity class has at least one problem property**. A planning entity class cannot consist of only planning variables or an ID and only planning variables.
    a. Remove any surplus `@PlanningVariable` annotations so that they become problem properties. Doing this significantly decreases the search space size and significantly increases solving efficiency. For example, in employee rostering, the `ShiftAssignment` class should not annotate both the `Shift` and `Employee` relationship with `@PlanningVariable`.
    b. Make sure that when all planning variables have a value of `null`, the planning entity instance is describable to the business people. Planning variables have a value of `null` when the planning solution is uninitialized.
        - A surrogate ID does not suffice as the required minimum of one problem property.
        - There is no need to add a hard constraint to assure that two planning entities are different. They are already different due to their problem properties.
        - In some cases, multiple planning entity instances have the same set of problem properties. In such cases, it can be useful to create an extra problem property to distinguish them. For example, in employee rostering, the `ShiftAssignment` class has the problem property `Shift` as well as the problem property `indexInShift` which is an `int` class.

8. **Choose the model in which the number of planning entities is fixed during planning**. For example, in the employee rostering, it is impossible to know in advance how many shifts each employee will have before OptaPlanner solves the model and the results can differ for each solution found. On the other hand, the number of employees per shift is known in advance, so it is better to make the `Shift` relationship a problem property and the `Employee` relationship a planning variable as shown in the following examples.



In the following diagram, each row is a different example and shows the relationship in that example's data model. For the **N Queens** example, the Queen entity has a Row planning variable, which stores objects of type `row`. Many Queens may point to one Row.





Course scheduling is different because it uses two planning variables. Vehicle routing is different because it uses a planning list variable. Traveling salesman is different because it uses a chained planning variable.

20.3. Assigning time to planning entities

Dealing with time and dates in planning problems may be problematic because it is dependent on the needs of your use case.

There are several representations of timestamps, dates, durations and periods in Java. Choose the right representation type for your use case:

- `java.util.Date` (deprecated): a slow, error-prone way to represent timestamps. Do not use.
- `java.time.LocalDateTime`, `LocalDate`, `DayOfWeek`, `Duration`, `Period`, ...: an accurate way to represent and calculate with timestamps, dates, ...
  - Supports timezones and DST (Daylight Saving Time).
  - Requires Java 8 or higher.
- `int` or `long`: Caches a timestamp as a simplified number of coarse-grained time units (such as minutes) from the start of the global planning time window or the epoch.
  - For example: a `LocalDateTime` of `1-JAN 08:00:00` becomes an `int` of `400` minutes. Similarly `1-JAN 09:00:00` becomes `460` minutes.

- It often represents an extra field in a class, alongside the `LocalDateTime` field from which it was calculated. The `LocalDateTime` is used for user visualization, but the `int` is used in the score constraints.
- It is faster in calculations, which is especially useful in the TimeGrain pattern.
- Do not use if timezones or DST affect the score constraints.

There are also several designs for assigning a planning entity to a starting time (or date):

- If the starting time is fixed beforehand, it is not a planning variable (in that solver).
  - For example, in the hospital bed planning example, the arrival day of each patient is fixed beforehand.
  - This is common in multi-stage planning, when the starting time has been decided already in an earlier planning stage.
- If the starting time is not fixed, it is a planning variable (genuine or shadow).
  - If all planning entities have the same duration, use the Timeslot pattern.
    - For example in course scheduling, all lectures take one hour. Therefore, each timeslot is one hour.
    - Even if the planning entities have different durations, but the same duration per type, it's often appropriate.
      - For example in conference scheduling, breakout talks take one hour and lab talks take 2 hours. But there's an enumeration of the timeslots and each timeslot only accepts one talk type.
  - If the duration differs and time is rounded to a specific time granularity (for example 5 minutes) use the TimeGrain pattern.
    - For example in meeting scheduling, all meetings start at 15 minute intervals. All meetings take 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 or 120 minutes.
  - If the duration differs and one task starts immediately after the previous task (assigned to the same executor) finishes, use the Chained Through Time pattern.
    - For example in time windowed vehicle routing, each vehicle departs immediately to the next customer when the delivery for the previous customer finishes.
    - Even if the next task does not always start immediately, but the gap is deterministic, it applies.
      - For example in vehicle routing, each driver departs immediately to the next customer, unless it's the first departure after noon, in which case there's first a 1 hour lunch.
  - If the employees need to decide the order of theirs tasks per day, week or SCRUM sprint themselves, use the Time Bucket pattern.
    - For example in elevator maintenance scheduling, a mechanic gets up to 40 hours worth of tasks per week, but there's no point in ordering them within 1 week because there's likely to be disruption from entrapments or other elevator outages.

Choose the right pattern depending on the use case:

Assigning time to planning entities 1/2

There are several design patterns to deal with time, depending on your use case.



Timeslot pattern



TimeGrain pattern



Chained through time pattern





20.3.1. Timeslot pattern: assign to a fixed-length timeslot

If all planning entities have **the same duration** (or can be inflated to the same duration), the Timeslot pattern is useful. The planning entities are assigned to a timeslot rather than time. For example in course timetabling, all lectures take one hour.

The timeslots can start at any time. For example, the timeslots start at 8:00, 9:00, 10:15 (after a 15-minute break), 11:15, … They can even overlap, but that is unusual.

It is also usable if all planning entities can be inflated to the same duration. For example in exam timetabling, some exams take 90 minutes and others 120 minutes, but all timeslots are 120 minutes. When an exam of 90 minutes is assigned to a timeslot, for the remaining 30 minutes, its seats are occupied too and cannot be used by another exam.

Usually there is a second planning variable, for example the room. In course timetabling, two lectures are in conflict if they share the same room at the same timeslot. However, in exam timetabling, that is allowed, if there is enough seating capacity in the room (although mixed exam durations in the same room do inflict a soft score penalty).

20.3.2. TimeGrain pattern: assign to a starting TimeGrain

Assigning humans to start a meeting at four seconds after 9 o'clock is pointless because most human activities have a time granularity of five minutes or 15 minutes. Therefore it is not necessary to allow a planning entity to be assigned subsecond, second or even one minute accuracy.

The five minute or 15 minutes accuracy suffices. The TimeGrain pattern models such **time accuracy** by partitioning time as time grains. For example in meeting scheduling, all meetings start/end in hour, half hour, or 15-minute intervals before or after each hour, therefore the optimal settings for time grains is 15 minutes.

Each planning entity is assigned to a start time grain. The end time grain is calculated by adding the duration in grains to the starting time grain. Overlap of two entities is determined by comparing their start and end time grains.

This pattern also works well with a coarser time granularity (such as days, half days, hours, ...). With a finer time granularity (such as seconds, milliseconds, ...) and a long time window, the value range (and therefore the search space) can become too high, which reduces efficiency and scalability. However, such a solution is not impossible.

20.3.3. Chained through time pattern: assign in a chain that determines starting time

If a person or a machine continuously works on **one task at a time in sequence**, which means starting a task when the previous is finished (or with a deterministic delay), the Chained Through Time pattern is useful. For example, in vehicle routing with time windows, a vehicle drives from customer to customer (thus it handles one customer at a time).

The focus in this pattern is on deciding the order of a set of elements instead of assigning them to a specific date and time. However, the time coordinate of each element can be deduced from its position in the sequence. If the elements' position on time axis affects the score, use a shadow variable to calculate the time.

This pattern is implemented using either the chained planning variable or the planning list variable. The two modeling approaches are equivalent because they both allow OptaPlanner to order elements in sequences of variable lengths. The planning list variable is easier to use than the chained planning variable, but it does not yet support all the advanced planning techniques.

20.3.3.1. Chained through time pattern using chained planning variable

Using the chained planning variable, planning entities are arranged in a recursive data structure, forming a chain, that ends with an anchor.

The anchor determines the starting time of its first planning entity. The second entity's starting time is calculated based on the starting time and duration of the first entity. For example, in task assignment, Beth (the anchor) starts working at 8:00, thus her first task starts at 8:00. It lasts 52 minutes, therefore her second task starts at 8:52. The starting time of an entity is usually a shadow variable.

An anchor has only one chain. Although it is possible to split up the anchor into two separate anchors, for example split up Beth into Beth's left hand and Beth's right hand (because she can do two tasks at the same time), this model makes pooling resources difficult. Consequently, using this model in the exam scheduling example to allow two or more exams to use the same room at the same time is problematic.

20.3.3.2. Chained through time pattern using planning list variable

OptaPlanner distributes planning values into planning entities' planning list variable.

The planning entity determines the starting time of the first element in its planning list variable. The second element's starting time is calculated based on the starting time and duration of the first element. For example, in task assignment, Beth (the entity) starts working at 8:00, thus her first task starts at 8:00. It lasts 52 minutes, therefore her second task starts at 8:52. The starting time of an element is usually a shadow variable.

20.3.3.3. Chained through time pattern: creating gaps

Between planning entities, there are three ways to create gaps:

- No gaps: This is common when the anchor is a machine. For example, a build server always starts the next job when the previous finishes, without a break.
- Only deterministic gaps: This is common for humans. For example, any task that crosses the 10:00 barrier gets an extra 15 minutes duration so the human can take a break.
  - A deterministic gap can be subjected to complex business logic. For example in vehicle routing, a cross-continent truck driver needs to rest 15 minutes after two hours of driving (which may also occur during loading or unloading time at a customer location) and also needs to rest 10 hours after 14 hours of work.
- Planning variable gaps: This is uncommon, because that extra planning variable reduces efficiency and scalability, (besides impacting the search space too).

20.3.3.4. Chained through time: automatic collapse

In some use case there is an overhead time for certain tasks, which can be shared by multiple tasks, if those are consecutively scheduled. Basically, the solver receives a *discount* if it combines those tasks.

For example when delivering pizza to two different customers, a food delivery service combines both deliveries into a single trip, if those two customers ordered from the same restaurant around the same time and live in the same part of the city.



Implement the automatic collapse in the custom variable listener that calculates the start and end times of each task.

20.3.3.5. Chained through time: automatic delay until last

Some tasks require more than one person to execute. In such cases, both employees need to be there at the same time, before the work can start.

For example when assembling furniture, assembling a bed is a two-person job.



Implement the automatic delay in the custom variable listener that calculates the arrival, start and end times of each task. **Separate the arrival time from the start time.** Additionally, add loop detection to avoid an infinite loop:



If a loop is detected, it must always be resolved in the same way,
regardless of the source of the VariableListener.
To do that, set all shadow variables affected by the loop to null
and penalize them with hard score.

20.3.4. Time bucket pattern: assign to a capacitated bucket per time period

In this pattern, the time of each employee is divided into *buckets*. For example 1 bucket per week. Each bucket has a capacity, depending on the FTE (Full Time Equivalent), holidays and the approved vacation of the employee. For example, a bucket usually has 40 hours for a full time employee and 20 hours for a half time employee but only 8 hours on a specific week if the employee takes vacation the rest of that week.

Each task is assigned to a bucket, which determines the employee and the coarse-grained time period for working on it. *The tasks within one bucket are not ordered*: it's up to the employee to decide the order. This gives the employee more autonomy, but makes it harder to do certain optimization, such as minimize travel time between task locations.

20.4. Cloud architecture patterns

There are two common usage patterns of OptaPlanner in the cloud:

- **Batch planning**: Typically runs at night for hours to solve each tenant's dataset and deliver each schedule for the upcoming day(s) or week(s). Only the final best solution is sent back to the client. This is a good fit for a serverless cloud architecture.
- **Real-time planning**: Typically runs during the day, to handle unexpected problem changes as they occur in real-time and sends best solutions as they are discovered to the client.


Serverless cloud architecture
A stateless architecture that spins up/down containers as needed.
Clients
Cloud
Container image
my-employee-rostering
running pods
problem A
Start pod
solve()
solution A
Stop pod
problem B
problem C
problem D
Start pod
Start pod
Start pod
solve()
solve()
solve()
solution B
solution C
solution D
Stop pod
Stop pod
Stop pod
0
1
0
1
2
3
2
1
0

Real time planning cloud architecture

A stateful architecture that runs the solver in daemon mode.



Chapter 21. Development

21.1. Methodology overview

The diagram below explains the overall structure of the OptaPlanner source code:



In the diagram above, it's important to understand the clear separation between the configuration and runtime classes.

The development philosophy includes:

- **Reuse**: The examples are reused as integration tests, stress tests and demos.
- **Consistent terminology**: Each example has a class `App` (executable class) and `Panel` (swing UI).
- **Consistent structure**: Each example has the same packages: `domain`, `persistence`, `app`, `solver` and `swingui`.
- **Real world usefulness**: Every feature is used in an example. Most examples are real world use cases with real world constraints, often with real world data.
- **Automated testing**: There are unit tests, integration tests, performance regressions tests and stress tests. The test coverage is high.
- **Fail fast with an understandable error message**: Invalid states are checked as early as possible.

21.2. Development guidelines

21.2.1. Fail fast

There are several levels of fail fast, from better to worse:

1. **Fail Fast at compile time**. For example: Don't accept an `Object` as a parameter if it needs to be a `String` or an `Integer`.
2. **Fail Fast at startup time**. For example: if the configuration parameter needs to be a positive `int` and it's negative, fail fast
3. **Fail Fast at runtime**. For example: if the request needs to contain a double between `0.0` and `1.0` and it's bigger than `1.0`, fail fast.
4. **Fail Fast at runtime in assertion mode** if the detection performance cost is high. For example: If, after every low level iteration, the variable A needs to be equal to the square root of B, check it if and only if an assert flag is set to true (usually controlled by the EnvironmentMode).

21.2.2. Exception messages

1. The `Exception` message must include the name and state of each relevant variable. For example:

   ```
   if (fooSize < 0) {
       throw new IllegalArgumentException("The fooSize (" + fooSize + ") of bar (" +
   this + ") must be positive.");
   }
   ```

   Notice that the output clearly explains what's wrong:

   ```
   Exception in thread "main" java.lang.IllegalArgumentException: The fooSize (-5) of
   bar (myBar) must be positive.
       at ...
   ```

2. Whenever possible, the `Exception` message must include context.
3. Whenever the fix is not obvious, the `Exception` message should include advice. Advice normally starts with the word *maybe* on a new line:

   ```
   Exception in thread "main" java.lang.IllegalStateException: The
   valueRangeDescriptor (fooRange) is nullable, but not countable (false).
   Maybe the member (getFooRange) should return CountableValueRange.
       at ...
   ```

   The word *maybe* is to indicate that the advice is not guaranteed to be right in all cases.

21.2.3. Generics

1. The `@PlanningSolution` class is often passed as a generic type parameter to subsystems.
2. The `@PlanningEntity` class(es) are rarely passed as a generic type parameter because there could be multiple planning entities.

21.2.4. Lifecycle

One of the biggest challenges in multi-algorithm implementations (such as OptaPlanner) is the lifecycle management of internal subsystems. These guidelines avoid lifecycle complexity:

1. The subsystems are called in the same order in `*Started()` and `*Ended` methods.
    a. This avoids cyclic subsystem dependencies.
2. The `*Scope` class's fields are filled in piecemeal by the subsystems as the algorithms discover more information about its current scope subject.
    a. Therefore, a `*Scope` has mutable fields. It's not an `Event`.
    b. A subsystem can only depend on scope information provided by an earlier subsystem.
3. Global variables are sorted:
    a. First by volatility
    b. Then by initialization time

Chapter 22. Release Notes

This chapter lists new and noteworthy updates in OptaPlanner releases. OptaPlanner follows a 3-week release cycle. Bug fixes and minor improvements are generally not announced, which is why some of the minor releases are not mentioned here.

For a step-by-step migration guide, see our upgrade recipe. We even provide a migration tool to make many of these changes automatically.

For release notes on OptaPlanner 7.x and older, please visit Release Notes on optaplanner.org.

Unresolved directive in release-notes/release-notes.adoc - include::release-notes/release-notes-8.adoc[]